

Mercury and Air Toxics Standard Particulate Matter and Hydrogen Chloride Emissions Test Report

Lansing Board of Water and Light Erickson Station Unit 1 Stack Lansing, Michigan March 2 and 3, 2017

Report Submittal Date April 11, 2017

RECEIVED

APR 1 9 2017

AIR QUALITY DIV.

© Copyright 2017 All rights reserved in Mostardi Platt

Project No. M170902A



MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY AIR QUALITY DIVISION

REPORT CERTIFICATION

Authorized by 1994 P.A. 451, as amended. Failure to provide this information may result in civil and/or criminal penalties.

Reports submitted pursuant to R 336.1213 (Rule 213), subrules (3)(c) and/or (4)(c), of Michigan's Renewable Operating (RO) Permit program must be certified by a responsible official. Additional information regarding the reports and documentation listed below must be kept on file for at least 5 years, as described in General Condition No. 22 in the RO Permit and be made available to the Department of Environmental

Source Name Lansing Board of Water & Light		County Eaton		
Source Address 3725 S. Canal Road	City	Lansing		
AQD Source ID (SRN) B4001 RO Permit No. MI-ROP-B40	001-2015	RO Permit Section No.		
Please check the appropriate box(es):				
☐ Annual Compliance Certification (General Condition No. 28 and No.	. 29 of the RO Per	mit)		
Reporting period (provide inclusive dates): From	То			
During the entire reporting period, this source was in compliance with each term and condition of which is identified and included by this refere is/are the method(s) specified in the RO Permit.	ALL terms and co			
2. During the entire reporting period this source was in compliance w				
each term and condition of which is identified and included by this i				
enclosed deviation report(s). The method used to determine compliand the RO Permit, unless otherwise indicated and described on the enclose				
Semi-Annual (or More Frequent) Report Certification (General Cor	dition No. 23 of th	e RO Permit)		
Committee to the control to the cont	(and) (40, 20 0) Ell			
Reporting period (provide inclusive dates): From	To			
 During the entire reporting period, ALL monitoring and associated re and no deviations from these requirements or any other terms or condition. 		ements in the RO Permit were met		
and no deviations from these requirements of any other terms of conditi	ons occurred.			
 2. During the entire reporting period, all monitoring and associated recond deviations from these requirements or any other terms or conditions of enclosed deviation report(s). 				
	- Carlotte			
☑ Other Report Certification				
Reporting period (provide inclusive dates): From 01/01/2017	To 03/31/:	2017		
Additional monitoring reports or other applicable documents required by th	RO Permit are att	ached as described:		
Erickson Mercury and Air Toxics Standard PM and HCl E				
- Mayora				
I certify that, based on information and belief formed after reasonable inquiry, the statements and information in this report and the supporting enclosures are true, accurate and complete, and that any observed, documented or known instances of noncompliance have				
been reported as deviations, including situations where a different or no monito	- ,	·		
Lori Myott Manager, Name of Responsible Official (print or type) Title	Environmental	517-702-6639 Phone Number		
Name of responsible official (print of type)		Filone Manubel		
You h hugh		4-18-2017		
Signature of Responsible Official		Date		

Quality, Air Quality Division upon request.

^{*} Photocopy this form as needed.

1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

MOSTARDI PLATT conducted a Mercury and Air Toxics Standards (MATS) filterable particulate matter and hydrogen chloride emissions test program for the Lansing Board of Water and Light at the Erickson Station on the Unit 1 Stack in Lansing, Michigan on March 2 and 3, 2017. This report summarizes the results of the test program and test methods used.

The test location, test dates, and test parameters are summarized below.

TEST INFORMATION			
Test Location	Test Dates	Test Parameters	
Unit 1 Stack	March 2 and 3, 2017	Filterable Particulate Matter (FPM) and Hydrogen Chloride (HCI)	

The purpose of the test program was to document FPM and HCI emissions to qualify for the LEE designation as required by 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart UUUUU. Selected results of the test program are summarized below. A complete summary of emission test results follows the narrative portion of this report.

TEST RESULTS				
Test Location Test Parameter Em		Emission Limits	Emission Rates	
Unit 1 Stack	FPM	≤0.030 lb/mmBtu	0.0037 lb/mmBtu	
Unit I Stack	HCI	≤0.002 lb/mmBtu	0.0011 lb/mmBtu	

Emissions on lb/mmBtu basis were determined using a standard F_d-Factor of 9,820 dscf/mmBtu for sub-bituminous coal. Plant operating data as provided by Lansing Board of Water and Light is included in Appendix A.

The Stationary Source Audit Sample Program audit sample was obtained from ERA and submitted for analysis to Maxxam Analytical. The results of the audit sample was compared to the assigned value by ERA and found to be acceptable. The audit sample result and evaluation are appended to this report.

The identifications of the individuals associated with the test program are summarized below.

TEST PERSONNEL INFORMATION			
Location	Address	Contact	
Test Coordinator	Lansing Board of Water and Light 1232 Haco Drive P.O. Box 13007 Lansing, Michigan 48912	Ms. Trista Gregorski Environmental Engineer (517) 702-6865 (phone) trista.gregorski@lbwl.com	
Test Facility	Lansing Board of Water and Light Erickson Station 3725 South Canal Road Lansing, Michigan 48917		
Testing Company Representative	Mostardi Platt 888 Industrial Drive Elmhurst, Illinois 60126	Mr. Christopher Trezak Project Manager (630) 993-2100 (phone) ctrezak@mp-mail.com	

The test crew consisted of Messrs. D. Kossack, T. Schmidt, and C. Trezak of Mostardi Platt.

2.0 TEST METHODOLOGY

Emissions testing was conducted following the methods specified in 40CFR60, Appendix A. A schematic of the test section diagram is found in Appendix B and schematics of the sampling trains used are included in Appendix C. Calculation nomenclature and sample calculations are included in Appendix D. Laboratory analysis data are found in Appendix E. Copies of analyzer print-outs for each test run are included in Appendix F and field data sheets are found in Appendix G.

The following methodologies were used during the test program:

Method 1 Traverse Point Determination

Test measurement points were selected in accordance with Method 1. The characteristics of the measurement location are summarized below.

TEST POINT INFORMATION				
Upstream Downstream Number of Location Diameters Diameters Test Parameter Sampling Points				
Unit 1 Stack	7.9	11.3	FPM, HCI	12

Method 2 Volumetric Flowrate Determination

Gas velocity was measured following Method 2, for purposes of calculating stack gas volumetric flow rate. An S-type pitot tube, differential pressure gauge, thermocouple and temperature readout were used to determine gas velocity at each sample point. All of the equipment used was calibrated in accordance with the specifications of the Method. Calibration data are presented in Appendix H.

Method 3A Oxygen (O2)/Carbon Dioxide (CO2) Determination

Stack gas molecular weight was determined in accordance with Method 3A. An ECOM analyzer was used to determine stack gas oxygen and carbon dioxide content and, by difference, nitrogen content. All of the equipment used was calibrated in accordance with the specifications of the Method. Calibration data are presented in Appendix H and copies of the gas cylinder certifications are found in Appendix I.

Method 5 Filterable Particulate Matter (FPM) Determination

Stack gas FPM concentrations and emission rates were determined in accordance with USEPA Method 5, 40CFR60, Appendix A. An Environmental Supply Company, Inc. sampling train was used to sample stack gas at an isokinetic rate, as specified in the Method. Filter and probe temperatures were elevated to 320° Fahrenheit as described in 40CFR63, Subpart UUUUU. Particulate matter in the sample probe was recovered using an acetone rinse. The probe wash and filter catch were analyzed by Mostardi Platt in accordance with the Method in the Elmhurst, Illinois laboratory. Sample analysis data are found in Appendix E. All of the equipment used was calibrated in accordance with the specifications of the Method. Calibration data are presented in Appendix H.

Method 26A Hydrogen Chloride (HCI) Determination

Stack gas HCl concentrations and emission rates were determined in accordance with Method 26A, 40CFR60, Appendix A. An Environmental Supply Company sampling train was used to sample stack gas, in the manner specified in the Method. Analyses of the samples collected were conducted by Maxxam Analytics, Inc. of Mississauga, Ontario. Sample analysis data are found in Appendix E. All of the equipment used was calibrated in accordance with the specifications of the Method. Calibration data are presented in Appendix H.

3 of 106

3.0 TEST RESULT SUMMARIES

Client:

Lansing Board of Water and Light

Facility: Test Location: Unit 1 Stack

Erickson Station

Test Method: 5 MATS

estiviethod: piviAi5				
Source Condition	Normal	Normal	Normal	
Date	3/2/17	3/2/17	3/2/17	
Start Time	8:35	1:35	14:15	
End Time	11:00	13:50	16:30	
	Run 1	Run 2	Run 3	Average
Stack Cond	itions			
Average Gas Temperature, °F	311.2	314.6	315.7	313.8
Flue Gas Moisture, percent by volume	10.9%	11.0%	11.4%	11.1%
Average Flue Pressure, in. Hg	29.10	29.10	29.10	29.10
Gas Sample Volume, dscf	72.526	70.940	72.054	71.840
Average Gas Velocity, ft/sec	52.826	52.873	53.437	53.045
Gas Volumetric Flow Rate, acfm	719,421	720,070	727,749	722,413
Gas Volumetric Flow Rate, dscfm	426,596	424,638	426,862	426,032
Gas Volumetric Flow Rate, scfm	479,011	477,303	481,720	479,345
Average %CO ₂ by volume, dry basis	14.5	14.7	14.1	14.4
Average %O ₂ by volume, dry basis	5.3	5.2	5.1	5.2
Isokinetic Variance	98.3	96.6	97.6	97.5
Standard Fuel Factor Fd, dscf/mmBtu	9,820.0	9,820.0	9,820.0	9,820.0
Filterable Particulate Matt	er (Method			
grams collected	0.0077	0.0102	0.0096	0.0092
grains/acf	0.0010	0.0013	0.0012	0.0012
grains/dscf	0.0016	0.0022	0.0021	0.0020
lb/hr	5.998	8.059	7.545	7.201
lb/mmBtu (Standard Fd Factor)	0.0031	0.0041	0.0038	0.0037

4 of 106

Client:

Lansing Board of Water and Light

Facility:

Erickson Station Test Location: Unit 1 Stack

Test Method: 26A

inic tilou, zon				
Source Condition	High	High	High	
Date	3/3/17	3/3/17	3/3/17	
Start Time	7:50	9:58	11:55	
End Time	9:37	11:43	13:40	
	Run 1	Run 2	Run 3	Average
Sta	ack Conditions			
Average Gas Temperature, °F	310.5	312.5	313.3	312.1
Flue Gas Moisture, percent by volume	11.4%	10.8%	11.8%	11.3%
Average Flue Pressure, in. Hg	29.17	29.17	29.17	29.17
Gas Sample Volume, dscf	58.241	58.571	58.350	58.387
Average Gas Velocity, ft/sec	53.008	53.145	53.251	53.135
Gas Volumetric Flow Rate, acfm	721,900	723,767	725,217	723,628
Gas Volumetric Flow Rate, dscfm	427,109	430,332	425,969	427,803
Gas Volumetric Flow Rate, scfm	482,235	482,205	482,703	482,381
Average %CO ₂ by volume, dry basis	14.4	14.7	14.7	14.6
Average %O ₂ by volume, dry basis	5.3	5.3	5.2	5.3
Isokinetic Variance	98.5	98.4	99.0	98.6
Standard Fuel Factor Fd, dscf/mmBtu	9,820.0	9,820.0	9,820.0	9,820.0
Hydrogen C	hloride (HCI) E	missions		
ug of sample collected	2700	2000	2000	2233
ppm	1.08	0.80	0.80	0.89
mg/dscm	1.64	1.21	1.21	1.35
lb/hr	2.62	1.94	1.93	2.16
lb/mmBtu (Standard Fd Factor)	0.0013	0.0010	0.0010	0.0011

4.0 CERTIFICATION

MOSTARDI PLATT is pleased to have been of service to Lansing Board of Water and Light. If you have any questions regarding this test report, please do not hesitate to contact us at 630-993-2100.

CERTIFICATION

As project manager, I hereby certify that this test report represents a true and accurate summary of emissions test results and the methodologies employed to obtain those results, and the test program was performed in accordance with the methods specified in this test report.

MOSTARDI PLATT

Unatur S. Sigos	
Christopher Trezak	Program Manager
chinstopher riezak	
Scotter Barrel	
	Quality Assurance
Scott W. Banach	