CleanAir Engineering 110 Technology Drive Pittsburgh, PA 15275 800-632-1619 cleanair.com





REPORT ON COMPLIANCE TESTING

Zug Island Pushing Emissions Control System Stack

EES Coke Battery, LLC 1400 Zug Island Road River Rouge, MI 48218 Client Reference No. 4701239002 CleanAir Project No. 13665-4 A2LA ISO 17025 Certificate No. 4342.01 A2LA / STAC Certificate No. 4342.02 Revision 0, Final Report February 7, 2019

RECEIVED

FEB 28 2019 AIR QUALITY DIVISION

13665-4 EES Coke Battery Report_R0.docx 20719 100200 13665-4

Copyright © 2019 Clean Air Engineering, Inc., Palatine, Illinois. All rights reserved.

EES Coke Battery, LLC
Zug Island
Report on Compliance Testing

CleanAir Project No. 13665-4 **Revision 0, Final Report** Page ii

COMMITMENT TO QUALITY

To the best of our knowledge, the data presented in this report are accurate, complete, error free and representative of the actual emissions during the test program. Clean Air Engineering operates in conformance with the requirements of ASTM D7036-04 Standard Practice for Competence of Air Emission Testing Bodies.

Report Submittal:

Vilders 210-1/19

Date

Josh Childers, QSTI Project Manager jchilders@cleanair.com (800) 632-1619 ext. 2072

I hereby certify that the information contained within the final test report has been reviewed and, to the best of my ability, verified as accurate.

Independent Report and Appendix Review:

N/27/19

Date

Jason McKeever, QSTI Eastern Engineering Group Technical Leader imckeever@cleanair.com (800) 632-1619 ext. 2142

REPORT REVISION HISTORY

Version	Revision	Date	Pages	Comments
Draft	D0a	01/18/19	All	Draft version of original document.
Final	0	02/07/19	All	Final version of original document.

PROJECT PERSONNEL

Name	Affiliation	Project Responsibility	
Elise Ciak	EES Coke Battery, LLC	Client Contact	
Josh Childers	CleanAir	Project Manager	
Bob Phillips	CleanAir	Field Test Leader	
Jason Fugiel	CleanAir	Field Scientist	
Joshua Lord	CleanAir	Field Engineer	

EES Coke Battery, LLC	CleanAir Project No. 13665-4
Zug Island	Revision 0, Final Report
Report on Compliance Testing	Page iv

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	Project Overview1
	Test Program Summary1
	Test Program Details2
	Parameters2
	Schedule2
	Discussion
2.	Results
3.	Description of Installation
	Process Description
	Test Location
4.	Methodology
	Procedures and Regulations
	Title 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A
	Title 40 CFR Part 51, Appendix M
5.	Appendix
	Appendix A: Test Method SpecificationsA
	Appendix B: Sample CalculationsB
	Appendix C: Parameters C
	Appendix D: QA/QC DataD
	Appendix E: Field Data E
	Appendix F: Field Data Printouts F
	Appendix G: Laboratory DataG
	Appendix H: Facility Operating DataH
	Appendix I: CleanAir Resumes and Certifications I

EES Coke Battery, LLC	CleanAir Project No. 13665-4
Zug Island	Revision 0, Final Report
Report on Compliance Testing	Page v

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1-1: Summary of Results	1
	2
Table 1-2: Test Schedule	Z
Table 2-1: PECS Stack – Total PM	4
Table 2-2: PECS Stack – PM ₁₀ /PM _{2.5}	5
Table 3-1: Sampling Information	7

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 3-1: Process Schematic	. 6
Figure 3-2: PECS Stack Sample Point Layout (EPA Method 1)	. 7

EES Coke Battery, LLC Zug Island Report on Compliance Testing

ACRONYMS & ABBREVIATIONS

AAS (atomic absorption spectrometry) acfm (actual cubic feet per minute) ACI (activated carbon injection) ADL (above detection limit) AIG (ammonia injection grid) APC (air pollution control) AQCS (air quality control system(s)) ASME (American Society of Mechanical Engineers) ASTM (American Society for Testing and Materials) BDL (below detection limit) Btu (British thermal units) CAM (compliance assurance monitoring) CARB (California Air Resources Board) CCM (Controlled Condensation Method) CE (capture efficiency) °C (degrees Celsius) CEMS (continuous emissions monitoring system(s)) CFB (circulating fluidized bed) CFR (Code of Federal Regulations) cm (centimeter(s)) COMS (continuous opacity monitoring system(s)) CT (combustion turbine) CTI (Cooling Technology Institute) CTM (Conditional Test Method) CVAAS (cold vapor atomic absorption spectroscopy) CVAFS (cold vapor atomic fluorescence spectrometry) DI H₂O (de-ionized water) %dv (percent, dry volume) DLL (detection level limited) DE (destruction efficiency) DCI (dry carbon injection) DGM (dry gas meter) dscf (drv standard cubic feet) dscfm (dry standard cubic feet per minute) dscm (dry standard cubic meter) ESP (electrostatic precipitator) FAMS (flue gas adsorbent mercury speciation) °F (degrees Fahrenheit) FB (field blank) FCC (fluidized catalytic cracking) FCCU (fluidized catalytic cracking unit) FEGT (furnace exit gas temperatures) FF (fabric filter) FGD (flue gas desulfurization) FIA (flame ionization analyzer) FID (flame ionization detector) FPD (flame photometric detection) FRB (field reagent blank) FSTM (flue gas sorbent total mercury) ft (feet or foot) ft² (square feet)

ft³ (cubic feet) ft/sec (feet per second) FTIR (Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy) FTRB (field train reagent blank) g (gram(s)) GC (gas chromatography) GFAAS (graphite furnace atomic absorption spectroscopy) GFC (gas filter correlation) gr/dscf (grains per dry standard cubic feet) > (greater than)/ \geq (greater than or equal to) g/s (grams per second) H₂O (water) HAP(s) (hazardous air pollutant(s)) HI (heat input) hr (hour(s)) HR GC/MS (high-resolution gas chromatography and mass spectrometry) HRVOC (highly reactive volatile organic compounds) HSRG(s) (heat recovery steam generator(s)) HVT (high velocity thermocouple) IC (ion chromatography) IC/PCR (ion chromatography with post column reactor) ICP/MS (inductively coupled argon plasma mass spectroscopy) ID (induced draft) in. (inch(es)) in. H₂O (inches water) in. Hg (inches mercury) IPA (isopropyl alcohol) ISE (ion-specific electrode) kg (kilogram(s)) kg/hr (kilogram(s) per hour) < (less than)/ \leq (less than or equal to) L (liter(s)) lb (pound(s)) lb/hr (pound per hour) lb/MMBtu (pound per million British thermal units) lb/TBtu (pound per trillion British thermal units) lb/lb-mole (pound per pound mole) LR GC/MS (low-resolution gas chromatography and mass spectrometry) m (meter) m³ (cubic meter) MACT (maximum achievable control technology) MASS® (Multi-Point Automated Sampling System) MATS (Mercury and Air Toxics Standards) MDL (method detection limit) µg (microgram(s)) min. (minute(s)) mg (milligram(s)) ml (milliliter(s)) MMBtu (million British thermal units)

CleanAir Project No. 13665-4

Revision 0, Final Report

Page vi

MW (megawatt(s)) NCASI (National Council for Air and Stream Improvement) ND (non-detect) NDIR (non-dispersive infrared) NDO (natural draft opening) NESHAP (National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants) ng (nanogram(s)) Nm³ (Normal cubic meter) % (percent) PEMS (predictive emissions monitoring systems) PFGC (pneumatic focusing gas chromatography) pg (picogram(s)) PJFF (pulse jet fabric filter) ppb (parts per billion) PPE (personal protective equipment) ppm (parts per million) ppmdv (parts per million, dry volume) ppmwv (parts per million, wet volume) PSD (particle size distribution) psi (pound(s) per square inch) PTE (permanent total enclosure) PTFE (polytetrafluoroethylene) QA/QC (quality assurance/quality control) QI (gualified individual) QSTI (qualified source testing individual) QSTO (qualified source testing observer) RA (relative accuracy) RATA (relative accuracy test audit) RB (reagent blank) RE (removal or reduction efficiency) RM (reference method) scf (standard cubic feet) scfm (standard cubic feet per minute) SCR (selective catalytic reduction) SDA (spray dryer absorber) SNCR (selective non-catalytic reduction) STD (standard) STMS (sorbent trap monitoring system) TBtu (trillion British thermal units) TEOM (Tapered Element Oscillating Microbalance) TEQ (toxic equivalency quotient) ton/hr (ton per hour) ton/yr (ton per year) TSS (third stage separator) USEPA or EPA (United States Environmental Protection Agency) UVA (ultraviolet absorption) WFGD (wet flue gas desulfurization) %wv (percent, wet volume)

1. PROJECT OVERVIEW

Test Program Summary

EES Coke Battery, LLC contracted CleanAir Engineering (CleanAir) to complete compliance testing at the Zug Island plant located in River Rouge, Michigan. This program was a follow-up to the initial compliance testing mobilization as per report 13665-2. Due to PM₁₀/PM_{2.5} results, methodology for this re-test was adjusted accordingly from Method 5/202 to Method 201A/202. The test program met the following objective:

Perform compliance testing on the Pushing Emissions Control System (PECS) Stack to show it is in
operating compliance with Michigan Permit to Install (MI-PTI) No. 51-08C utilizing various test methods.

All testing was conducted in accordance with the regulations set-forth by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the Michigan Department of Environmental Quality (MDEQ) and was performed at normal operating conditions throughout the test program.

The PECS Stack has a baghouse to control particulate emissions during each oven push and compliance testing was requested after receiving results from the initial compliance testing program.

A summary of the permit limits is shown below. Test program information, including the test parameters, onsite schedule and a project discussion, begins on page 2.

Table 1-1: Summary of Results			
Source	Sampling	Average	
Constituent	Method	Emission	Permit Limit ¹
PECS Stack			
PM (lb/Ton Coke)	EPA 201A	0.01	0.02
PM (ton/yr)	EPA 201A	2.5	9.7
$PM_{10} (lb/hr)^2$	EPA 201A/202	0.50	0.69
$PM_{2.5}$ (lb/hr) ²	EPA 201A/202	0.23	0.69

¹ Permit limits obtained from Michigan Permit to Install number: MI-PTI-51-08C.

² The source does not emit continuously, lb/hr values are operating hour of the PECS exhaust fan.

EES Coke Battery, LLC
Zug Island
Report on Compliance Testing

Test Program Details

Parameters

The test program included the following measurements:

- filterable particulate matter (FPM)
- particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter (PM₁₀)
- particulate matter less than 2.5 microns in diameter (PM_{2.5})
- condensable particulate matter (CPM)
- flue gas composition (e.g., O₂, CO₂, H₂O)
- flue gas temperature
- flue gas flow rate

Schedule

Testing was performed the week of December 17, 2018. The on-site schedule followed during the test program is outlined in Table 1-2.

Table 1-2: Test Schedule

Run Number	Location	Method	Analyte	Date	Start Time	End Time
1	PECS Stack	USEPA Method 201A/202	PM10/PM2.5/CPM	12/18/18	09:35	18:46
2	PECS Stack	USEPA Method 201A/202	PM10/PM2.5/CPM	12/19/18	08:40	18:51
3	PECS Stack	USEPA Method 201A/202	PM10/PM2.5/CPM	12/20/18	08:18	18:50

Discussion

Emission Calculation Explanation

Due to the intermittent operations of the facility, the approach to the emission calculations was adjusted. Each PM test run consisted of approximately 120 minutes of sampling time. However, it required a minimum of 9 hours to obtain each sample since sampling can only occur while the PECS exhaust fan is operating. A ratio of the metered sample time to elapsed test time was applied to the emission rate values to ensure representative results based on the process operations. Emission rates shown in pound per hour are therefore corrected to be pound per hour (lb/hr) of clock time.

Test Program Summary

The test program was completed over the span of three test days with each day completing one test run. Due to the intermittent nature of the process at current operations, a minimum of 9 hours was required to complete one test run. This does not account for any delays in operations. A push occurred approximately every 10-20 minutes and during each push, roughly three minutes of sample was collected.

Each Method 201A/202 test run was completed so that 12 total points were sampled. Each point was sampled for approximately six minutes. Samples were collected isokinetically so that a minimum of 60 dry standard cubic feet (dscf) of sample was collected.

EES Coke Battery, LLC	CleanAir Project No. 13665-4
Zug Island	Revision 0, Final Report
Report on Compliance Testing	Page 3

Following a previous-site discussion with Tom Gasloli of MDEQ in September 2018, it was determined that ambient readings for all analytes would be eliminated. The O₂/CO₂ values were displayed only when pushing gas was being measured and this was the same for the NO_x values. All CEMS results were provided with the non-push readings omitted from the average results calculations.

The extended nature of the testing was a potential concern. Typically, bias checks are completed only before and after a test run. However, CleanAir performed bias checks during each test since test runs were at least 6 hours in duration. CleanAir attempted to perform all bias checks between pushes in order to maximize the sample collected. These checks were required to monitor analyzer bias and drift over the day of sampling.

PM₁₀ / PM_{2.5} – USEPA Method 201A/202

EPA Method 201A, "Determination of PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} Emissions", was used for the particulate matter measurements along with EPA Method 202, "Dry Impinger Method for Determining Condensable Particulate Emissions from Stationary Sources". These methods are contained in Appendix M of 40 CFR 51.

Method 201A defines PM₁₀ as particulate emissions equal to or less than an aerodynamic diameter of nominally 10 microns, and PM_{2.5} as particulate emissions equal to or less than an aerodynamic diameter of nominally 2.5 microns.

The sampling apparatus utilized stainless steel in-stack cyclones followed by a Gelman filter holder. The cyclones are constructed according to the design specifications provided in Method 201A. When operated at a specified flow rate, the first cyclone is designed to collect particles greater than 10 microns while allowing particles less than or equal to 10 microns to pass through. The second cyclone is designed to collect particles greater than 2.5 microns while allowing particles less than or equal to 2.5 microns to pass through. The second cyclone connects directly to a 45-mm stainless steel filter holder that contains a high-efficiency quartz fiber filter to collect the PM_{2.5} particles.

Sampling was performed at a constant flow rate that maintains the 10/2.5-micron cut-points of the cyclones. The sampling time (dwell time) at each traverse point varied proportionally with the velocity at each point, as determined from a pre-test velocity traverse. All particulate analyses were performed gravimetrically following EPA Method 5 procedures.

The condensable particulate matter was collected in dry impingers after the gas has traveled through the Method 201A cyclone. Total CPM was represented by the impinger fractions and the CPM filter. Immediately following a test run, Method 202 sample trains were purged with Ultra High Purity Nitrogen at a rate of 14 liters per minute for 60 minutes to remove any potential dissolved sulfur dioxide gases from the impingers.

End of Section

2. RESULTS

This section summarizes the test program results. Additional results are available in the report appendices.

Table 2- PECS Sta	-1: ack – Total PM				
Run No.		1	2	3	Average
Date (2018)		Dec 18	Dec 19	Dec 20	
Start Time (approx.)		09:35	08:40	08:18	
Stop Time (approx.)		18:46	18:51	18:50	
Process	Conditions				
R _P	Production rate (ton/hr)	108	110	108	108
P ₁	Starting oven number	18	74	51	48
P_2	Elapsed pushing time (minutes)	551	611	632	598
P ₃	Amount of coke pushed (tons)	992	1,117	1,133	1,081
Сар	Capacity factor (hours/year)	8,760	8,760	8,760	8,760
Gas Con	ditions				
O_2	Oxygen (dry volume %)	20.6	20.6	20.7	20.6
CO_2	Carbon dioxide (dry volume %)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
T_s	Sample temperature (°F)	113	114	118	115
Bw	Actual water vapor in gas (% by volume)	0.7	1.0	1.0	0.9
Gas Flow	v Rate				
\mathbf{Q}_{a}	Volumetric flow rate, actual (acfm)	177,000	175,000	176,000	176,000
Q_s	Volumetric flow rate, standard (scfm)	160,000	158,000	157,000	158,000
Q _{std}	Volumetric flow rate, dry standard (dscfm)	159,000	156,000	155,000	157,000
Samplin	g Data				
V _{mstd}	Volume metered, standard (dscf)	62.57	67.46	62.43	64.16
%I	lsokinetic sampling (%)	111.3	94.4	94.3	100.0
Total PN	I Laboratory Data				
mn	Total FPM(g)	0.00412	0.00439	0.01134	
т _{сем}	Total CPM(g)	0.00193	0.00086	0.00177	
m _{Part}	Total PM (g)	0.00605	0.00525	0.01311	
n _{MDL}	Number of Non-Detectable Fractions	1 out of 6	N/A	N/A	
DLC	Detection Level Classification	DLL	ADL	ADL	
Total PM	/ Results				
E _{T/yr}	Particulate Rate (Ton/yr)	8.9228	7.0464	18.8557	11.6083
ERp	Particulate Rate - Production-based (lb/ton)	0.0189	0.0147	0.0400	0.0245

Average includes 3 runs.

(allow

Detection level classifications are defined as follows:

ADL = Above Detection Level - all fractions are above detection limit

DLL = Detection Level Limited - some fractions are below detection limit

BDL = Below Detection Limit - all fractions are below detection limit

EES Coke Battery, LLC

Zug Island

Report on Compliance Testing

Table 2-2:

Run No.		1	2	3	Average
Date (2018)		Dec 18	Dec 19	Dec 20	
Start Time (approx.)		09:35	08:40	08:18	
Stop Time (approx.)		18:46	18:51	18:50	
Process (Conditions				
R _P	Production rate (ton/hr)	108	110	108	108
P ₁	Starting oven number	18	74	51	48
P ₂	Elapsed pushing time (minutes)	551	611	632	598
P ₃	Amount of coke pushed (tons)	992	1,117	1,133	1,081
Сар	Capacity factor (hours/year)	8,760	8,760	8,760	8,760
Gas Cond	itions				
O ₂	Oxygen (dry volume %)	20.6	20.6	20.7	20.6
CO₂	Carbon dioxide (dry volume %)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
T _a	Sample temperature (°F)	113	114	118	115
Bw	Actual water vapor in gas (% by volume)	0.7	1.0	1.0	0.9
Gas Flow	Rate				
Q,	Volumetric flow rate, actual (acfm)	177,000	175,000	176,000	176,000
Q	Volumetric flow rate, standard (scfm)	160,000	158,000	157,000	158,000
Q _{std}	Volumetric flow rate, dry standard (dscfm)	159,000	156,000	155,000	157,000
Sampling	Data				
Vmsld	Volume metered, standard (dscf)	62.57	67.46	62.43	64.16
%1	Isokinetic sampling (%)	111.3	94.4	94.3	100.0
Fotal PM1	0 Laboratory Data				
m _{n-10}	Total FPM < 10 μm (g)	0.00128	0.00177	0.00970	
m _{CPM}	Total CPM (g)	0.00193	0.00086	0.00177	
m _{Part-10}	Total PM < 10 μm (g)	0.00321	0.00263	0.01147	
n _{MDL}	Number of Non-Detectable Fractions	1 out of 5	N/A	N/A	
DLC	Detection Level Classification	DLL	ADL	ADL.	
Total PM1	0 Results				
E _{ib/hr}	Particulate Rate (Ib/hr)	0.3187	0.2376	1.1101	0.5555
Fotal PM2	2.5 Laboratory Data				
m _{p-2.5}	Total FPM < 2.5 μm (g)	0.00074	0.00141	0.00120	
m _{CPM}	Total CPM (g)	0.00193	0.00086	0.00177	
m _{Part-25}	Total PM < 2.5 μm (g)	0.00267	0.00227	0.00297	
n _{MDL}	Number of Non-Detectable Fractions	1 out of 4	N/A	N/A	
DLC	Detection Level Classification	DLL	ADL	ADL	
Total PM2	2.5 Results				
		0.0054	0.0054	0.0076	0.0506

Average includes 3 runs.

(NON

Detection level classifications are defined as follows:

ADL = Above Detection Level - all fractions are above detection limit

DLL = Detection Level Limited - some fractions are below detection limit

BDL = Below Detection Limit - all fractions are below detection limit

3. DESCRIPTION OF INSTALLATION

Process Description

EES Coke Battery, LLC is a facility located on Zug Island in River Rouge, Michigan. The testing described in this document will be performed at the pushing PECS Stack location. The process includes the PECS Baghouse, Pushing Stack (PECS Stack) and a Combustion Stack.

The No. 5 Coke Battery consists of 85, six-meter-high ovens producing furnace coke. A coal blend is used to charge each oven on timed intervals depending on the current production of the battery. Coking of the coal occurs in an oxygen free environment for 17 to 30 hours and the gases produced are collected, cleaned, and used to under fire the battery, supply fuel for other site sources, and sold to permitted off-site utilities.

The current permit limits allow for the charging of up to 1.420 million dry tons of coal per year. The design capacity heating requirement of the battery is approximately 375 MMBtu per hour. The heating requirements of the battery at the current production rate are approximately 325 MMBtu per hour.

Process source description information above was taken from written information provided by EES Coke.

A schematic of the process, indicating proposed sampling locations, is shown in Figure 3-1.

Figure 3-1: Process Schematic



EES Coke Battery, LLC
Zug Island
Report on Compliance Testing

Test Location

EPA Method 1 specifications determined the sample point locations. Table 3-1 presents the sampling information for the test location. The figure shown on page 9 represents the layout of the test location.

Table 3-1: Sampling Information							
Source Constituent	Method	Run No.	Ports	Points per Port	Minutes per Point	Total Minutes	Figure
PECS Stack PM ₁₀ /PM _{2.5}	EPA 201A/202	1-3	2	60	10	120	3-2

Figure 3-2:

PECS Stack Sample Point Layout (EPA Method 1)



Limit: 0.5 Limit: 2.0

4. METHODOLOGY

Procedures and Regulations

The test program sampling measurements followed procedures and regulations outlined by the USEPA and Michigan Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ). These methods appear in detail in Title 40 of the CFR and at https://www.epa.gov/emc.

Appendix A includes diagrams of the sampling apparatus, as well as specifications for sampling, recovery, and analytical procedures. Any modifications to standard test methods are explicitly indicated in this appendix. In accordance with ASTM D7036 requirements, CleanAir included a description of any such modifications along with the full context of the objectives and requirements of the test program in the test protocol submitted prior to the measurement portion of this project. Modifications to standard methods are not covered by the ISO 17025 and TNI portions of CleanAir's A2LA accreditation.

CleanAir follows specific QA/QC procedures outlined in the individual methods and in USEPA "Quality Assurance Handbook for Air Pollution Measurement Systems: Volume III Stationary Source-Specific Methods," EPA/600/R-94/038C. Appendix D contains additional QA/QC measures, as outlined in CleanAir's internal Quality Manual.

Title 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A

- Method 1 "Sample and Velocity Traverses for Stationary Sources"
- Method 2 "Determination of Stack Gas Velocity and Volumetric Flow Rate (Type S Pitot Tube)"
- Method 3A "Determination of Oxygen and Carbon Dioxide Concentrations in Emissions from Stationary Sources (Instrumental Analyzer Procedure)"
- Method 4 "Determination of Moisture Content in Stack Gases"

Title 40 CFR Part 51, Appendix M

- Method 201A "Determination of PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} Emissions from Stationary Sources (Constant Sampling Rate Procedure)"
- Method 202 "Dry Impinger Method for Determining Condensable Particulate Emissions from Stationary Sources"

End of Section

EES Coke Battery, LLC Zug Island Report on Compliance Testing CleanAir Project No. 13665-4 Revision 0, Final Report Page 9

5. APPENDIX

Appendix A: Test Method Specifications Appendix B: Sample Calculations Appendix C: Parameters Appendix D: QA/QC Data Appendix E: Field Data Appendix F: Field Data Printouts Appendix G: Laboratory Data Appendix H: Facility Operating Data Appendix I: CleanAir Resumes and Certifications