

5440 Alder Drive Houston, TX 77081

Phone: 800-836-7333 *Fax:* 713-664-6444 *E-mail:* emissions@ceconet.com

EMISSION TEST REPORT

<u>REGULATION(S):</u> 40 CFR 60 SUBPART JJJJ AND MDEQ PERMIT <u>POLLUTANT(S):</u> CO, NOX, AND VOCS

ANR TRANSCANADA PIPELINE COMPANY BLUE LAKE 18 KALKASKA COUNTY, MI

Permit Number: FRS#/EPA Registry ID:	MI-ROP-B7198_2014A 110013860526	RECEIVED
SOURCE CLASSIFICATION CODE (SCC):	20200254	JAN 23 2018
Emission Source: 4-stroke/2-stroke;	Spark-Ignited Engine 4-Stroke	AIR QUALITY DIVISION

EMISSION SOURCE: SPARK-IGNITED ENGINE 4-STROKE/2-STROKE: 4-STROKE RICH/LEAN BURN: LEAN BURN MAKE & MODEL: CATERPILLAR G3516 SOURCE NUMBER: BLGEN-C UNIT NUMBER: C SERIAL NUMBER: 3RC00643

TEST DATE: NOVEMBER 28, 2017

	pounds	s / hour	g/BHP-hr			
Pollutant	Permitted	Emitted	Permitted	Emitted	PASS/FAIL	
CO	1.6	< 0.1	1.4	< 0.1	PASS	
NOx	5.7	1.4	2	1	PASS	
VOCs	0.9	< 0.1	0.55	< 0.01	PASS	

Limits obtained from Permit MI-ROP-B7198_2014a.

The contents of this document relate only to the items tested. I certify under penalty of law that I believe the information provided in this document is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant civil and criminal penalties, including the possibility of fine or imprisonment or both, for submitting false, inaccurate or incomplete information.

CECO TEST LEADER:

-lug Augo-

HUY NGUYEN SENIOR EMISSIONS TEST SPECIALIST CECO TRAINING & TECHNICAL SERVICES 724-961-3584

This report may not be reproduced in full, or in part, without the written approval of ANR TransCanada Pipeline Company.

Revision His	story	
Version	Revision Date	Comments
0	original	Original Version of Document.

Project Information

CECO Project No: 20171128-052-1

Contact Information

Facility Information

Facility ANR TransCanada Pipeline Company Blue Lake 18 Kalkaska County, MI Roy Cannon 700 Louisiana Street Houston, TX 77028 832-320-5465 roy cannon@transcanada.com

Testing Group Information

Contact Huy Nguyen CECO Training & Technical Services 5440 Alder Drive Houston, TX 77081 724-961-3584 huy.nguyen@ceconet.com

Introduction

CECO Training & Technical Services, a division of Compressor Engineering Corporation, conducted source emission testing at ANR TransCanada Pipeline Company, Blue Lake 18 to fulfill the requirements of 40 CFR 60 Subpart JJJJ and MDEQ Permit. This report details the test purpose, objectives, testing procedures, sampling and analysis methodology, and results of the source testing conducted on November 28, 2017.

Process Description

The following source was tested:

• Unit Number C (Source ID BLGEN-C) SN 3RC00643 – one (1) Caterpillar G3516 natural gas-fired, 4-Stroke, Lean Burn internal combustion engine, rated to 1125 brake horsepower (BHP) at 1200 revolutions per minute (RPM). This source is equipped with Oxidation Catalyst w/AFR for emission control and drive a generator.

Test Purpose and Objectives

The purpose of this test was to fulfill the requirements of 40 CFR 60 Subpart JJJJ and MDEQ Permit. The objective of this test was to conduct the required three (3) 60-minute test runs to measure the applicable emission species at the maximum achievable load.

Results

Caterpi	illar B3516		Test Run		
Source	ID:BLGEN-C Unit ID: C SN: 3RC00643	<u>1st</u>	2nd	3rd	Average
Fuel					
HHV (E	3TU/SCF)	1020			
LHV (B	TU/SCF)	923			
F-facto	or (DSCF/MMBTU)	8616			
Test D	ate & Time				
Date		11/28/2017	11/28/2017	11/28/2017	
Start T	ime	8:41 AM	9:50 AM	10:59 AM	
End Tir	ne	9:41 AM	10:50 AM	11:59 AM	
Interva	al (minutes)	60	60	60	60
Measu	red Concentrations (bias-corrected	where applica	ble)		
O ₂ (%v	d)	8.14	8.16	8.17	8.16
CO (pp	imvd)	0.8	0.5	0.5	0.6
NOx (p	ppmvd)	133.4	137.5	138.1	136.3
VOCs (ppmvd)	-198.8	-194.1	-198.4	-197.1
THC (p	pmvd)	376.3	380.3	381.7	379.4
Operat	ting Conditions				
Engine	Horsepower (BHP)	718	712	712	714
Engine	(Torque) Load (%)	63.7	63.2	63.2	63.4
Engine	Speed (RPM)	1202	1202	1202	1202
Fuel Fl	ow Rate (SCFH)	6177	6210	6153	6180
BSFC (E	3TU/BHP/hr), LHV	7937	8047	7974	7986
Fuel BT	TU Consumption (MMBTU/hr)	6.30	6.34	6.28	6.30
Exhaus	t Flow Rate (SCFH)	88921	89541	88794	89085
Exhaus	t Flow Rate (SCFM)	1482.0	1492.4	1479.9	1484.8
Calculated Emissions					
	(lb/hr)	0.0052	0.0033	0.0032	0.0039
	(ton/year)	0.0226	0.0142	0.0141	0.0170
	(g/BHP-hr)	0.0033	0.0021	0.0021	0.0025
	(ppmvd at 15% O ₂)	0.3699	0.2316	0.2317	0.2777
	(lb/hr)	1.4156	1.4693	1,4634	1.4494
	(ton/year)	6.2004	6.4355	6.4097	6.3485
NOx	(g/BHP-hr)	0.8940	0.9357	0.9320	0.9206
	(ppmvd at 15% O ₂)	61.6818	63,6774	64.0055	63.1216
	(lb/hr)	< 0.1000	< 0.1000	< 0.1000	< 0.1000
VOCs	(ton/year)	< 1.0000	< 1.0000	< 1.0000	< 1.0000
	(g/BHP-hr)	< 0.1000	< 0.1000	< 0.1000	< 0.1000
	(ppmvd at 15% O ₂)	< 1.0000	< 1.0000	< 1.0000	< 1.0000
	(lh/hr)	3,8276	3,8952	3,8770	3,8666
	(ton/year)	16,7648	17.0612	16,9811	16.9357
тнс	(g/BHP-hr)	2.4173	2,4807	2,4690	2.4557
	(ppmvd at 15% O ₂)	173.9945	176.1201	176.9073	175,6740

Methodology and Sampling Procedures

Methodology

Parameter	Sampling Method
Oxygen (O ₂)	40 CFR 60, Appendix A, Method 3A
Oxides of Nitrogen (NO _X)	40 CFR 60, Appendix A, Method 7E
Carbon Monoxide (CO)	40 CFR 60, Appendix A, Method 10
Volumetric Exhaust Flow Rate	40 CFR 60, Appendix A, Method 19
Gas Dilution System	40 CFR 60, Appendix A, Method 205
Methane (CH ₄) & Ethane (C_2H_6)	ASTM D6348
Total Hydrocarbons (THC)	40 CFR 60, Appendix A, Method 25A
Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs)	40 CFR 60, Appendix A, Method 25A & ASTM F6348 Subtraction

VOCs via Method 25A

The following gasses were individually quantified on the Fourier Transfer Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR) analyzer and summed on a propane basis to calculate total hydrocarbons (THC). Methane response factors (based on carbon number) are listed after each compound. Methane and Ethane were subtracted from the total hydrocarbons to calculate Nonmethane/Non-ethane (NM/NE) VOCs, reported as propane. Formaldehyde was specifically excluded per 60.4244 (f).

Methane (RF 1)	Ethylene (RF 2)	Propane (RF 3)	Butane (RF 4)	Acetaldehyde (RF 2)
Ethane (RF 2)	Acetylene (RF 2)	Propylene (RF 3)	Methanol (RF 1)	Formic Acid (RF 1)

Horsepower and Fuel Flow Determination

For this test, horsepower was calculated from the load percentage displayed on the engine panel and fuel flow was obtained from a fuel flow meter. The Engine Torque Load averaged 63.4% for the test. This was the highest achievable load based on the operating parameters during the test, which are included in Appendix A.

Sampling System

Compressor Engineering Corporation designed and assembled a versatile, emission testing unit (ETU), which houses all analyzers, computers and auxiliary equipment. Effluent stack gas enters the ETU through a heated Teflon sample line. A heated head punp with a Teflon diaphragm pulls the sample into the trailer, through a heated filter, and sends the wet gas directly to the inlet of the FTIR. The heated pump, sample lines, and filter have their temperatures maintained at approximately 191 °C. The FTIR analyzer gas cell and gas inlet temperatures are also maintained at approximately 191 °C. The sample is routed from the exit of the FTIR through a heated Teflon line to a gas conditioner for moisture removal. The dry gas exiting the gas conditioner is routed to a gas distribution panel which sends a portion of the gas to the paramagnetic oxygen analyzer.

The MKS Instruments MultiGas 2030 FTIR analyzer is used to determine the CO, NOx, and VOCs emission concentrations. The FTIR serves as the instrument for Methods 7E and 10, and meets the requirements of Section 13 of Method 7E. All measured concentrations are corrected to a dry basis via the MKS MG2000 operating software. The FTIR analyzer is configured with

a fixed optical pathlength of 5.11 meters. The measured concentrations are collected at a 0.5 cm⁻¹ resolution. Each spectrum is derived from the co-addition of 60 scans. Data is collected continuously during each test run. A new data point is generated every 60 seconds.

A software package (CECOTest) is used to collect and processes data. CECOTest continually logs data every 15 seconds from the oxygen analyzer and the FTIR during the 60 minute runs.

Refer to **FIGURE 1** for a schematic of the sampling system.



Figure 1: Sampling System Schematic

Instrument Specifications

Description:	Oxygen Analyzer
Manufacturer:	Servomex
Model:	1440C
Serial Number:	01440C1STO-2594
Technology Type:	Paramagnetic
Range:	0-25%
Repeatability:	+/- 0.1% O2
Response Time (90%):	Typically less than 10 sec
Linearity:	+/- 0.1% O2
Description:	FTIR Analyzer
Manufacturer:	MKS Instruments
Model:	2030
Serial Number:	017979534
Technology Type:	FTIR Spectrometry
Range:	between 10ppb and 100% fullscale
Spectral Resolution:	0.5-128 1/cm
Scan Speed:	1/sec @ 0.5 1/cm
Detector Type:	LN2-cooled MCT
Manufacturer: Model: Serial Number: Technology Type: MFC's: Flow Measurement Accuracy Repeatability of Flow Control Linearity of Flow Measurement Flow Range of Diluent Air Optional Ranges: Flow Range of Cylinder Gasses Optional Ranges: Zero Air Required: Optional CAL Gas Input Ports Diluent Gas Input Ports Response Time	Teledyne T700 70 Mass Flow Controller 0LPM, 2LPM, and 200ccm +/-1.0% of Full Scale +/-0.2% of full Scale +/-0.5% of Full Scale 0 to 10 SLPM 0 to 5 SLPM; 0 to 20 SLPM 0 to 5 SLPM; 0 to 200 cc/min 10 SLPM @ 30 PSIG 20 SLPM @ 30 PSIG 4 (configurable) 1 60 Seconds (98%)
Description:	Flame Ionization Analyzer
Manufacturer:	J.U.M. Engineering
Model:	1440C
Serial Number:	serial number 8
Outputs:	0-10V, 4 - 20mA.
Detection Method:	Flame Ionization Detector.

÷

Detection Limit: Ranges: Response Time: Sample Flow Rate: Drift: Linearity: 1ppm CH4 at full scale. 0-10, 0-100, 0-1,000, 0-10,000, 0-100,000ppm. 0.2 sec. 2.5 L/min. Span (24 hours): <1%. Zero (24 hours): <1%. within 1% of full scale

Description of Sampling Location



Point 1 Point 2 Point 3

9,0 in 5.7 in

1.7 in

12.3 in

STRATIFICATION CHECK REQUIREMENTS

For every point (where Da=Deviation from Average (%) for a given point.)

Da < 5 % 5% ≤ Da < 10 %

Otherwise

STRATIFICATION CHECK RESULTS

	Point 1	Point 2	Point 3
	8.07	7,95	8.00
	8.00	8.09	7.93
	8.08	8.10	7.85
	8.08	7.98	7.94
	8.01	7.97	7.91
	8.09	8.07	7.91
	7.97	8.01	7.93
	7.94	8.14	7.97
	8.01	7,99	7.93
	7.99	7.98	7.92
	8.07	8.00	8.01
	8.00	7.97	7.98
Point Average (% O2)	8.03	8.02	7.94
Point Deviation from Average (%)	0.38	0.32	- 0.69
Point Deviation from Average (% O2)	0.03	0.03	- 0.06
Average of Point Averages (% O2)	8.00		
STRATIFICATION CHECK RESULTANT STRATEGY			Single P

Single Point Located at Duct Centroid

Distance to Upstream Disturbance (B) EPA M1 Requirement EPA M1 Requirement Met? EPA M1 Requirements Met for Distances to Up/Downstream Disturbances Sampling Strategy

EPA M1 Requirement

EPA M1 Requirement Met?

Duct Diameter

Distance to Downstream Disturbance

Distance to Upstream Disturbance

Approximate Height Above Grade

Distance to Downstream Disturbance (A)

Port Length

Single Point Located at Duct Centroid 3 Traverse Points (16.7, 50.0, and 83.3%) across the duct 3 Traverse Points (16.7, 50.0, and 83.3%) across the duct Stratification Check Required

3 Traverse Points (16.7, 50.0, and 83.3%) across the duct

Point 1 Probe Mark (Includes port length) Point 2 Probe Mark (Includes port length) Point 3 Probe Mark (Includes port length)

Single Point Located at Duct Centroid 3 Traverse Points (16.7, 50.0, and 83.3%) across the duct Full M1 Points Required