

RECEIVED

AUG 0 6 2015

AIR QUALITY DIV.

Particulate Emission Compliance Test Report

We Energies
Presque Isle Power Plant
Flue 9 Stack
Marquette, Michigan
June 4, 2015

Report Submittal Date: July 16, 2015

© Copyright 2015 All rights reserved in Mostardi Platt

Project No. M152202O

1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

MOSTARDI PLATT conducted a particulate emissions compliance test program for We Energies at the Presque Isle Power Plant on the Flue 9 Stack in Marquette, Michigan on June 4, 2015. This report summarizes the results of the test program and test methods used.

The test location, test date, and test parameter are summarized below.

TEST INFORMATION			
Test Location	Test Date	Test Parameter	
Flue 9 Stack	June 4, 2015	Filterable Particulate Matter (PM) (Method 5)	

The purpose of this test program was to evaluate the particulate emissions on the Flue 9 Stack during normal operating conditions to satisfy compliance requirements of the operating permit. Selected results of the test program are summarized below. A complete summary of emission test results follows the narrative portion of this report.

TEST RESULTS				
Test Location	Test Date	Parameter	Emission Rates	
Flue 9 Stack Jun	1 4.0045	lb/hr	3.150	
	June 4, 2015	lb/mmBtu	0.0037	

All times recorded are CEMs times.

The identifications of individuals associated with the test program are summarized below.

TEST PERSONNEL INFORMATION			
Location	Address	Contact	
Test	We Energies	Ms. Brenda Bergemann	
Coordinator	Presque Isle Power Plant	(414) 221-2459 (phone)	
	Marquette, Michigan	Brenda.Bergemann@we-energies.com	
Testing	Mostardi Platt	Mr. Timothy A. Mei	
Company	888 Industrial Drive	(630) 993-2100 (phone)	
Representative	Elmhurst, Illinois 60126	tmei@mp-mail.com	

The test crew consisted of Messrs. A. Hasan, L. Sorce, R. Iozzo, S. Van Daal, A. Tracy, and T. Mei of Mostardi Platt.

AUG 0 6 2015

AIR QUALITY DIV.

2.0 TEST METHODOLOGY

Emissions testing was conducted following the methods specified in 40 CFR, Part 60, Appendix A. A drawing depicting the sampling ports and test point locations is found in Appendix B, drawings depicting sampling trains are found in Appendix C, explanations of nomenclature and calculations are found in Appendix D, sample analysis data are found in Appendix E, reference method data are found in Appendix F, field data sheets are found in Appendix G and calibration data are found in Appendix H. Operating data are found in Appendix A.

The following methodologies were used during the test program:

Method 1 Sample and Velocity Traverse Determination

Test measurement points were selected in accordance with Method 1. The characteristics of the measurement location are summarized below.

TEST POINT INFORMATION				
L.ocation	Upstream Diameters	Downstream Diameters	Test Parameter	Number of Sampling Points
Flue 9 Stack	> 2.0	> 8.0	PM	12

Method 2 Volumetric Flow Rate Determination

Gas velocity was measured following Method 2, for purposes of calculating the gas volumetric flow rate. An S-type pitot tube, incline manometer, thermocouple and temperature readout were used to determine gas velocity at each sample point at the Flue 9 Stack test location. All of the equipment used was calibrated in accordance with the specifications of the Method. Calibration data are presented in Appendix H.

Method 3A Carbon Dioxide (CO₂) and Oxygen (O₂) Determination

Stack gas carbon dioxide (CO_2) and oxygen (O_2) content was determined in accordance with Method 3A. A Servomex analyzer was used to determine flue gas CO_2 and O_2 . All of the equipment used was calibrated in accordance with the specifications of the Method. Calibration data are presented in Appendix H and copies of the gas cylinder certifications are found in Appendix I.

Method 5 Particulate Determination

Stack gas particulate concentrations and emission rates were determined in accordance with Method 5, 40 CFR, Part 60, Appendix A. An Environmental Supply Company, Inc. sampling train was used to sample stack gas at an isokinetic rate, as specified in the Method. Particulate matter in the sample probe was recovered using an acetone rinse. The probe wash and filter catch were analyzed by Mostardi Platt in accordance with the Method in the Elmhurst, Illinois laboratory. Laboratory data are found in Appendix E. All of the equipment used was calibrated in accordance with the specifications of the Method. Calibration data are presented in Appendix H.

3.0 TEST RESULT SUMMARY

Client:

Facility:

We Energies Presque Isle Power Plant

Test Location: Flue 9 Stack

Test Method:

Source Condition	Normal Full Load		
Date	6/4/15	6/4/15	6/4/15
Start Time	7:30	9:20	11:05
End Time	8.45	10.32	12:18

Start Time	7:30	9:20	11:05			
End Time	8:45	10:32	12:18			
	Run 1	Run 2	Run 3	Average		
Stack C	Stack Conditions					
Average Gas Temperature, °F	320.0	324.8	333.1	326.0		
Flue Gas Moisture, percent by volume	12.2%	11.8%	11.8%	11.9%		
Average Flue Pressure, in. Hg	28.82	28.82	28.82	28.82		
Gas Sample Volume, dscf	44.571	44.815	42.887	44.091		
Average Gas Velocity, ft/sec	82.055	83.693	83.658	83,135		
Gas Volumetric Flow Rate, acfm	348,974	355,942	355,790	353,569		
Gas Volumetric Flow Rate, dscfm	199,709	203,309	201,127	201,382		
Gas Volumetric Flow Rate, scfm	227,524	230,638	228,141	228,768		
Average %CO ₂ by volume, dry basis	13.3	13.3	13.1	13.2		
Average %O ₂ by volume, dry basis	6.3	6.3	6.5	6.4		
Isokinetic Variance	104.6	103.4	100.0	102.7		
Fd Factor, dscf/mmBtu	9,820.0	9,820.0	9,820.0	9,820.0		
Filterable Particula	Filterable Particulate Matter (Method 5)					
grams collected	0.0047	0.0048	0.0061	0.0052		
grains/acf	0.0009	0.0009	0.0012	0.0010		
grains/dscf	0.0016	0.0017	0.0022	0.0018		
lb/hr	2.785	2.880	3.784	3.150		
lb/mmBtu (Standard Fd Factor)	0.0033	0.0033	0.0045	0.0037		

4.0 CERTIFICATION

MOSTARDI PLATT is pleased to have been of service to We Energies. If you have any questions regarding this test report, please do not hesitate to contact us at 630-993-2100.

CERTIFICATION

As project manager, I hereby certify that this test report represents a true and accurate summary of emissions test results and the methodologies employed to obtain those results, and the test program was performed in accordance with the methods specified in this test report.

MOSTARDI PLATT

Twister AMed	Program Manager
Timothy A. Mei	c c
Scott W. Banase	
Scott W. Banach	Quality Assurance
Ocott vv. Danach	