

Mercury and Air Toxics Standard Particulate Matter and Hydrogen Chloride Emissions Test Report

Lansing Board of Water and Light Erickson Station Unit 1 Stack Lansing, Michigan September 13 and 14, 2017

> Report Submittal Date October 13, 2017

> > © Copyright 2017 All rights reserved in Mostardi Platt

Project No. M172506D

888 Industrial Drive Elmhurst, Illinois 60126 630-993-2100

RECEIVED

OCT 23 2017

1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY AIR QUALITY DIVISION

MOSTARDI PLATT conducted a Mercury and Air Toxics Standards (MATS) filterable particulate matter and hydrogen chloride emissions test program for the Lansing Board of Water and Light at the Erickson Station on the Unit 1 Stack in Lansing, Michigan on September 13 and 14, 2017. This report summarizes the results of the test program and test methods used.

The test location, test dates, and test parameters are summarized below.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	TEST INFORMATION	
Test Location	Test Dates	Test Parameters
Unit 1 Stack	September 13 and 14, 2017	Filterable Particulate Matter (FPM) and Hydrogen Chloride (HCI)

The purpose of the test program was to document FPM and HCI emissions to qualify for the LEE designation as required by 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart UUUUU. Selected results of the test program are summarized below. A complete summary of emission test results follows the narrative portion of this report.

	· · · ·	TEST RESULTS		
Test Location	Test Parameter	Emission Limits	LEE Emission Limits	Emission Rates
Linit 4 Cheale	FPM	≤0.030 lb/mmBtu	≤0.015 lb/mmBtu	0.0118 lb/mmBtu
Unit 1 Stack	HCI	≤0.002 lb/mmBtu	≤0.001 lb/mmBtu	0.0010 lb/mmBtu

Emissions on Ib/mmBtu basis were determined using a standard F_d-Factor of 9,820 dscf/mmBtu for sub-bituminous coal. Plant operating data as provided by Lansing Board of Water and Light is included in Appendix A.

The Stationary Source Audit Sample Program audit sample was obtained from ERA and submitted for analysis to Mostardi Platt. The results of the audit sample were compared to the assigned value by ERA and found to be acceptable. The audit sample result and evaluation are appended to this report.

The identifications of the individuals associated with the test program are summarized below.

	TEST PERSONNEL INFORMATIO	N
Location	Address	Contact
Test Coordinator	Lansing Board of Water and Light 1232 Haco Drive P.O. Box 13007 Lansing, Michigan 48912	Ms. Trista Gregorski Environmental Engineer (517) 702-6865 (phone) trista.gregorski@lbwl.com
Test Facility	Lansing Board of Water and Light Erickson Station 3725 South Canal Road Lansing, Michigan 48917	
Testing Company Representative	Mostardi Platt 888 Industrial Drive Elmhurst, Illinois 60126	Mr. Stuart Burton Project Manager (630) 993-2100 (phone) Sburton34@mp-mail.com

The test crew consisted of Messrs. B. Collins, B. Garcia, M. Newsome, P. Lyons, and S. Burton of Mostardi Platt.

2.0 TEST METHODOLOGY

Emissions testing was conducted following the methods specified in 40CFR60, Appendix A. A schematic of the test section diagram is found in Appendix B and schematics of the sampling trains used are included in Appendix C. Calculation nomenclature and sample calculations are included in Appendix D. Laboratory analysis data are found in Appendix E. Copies of analyzer print-outs for each test run are included in Appendix F and field data sheets are found in Appendix G.

The following methodologies were used during the test program:

Method 1 Traverse Point Determination

	TES	T POINT INFORMA	TION	
Location	Upstream Diameters	Downstream Diameters	Test Parameter	Number of Sampling Points
Unit 1 Stack	7.9	11.3	FPM, HCI	12

Test measurement points were selected in accordance with Method 1. The characteristics of the measurement location are summarized below.

Method 2 Volumetric Flowrate Determination

Gas velocity was measured following Method 2, for purposes of calculating stack gas volumetric flow rate. An S-type pitot tube, differential pressure gauge, thermocouple and temperature readout were used to determine gas velocity at each sample point. All of the equipment used was calibrated in accordance with the specifications of the Method. Calibration data are presented in Appendix H.

Method 3A Oxygen (O₂)/Carbon Dioxide (CO₂) Determination

Stack gas molecular weight was determined in accordance with Method 3A. An ECOM analyzer was used to determine stack gas oxygen and carbon dioxide content and, by difference, nitrogen content. All of the equipment used was calibrated in accordance with the specifications of the Method. Calibration data are presented in Appendix H and copies of the gas cylinder certifications are found in Appendix I.

Method 5 Filterable Particulate Matter (FPM) Determination

Stack gas FPM concentrations and emission rates were determined in accordance with USEPA Method 5, 40CFR60, Appendix A. An Environmental Supply Company, Inc. sampling train was used to sample stack gas at an isokinetic rate, as specified in the Method. Filter and probe temperatures were elevated to 320° Fahrenheit as described in 40CFR63, Subpart UUUUU. Particulate matter in the sample probe was recovered using an acetone rinse. The probe wash and filter catch were analyzed by Mostardi Platt in accordance with the Method in the Elmhurst, Illinois laboratory. Sample analysis data are found in Appendix E. All of the equipment used was calibrated in accordance with the specifications of the Method. Calibration data are presented in Appendix H.

Method 26A Hydrogen Chloride (HCI) Determination

Stack gas HCI concentrations and emission rates were determined in accordance with Method 26A, 40CFR60, Appendix A. An Environmental Supply Company sampling train was used to sample stack gas, in the manner specified in the Method. Analyses of the samples collected were conducted by Mostardi Platt. Sample analysis data are found in Appendix E. All of the equipment used was calibrated in accordance with the specifications of the Method. Calibration data are presented in Appendix H.

3.0 TEST RESULT SUMMARIES

Client: Lansing Board of Water and Light Facility: Erickson Station Test Location: Unit 1 Stack

Test Method: 5 MATS

Source Condition	-	High Load	-	
Date	9/13/17	9/13/17	9/13/17	
Start Time	10:36	14:02	16:42	
End Time	13:26	16:21	18:54	
	Run 1	Run 2	Run 3	Average
Stack Cond	litions			
Average Gas Temperature, °F	329.0	329.3	328.2	328.8
Flue Gas Moisture, percent by volume	10.3%	11.8%	11.1%	11.1%
Average Flue Pressure, in. Hg	29.49	29.49	29.49	29.49
Gas Sample Volume, dscf	71.032	71.997	71.04 7	71.359
Average Gas Velocity, ft/sec	49.957	50.602	49.717	50.092
Gas Volumetric Flow Rate, acfm	680,358	689,144	677,090	682,197
Gas Volumetric Flow Rate, dscfm	402,308	400,646	397,314	400,089
Gas Volumetric Flow Rate, scfm	448,698	454,324	446,991	450,004
Average %CO ₂ by volume, dry basis	13.6	13.9	13.9	13.8
Average %O ₂ by volume, dry basis	5.5	5.4	5.3	5.4
Isokinetic Variance	101.2	103.0	102.5	102.2
Standard Fuel Factor Fd, dscf/mmBtu	9,820.0	9,820.0	9,820.0	9,820.0
Filterable Particulate Mat	ter (Method	5 MATS)		
grams collected	0.02717	0.03083	0.02895	0.02898
grains/acf	0.0035	0.0038	0.0037	0.0037
grains/dscf	0.0059	0.0066	0.0063	0.0063
Ib/hr	20.352	22,690	21.412	21.485
lb/mmBtu (Standard Fd Factor)	0.0112	0.0125	0.0118	0.0118

RECEIVED

OCT 23 2017

AIR QUALITY DIVISION

Source Condition High Load High Load High Load Date 9/14/17 9/14/17 9/14/17 Start Time 7:10 9:47 12:23 End Time 9:23 12:00 14:35 Run 1 Run 2 Run 3 Average
Start Time7:109:4712:23End Time9:2312:0014:35
End Time 9:23 12:00 14:35
KUN 1 KUN 2 KUN 3 AVERAGE
Stack Conditions
Average Gas Temperature, °F 317.5 325.3 325.8 322.9
Flue Gas Moisture, percent by volume11.5%11.7%11.4%11.5%
Average Flue Pressure, in. Hg 29.58 29.58 29.58 29.58
Gas Sample Volume, dscf 71.653 73.328 74.256 73.079
Average Gas Velocity, ft/sec 50.324 51.165 51.371 50.953
Gas Volumetric Flow Rate, acfm 685,355 696,805 699,614 693,925
Gas Volumetric Flow Rate, dscfm 407,332 409,076 411,685 409,364
Gas Volumetric Flow Rate, scfm 460,079 463,099 464,720 462,633
Average %CO ₂ by volume, dry basis 13.2 13.6 13.4 13.4
Average %O₂ by volume, dry basis 5.9 5.6 5.8 5.8
Isokinetic Variance 100.1 102.0 102.6 101.6
Standard Fuel Factor Fd, dscf/mmBtu 9,820.0 9,820.0 9,820.0 9,820.0
Hydrogen Chloride (HCI) Emissions
ug of sample collected 2394.3 2324.9 2574.3 2431.2
ppm 0.78 0.74 0.81 0.77
mg/dscm 1.18 1.12 1.22 1.17
Ib/hr 1.80 1.72 1.89 1.80
Ib/mmBtu (Standard Fd Factor) 0.0010 0.0009 0.0010 0.0010

4.0 CERTIFICATION

MOSTARDI PLATT is pleased to have been of service to Lansing Board of Water and Light. If you have any questions regarding this test report, please do not hesitate to contact us at 630-993-2100.

CERTIFICATION

As project manager, I hereby certify that this test report represents a true and accurate summary of emissions test results and the methodologies employed to obtain those results, and the test program was performed in accordance with the methods specified in this test report.

MOSTARDI PLATT

Program Manager

Stuart L. Burton

Cottor Barner

_ Quality Assurance

Scott W. Banach