

**RECEIVED**

JUL 19 2016

AIR QUALITY DIV.

**FPM & H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> Test  
Method Evaluation  
on the  
Trimer Control System**

**at  
Guardian Industries Corp.  
14600 Romine Rd  
Carleton, MI 48117**

**Test Dates: June 15-16, 2016**

**Project 16-227**

Prepared by:  
**Empire Stack Testing, LLC. (AETB)**  
1090 Cain Road  
Angola, New York 14006

MICHAEL T. KARTER (RM)  
Michael T. Karter, QSTI (V)  
General Manager  
July 15, 2016

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>1. TEST RESULTS SUMMARY (TRS)</b> .....	<b>1</b>
<b>2. FACILITY INFORMATION &amp; STATEMENT OF CERTIFICATION</b> .....	<b>4</b>
<b>3. INTRODUCTION</b> .....	<b>5</b>
3.1 Introduction .....	5
3.2 Test Program Objective .....	5
3.3 Test Personnel .....	5
3.4 Test Plan .....	5
3.5 Test Schedule .....	5
3.6 Process Description .....	6
3.7 Plant data .....	6
<b>4. PRESENTATION OF RESULTS / EXECUTIVE SUMMARY</b> .....	<b>7</b>
4.1 Discussion of Results .....	7
4.2 Anomalies .....	7
<b>5. SAMPLING AND ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES</b> .....	<b>9</b>
5.1 Reference Method Test Location .....	9
5.2 Sampling Point Location .....	9
5.2.1 Volumetric Flow .....	9
5.3 Stack Gas Velocity and Volumetric Flow Rate .....	10
5.3.1 Cyclonic Flow Check .....	10
5.4 Oxygen & Carbon Dioxide Concentration (RM 3) .....	10
5.5 Moisture Determination (RM 4) .....	10
5.6 Filterable Particulate Matter (RM 5) .....	11
5.6.1 Background .....	11
5.6.2 Sampling .....	11
5.6.3 Sample Recovery .....	11
5.6.4 Analysis .....	12
5.7 Sulfuric Acid (CTM-013) .....	13
5.7.1 Background .....	13
5.7.2 Sampling .....	13
5.7.3 Sample Purge .....	14
5.7.4 Sample Recovery .....	14
5.7.5 Analysis .....	14
<b>6. QUALITY ASSURANCE AND QUALITY CONTROL (QA/QC)</b> .....	<b>23</b>
6.1 Chain of Custody .....	23
6.2 Equipment and Sampling Preparation .....	23
6.3 Calibrations .....	23
6.3.1 Pitot Calibration .....	23
6.3.2 Thermocouple Display Calibration .....	23

**RECEIVED**

JUL 19 2016

AIR QUALITY DIV.

1. TEST RESULTS SUMMARY (TRS)

**Table 1-1: FPM Results Summary**

Site	Date	Run	Stack Parameters					Flow Rate	
			O <sub>2</sub>	CO <sub>2</sub>	Moisture	Temperature	(ACFM)	(DSCFM)	
			(%)	(%)	(%)	(F)			
RM 05 Outlet	6/15/2016	1	11.9	6.6	13.5	617	136402	56417	
	6/15/2016	2	7.9	8.4	13.7	630	137290	55881	
	6/15/2016	3	11.7	6.7	13.4	619	133297	54979	
	Average		10.5	7.2	13.53	622	135663	55759	
Site	Date	Run	FPM Emissions						
			gr/DSCF	(lbs/hr)	(lbs/ton glass)				
RM 05 Outlet	6/15/2016	1	0.0078	3.76	0.21				
	6/15/2016	2	0.0060	2.88	0.16				
	6/15/2016	3	0.0062	2.93	0.17				
	Average		<b>0.0067</b>	<b>3.19</b>	<b>0.18</b>				
Permit Limit			n/a	n/a	<b>0.45</b>				

**Table 1-2: Production Data Summary**

Production Data Summary				
Date	Time	Production Rate		Pressure Drop
		Tons/Day	Tons/hr	in. WC
6/15/2016	0855-1008	424	17.67	12.9
6/15/2016	1145-1255	424	17.67	12.7
6/15/2016	1428-1549	424	17.67	12.7
6/16/2016	1010-1046	425	17.71	12.2
6/16/2016	1226-1302	425	17.71	12.8
6/16/2016	1420-1456	425	17.71	12.2



### **3. INTRODUCTION**

#### **3.1 Introduction**

Guardian Industries Corp. (Guardian) has contracted Empire Stack Testing, LLC. (Empire) to perform Filterable Particulate Matter (FPM), Sulfur Dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>), and Sulfuric Acid (H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) testing services on their glass furnace in Carleton, Michigan. Testing used RM5 at the Trimer outlet stack, and CTM-13 at both the inlet and outlet of the Trimer control system.

Section 5 of this report contains the sampling and analytical procedures used to perform the test program. Section 6 details the quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) procedures for the test program.

#### **3.2 Test Program Objective**

This test program is required annually to quantify the FPM, SO<sub>2</sub>, and H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> emissions from the inlet and outlet of the Trimer control system. All testing followed applicable methodologies of the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), and as defined in Table 3-1, below.

#### **3.3 Test Personnel**

Coordinating the test program were:

Michael Smolenski  
Guardian Industries Corp.  
(734)-654-4283

David Patterson  
MDEQ  
(517)-284-6782

Michael T. Karter, QSTI  
Empire Stack Testing, LLC.  
(716)-481-6749

Tarifur Rahman  
Maxxam Analytics International  
(905)- 817-5790, ext. 5790

#### **3.4 Test Plan**

Testing for all parameters was completed in triplicate following Reference Methods (RMs). The test program incorporates reference methods outlined in the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Code of Federal Regulations Title 40, Part 60 (40CFR60), Appendix A. See Table 2-1 below.

#### **3.5 Test Schedule**

Day 1 (June 13): Mobilize to Guardian  
Day 2 (June 14): Complete setup for FPM & RATAs (~ 8 hours)  
Day 3 (June 15): Complete both inlet & outlet RATAs and Emission Testing for FPM (~ 8 hrs.)  
Day 4 (June 16): Complete inlet & outlet testing for H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (~ 8 hours)  
Day 5 (June 17): Demobilize from site

**Table 3-1: Summary of Test Plan**

PARAMETER	METHOD	ANALYSIS	SAMPLE DURATION (MINUTES)	TEST LOCATION(S)	PERMIT LIMIT (OUTLET)
Flow Rate	RM 1 & 2	S-Type Pitot Tube / Manometer	various	Inlet & Outlet	n/a
Dry Molecular Weight	RM 3	O <sub>2</sub> and CO <sub>2</sub> Fyrites	various	Inlet & Outlet	n/a
Moisture	RM 4	Gravimetric	30	Inlet & Outlet	n/a
FPM	RM 5	Gravimetric	60	Outlet	0.45 lbs / ton of glass
H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> & SO <sub>2</sub>	CTM 013	Ion Chromatography	30	Inlet <sup>(1)</sup> & Outlet Ground Site	1.6 lbs H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> / hr 1.2 lbs SO <sub>2</sub> / ton of glass

**NOTES:**

(1) The inlet site has a single test port, therefore a non-isokinetic sample was collected at a single traverse point. Emission rates were calculated using the flow rate measured at the outlet site. The CTM013 H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> samples were also analyzed for SO<sub>2</sub>.

- CTM: Conditional Test Method
- FPM: Filterable Particulate Matter
- H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>: Sulfuric Acid
- RM: United States Environmental Protection Agency Reference Method
- SO<sub>2</sub>: Sulfur Dioxide

**3.6 Process Description**

Flat glass manufacturing Line #2 consisting of a raw material melting Furnace, glass forming and finishing, and glass cutting. Line #2 produces flat glass using the float method. Materials are weighed and mixed with water in the batch house before entering the natural gas fired Furnace. Glass then enters the tin bath to be formed and drawn. Next, it enters a lehr to reduce its temperature. The emission unit is controlled by a new (Trimer ECS) Control Device consisting of a Dry Scrubber, Particulate Filter, and Selective Catalytic Reduction (SCR).

**3.7 Plant data**

The plant's SCADA system continuously records the operating data included in the test report. The plant provided and summarized pertinent operating data to represent plant operation. These data and summaries were provided electronically (MS Excel).

#### **4. PRESENTATION OF RESULTS / EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

This Executive Summary discusses, in detail, the test results and any anomalies, their resolution, and any effect on the results quality or usability.

##### **4.1 Discussion of Results**

Testing was completed on June 15-16, 2016 for FPM, H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and SO<sub>2</sub>. During this test program, the facility operated at a production rate of 424 tpd (17.67 tph) on 6/15/2016 and 425 tpd (17.71 tph) on 6/16/2016.

The results indicate that the measured emissions are compliant with their permit limits. All field and lab data are included in the appendices of this report.

##### **4.1.1 Isokinetics**

Each RM 5 sample run for FPM met the isokinetic limit of 100 % ± 10%. These and other QAQC criteria are summarized in Table 1-4.

##### **4.1.2 FPM Test Result**

The average FPM emissions were measured to be 0.18 lbs/ton; which is compliant with limit of 0.45 lbs/ton. See Summary Table 1-1.

##### **4.1.3 H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> Test Result (CTM 13)**

The average emission rate of sulfuric acid was 0.33 lbs/hr and 0.0188 lbs/ton of glass. The unit demonstrated compliance with the emission limit of 1.6 lbs/hr. The sulfuric acid control equipment yielded a control efficiency (CE) of 68.4%. See Table 1-3.

##### **4.1.4 SO<sub>2</sub> Test Results (CTM 13)**

The sulfur dioxide emission rate was quantified as 10.21 lbs/hr and/or 0.58 lbs/ton of glass of glass. The unit demonstrated compliance with the emission limit of 1.2 lbs/ton of glass. The sulfur dioxide control equipment yielded a control efficiency (CE) of 76.6%. See Table 1-3.

#### **4.2 Anomalies**

##### **4.2.1 CTM 13 R5 C2 Recovery**

During the recovery of the second CTM 13 run (R5), "R5 IN C2" and "R5 OUT C2" were accidentally reversed. No samples were contaminated as a result, only mislabeled. The

mistake was detected while analyzing the laboratory data; in response the SO<sub>2</sub> data for "R5 IN C2" was input into the CTM 13 Outlet spreadsheet and vice versa.

#### **4.2.2 Typographical Error in Laboratory Results**

A typographical error was detected in the laboratory results. In the CTM 13 results "Isopropanol Volume" is listed. No isopropanol was shipped to the laboratory, this should read "Deionized H<sub>2</sub>O".

No other anomalies were recorded during testing nor report production.