

# **COMPLIANCE TEST REPORT**

for

# **RELATIVE RESPONSE AUDIT (RRA)**

PARTICULATE MATTER CONTINUOUS EMISSIONS MONITORING SYSTEM (PM CEMS)

UNIT 3 – Stack

River Rouge Power Plant River Rouge, Michigan

June 7, 2018

Prepared By Environmental Management & Resources Environmental Field Services Group DTE Corporate Services, LLC 7940 Livernois 'H-136 Detroit, MI 48210



## **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

DTE Energy's Environmental Management and Resources (EMR) Field Services Group performed a Relative Response Audit (RRA) on the Particulate Matter Continuous Emissions Monitoring System (PM CEMS). The RRA was performed on the Unit 3 exhaust stack located at the River Rouge Power Plant, in River Rouge, Michigan. The testing is required by 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart UUUUU. Testing was performed in accordance with Procedure 2 of 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix F. The testing was conducted on June 7, 2018.

A summary of the emission test results is shown below. Criterion for acceptable RRA results are located in Procedure 2 Sec 10.4(6)(i-iii):

# Relative Response Audit Unit 3 Stack River Rouge Power Plant June 7, 2018

	PIVI CEIVIS (mg/acm) <sup>1</sup>	RIVI PM (mg/acm) <sup>1</sup>	PM CEMS (correlation) =	Correlation (-25%)Emission Limit)	Correlation (+25% Emission Limit)	
Run 1	11.5	5.5	7.7	2.98	12.48	
Run 2	10.8	4.2	7.5	2.77	12.27	
Run 3	11.4	9.03	7.7	2.95.	12.45	
PM CEMS < Greate	≤98.5 mg/acm	Pass				
2 of 3 PM CEMS with	≥0.0 mg/acm	Pass				
2 of 3 PM CEIVIS and RN cori		Pass				

<sup>(1)</sup>mg/acm @ stack conditions



## 1.0 INTRODUCTION

DTE Energy's Environmental Management and Resources (EMR) Field Services Group performed a Relative Response Audit (RRA) on the Particulate Matter Continuous Emissions Monitoring System (PM CEMS). The RRA was performed on the Unit 3 exhaust stack located at the River Rouge Power Plant, in River Rouge, Michigan. The testing is required by 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart UUUUU. Testing was performed in accordance with Procedure 2 of 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix F. The testing was conducted on June 7, 2018.

Testing was performed pursuant to Title 40, *Code of Federal Regulations*, Part 60, Appendix A (40 CFR §60 App. A), Methods 1-5 (MATS Modified). Criterion for acceptable RRA results are located in Part 60, Appendix F Procedure 2 Sec 10.4(6)(i-iii).

The fieldwork was performed in accordance with EPA Reference Methods and EMR's Intent to Test.<sup>1</sup> The following EMR Field Services personnel participated in the testing program: Mr. Mark Grigereit, Principal Engineer, Mr. Thom Snyder, Environmental Specialist, and Mr. Fred Meinecke, Senior Environmental Technician. Mr. Grigereit was the project leader. Coordination with the facility was performed by Ms. Tanecia Wilson, Environmental Specialist. Mr. Tom Gasloli with the Air Quality Division of the Michigan Department of Environmental Quality (MDEQ) reviewed the test plan.

## 2.0 SOURCE DESCRIPTION

The River Rouge Power Plant (RRPP), located at 1 Belanger Park Dr. River Rouge, Michigan, employs the use of one coal-fired boiler. Unit 3 is a Foster-Wheeler Boller, nominally rated at 278 GMW. Particulate emissions from Unit 3 are controlled via a Wheelabrator-Fry electrostatic precipitator (ESP). The air pollution control equipment has a designed collection efficiency of 99.9%.

The boiler is equipped with a Dry Sorbent Injection (DSI) and Activated Carbon Injection (ACI) air quality control system. The DSI system is used to control acid gas, PM, PM10, PM2.5, and NOx emissions from the unit. Trona is received at the plant where inline mills further refine the Trona. The ACI system is used to control Mercury emissions.

The coal blend for Unit 3 was a 90% low-sulfur western (LSW), 10% high-sulfur eastern (HSE). During the RATA, Unit 3 was fired with 100% REF coal from the Chem-Mod facility. Testing was performed on Unit 3 while operating at normal load conditions, per Subpart

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> MDEQ, Test Plan, Submitted October 4, 2017. (Attached-Appendix A)



River Rouge Power Plant utilizes Sick AG Maihak SP100 dust measuring systems. The analyzers utilize a measuring technique based off scattered light principal. The SP100 model is specific for low to medium dust collections. The following unit was audited:

Unit	Analyzer	Manufacturer/ Model	Analyzer Range	Serial Number
Unit 3	PM	Sick/ Maihak SP100	200 mg/acm	15318415

## 3.0 SAMPLING AND ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES

DTE Energy obtained emissions measurements in accordance with procedures specified in the USEPA *Standards of Performance for New Stationary Sources*. The sampling and analytical methods used in the testing program are indicated in the table below

Sampling Method	Parameter	Analysis
USEPA Methods 1-2	Exhaust Gas Flow Rates	Field data analysis and reduction
USEPA Method 3A	O2 & CO2	Instrumental Analyzer Method
USEPA Method 4	Moisture Content	Field data analysis and reduction
USEPA Method 5 - MATS Modified	. Particulate Matter	Gravimetric Analysis

#### 3.1 STACK GAS VELOCITY AND FLOWRATES (USEPA Methods 1-2)

#### 3.1.1 Sampling Method

Stack gas velocity traverses were conducted in accordance with the procedures outlined in USEPA Method 1, "Sample and Velocity Traverses for Stationary Sources," and Method 2, "Determination of Stack Gas Velocity and Volumetric Flowrate." Four (4) sampling ports were utilized on each unit's exhaust stack, sampling at three (3) points per port for a total of twelve (12) points. Velocity traverses were conducted simultaneously with the particulate sampling. See Figure 1 for a diagram of the traverse/sampling points used.



Cyclonic flow checks were performed on each stack during the initial flow monitor certification RATAs. Testing at the sampling location demonstrated that no cyclonic flow was present at either location. No changes to the stacks have occurred since the cyclonic flow checks were performed. Additionally, verifications of null angle at 0° were observed while performing static pressure checks on each unit.

#### 3.1.2 Method 2 Sampling Equipment

The EPA Method 2 sampling equipment consisted of a 0-10" incline manometer, S-type Pitot tube ( $C_p = 0.84$ ) and a Type-K calibrated thermocouple.

## 3.2 OXYGEN & CARBON DIOXIDE (USEPA Method 3A)

#### 3.2.1 Sampling Method

Oxygen (O<sub>2</sub>) and carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) emissions were evaluated using USEPA Method 3A, "Gas Analysis for Carbon Dioxide, Oxygen, Excess Air, and Dry Molecular Weight (Instrumental Analyzer Method)". The analyzers utilize paramagnetic sensors.

## 3.2.2 O<sub>2</sub>/CO<sub>2</sub> Sampling Train

The EPA Method 3A sampling system consisted of the following:

- (1) PTFE sampling line (collecting gas sample from the meter rig exhaust)
- (2) Universal<sup>®</sup> gas conditioner with particulate filter
- (3) PTFE connecting line
- (4) Servomax 1400 O<sub>2</sub>/CO<sub>2</sub> gas analyzer
- (5) Appropriate USEPA Protocol 1 calibration gases
- (6) Data Acquisition System

#### 3.2.3 Sampling Train Calibration

The  $Q_2$  and  $CO_2$  analyzers were calibrated per procedures outlined in USEPA Methods 3A. Zero, span, and mid-range calibration gases were introduced directly into the analyzer to verify the instruments linearity, prior to sampling, and again at the completion of each test run.

## 3.3 MOISTURE DETERMINATION (USEPA Method 4)

#### 3.3.1 Sampling Method

Determination of the moisture content of the exhaust gas was performed using USEPA Method 4, "Determination of Moisture Content in Stack Gases". The moisture was collected in the Method 5 glass impingers, and the percentage of water was then derived from calculations outlined in USEPA Method 4.



## 3.4 PARTICULATE MATTER (USEPA Method 5 - MATS Modified)

#### 3.4.1 Filterable Particulate Sampling Method

USEPA Method 5 - MATS Modified, "Determination of Particulate Emissions from Stationary Sources" was used to measure the filterable (front-half) particulate emissions (see Figure 2 for a schematic of the sampling train). Triplicate, 60-minute test runs were conducted.

The Method 5 - MATS Modified modular isokinetic stack sampling system consisted of the following:

- (1) PTFE coated stainless-steel button-hook nozzle
- (2) Heated glass-lined probe
- (3) Heated 3" glass filter holder with a quartz filter (Maintained at a temperature of 320 <u>+</u> 25 °F)
- (4) Set of impingers for the collection of condensate for moisture determination
- (5) Length of sample line
- (6) Environmental Supply<sup>®</sup> control case equipped with a pump, dry gas meter, and calibrated orifice.

The quartz filters used in the sampling were initially baked for 3 hours at  $320 \, {}^{\circ}\text{F}$ , desiccated for 24 hours and weighed to a constant weight as described in Method 5 - MATS Modified to obtain the initial tare weight.

After completion of the final leak test for each test run, the filter was recovered, and the probe, nozzle and the front half of the filter holder assembly were brushed and rinsed with acetone. The acetone rinses were collected in a pre-cleaned sample container. The container was labeled with the test number, test location, test date, and the level of liquid marked on the outside of the container. Immediately after recovery, the sample containers were placed in a cooler for storage.

At the laboratory, the acetone rinses were transferred to clean pre-weighed beakers, and evaporated to dryness at ambient temperature and pressure. The beakers and filters were desiccated for 24 hours and weighed to a constant weight (within 0.5 mg). The data sheets containing the initial and final weights on the filters and beakers can be found in Appendix C.

Collected field blanks consisted of a blank filter and acetone solution blank. The acetone blank was collected from the rinse bottle used in sample recovery. The blank





filter and acetone were collected and analyzed following the same procedures used to recover and analyze the field samples. Field data sheets for the Method 5 - MATS Modified sampling can be found in Appendix B.

## 3.4.2 Quality Control and Assurance

All sampling and analytical equipment was calibrated per the guidelines referenced in EPA Method 5 - MATS Modified. All Method 1-5 calibration data is in Appendix D.

## 3.4.3 Data Reduction

The filterable PM emissions data collected during the testing were calculated and reported as mg/acm @ stack conditions.

## 4.0 OPERATING PARAMETERS

The test program included the collection of PM CEMs emission data and Load during each PM emissions test. Data collected during the testing is presented in Appendix E.

## 5.0 DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

Table 1 presents the Unit 3 Reference Method particulate emission testing results (RM PM), particulate matter continuous emissions monitoring system (PM CEMS) results, PM CEMS correlation (expected point on the correlation regression line) value, and  $\pm 25\%$  of the emission limit along the correlation regression line). Particulate emissions are presented in milligram per actual cubic meter calculated at stack conditions (mg/acm).

In order to pass an RRA, All of the following criteria must be met: Procedure 2 10.4(6)(i-iii).

- i) For all three data points, the PM CEMS response value can be no greater that the greatest PM CEMS response value used to develop the correlation curve.
- ii) For two of the three data points, the PM CEMS response value must lie within the PM CEMS output range used to develop your correlation curve.
- iii) At least two of the three sets of PM CEMS and Reference Method measurements must fall within the same specified area on a graph of the correlation regression line as required for the RCA and described in paragraph (5)(iii). "The specific area on the graph of the correlation regression line is defined by two lines parallel to the correlation regression line, offset at a distance of ±25% of the numerical emission limit value from the correlation regression line.



All three requirements were successfully met. Testing results are in Table 1 "Unit 3 PM CEMS RRA Results" and Table 2 "Unit 3 PM CEMS RRA – Summary Graph)."

Testing was interrupted 47 minutes into the 3<sup>rd</sup> run. The boiler developed a leak on the outer wall which caused the unit to immediately come off-line. The sample volume for run 3 was 46.10 cubic feet and sampling had been completed on three of the four test ports. The data for run 3 has been included in the test results.

The auxiliary test data presented in the results table for each test includes the unit load in gross megawatts (GMW), stack temperature in degrees Fahrenheit (°F), stack gas moisture in percent (%), stack gas velocity in feet per minute (ft/min), and stack gas flow rate in actual cubic feet per minute (acfm), standard cubic feet per minute (scfm) and dry standard cubic feet per minute (dscfm).



## 6.0 CERTIFICATION STATEMENT

"I certify that I believe the information provided in this document is true, accurate, and complete. Results of testing are based on the good faith application of sound professional judgment, using techniques, factors, or standards approved by the Local, State, or Federal Governing body, or generally accepted in the trade."

Mark Grigerelt, QSTI

This report prepared by:

Mr. Mark Grigereit, OST/ Principal Engineer, Field Services Group Environmental Management and Resources DTE Energy

This report reviewed by:

Mr. Thomas Sryder Environmental Specialist, Field Services Group Environmental Management and Resources DTE Energy

# TABLE NO. 1 PARTICULATE MATTER CONTINUOUS EMISSIONS MONITORING SYSTEM RELATIVE RESPONSE AUDIT RESULTS River Rouge Power Plant - Unit 3 Stack June 7, 2018

Test	Test Time	- Unit Load	Stack Temperature	Stack	Stack Velocity		ust Gas Flow		PM CEMS	RM PM	PM CEMS	Correlation	Correlation
. iest	restrime	(GMW)	(°F)	(%)	(ft/min)	(ACFM)	(SCFM)	(DSCFM)	(mg/acm <sup>1</sup> )	(mg/acm <sup>1</sup> )	(correlation)	(-25% Emission Jimit <sup>2</sup> )	(+25% Emission limit <sup>2</sup> )
RRA-1	6:12-7:20	231.4	312.5	10.1	2,605	1,129,918	780,274	701,267	11.5	5.50	7.7	2.98	12.48
RRA-2	7:45-8:53	231.4	315.5	9.4	2,563	1,111,615	764,665	692,451	10.8	4.22	7.5	2.77	12.27
RRA-3	9:21-10:18	231.2	317.3	9.6	2,525	1,095,339	751,760	679,877	11.4	9.03	7.7	2.95	12.45

(1) concentration @ stack conditions

.

(2) ±25% emission limit (4.99mg/acm)

TABLE No. 2 RIVER Rouge POWER PLANT UNIT 3 PM CEMS RRA SUMMARY GRAPH June 7, 2018







