Total Particulate Matter Compliance Emissions Test Report

Knauf Insulation SV-WBW3 Stack, and SV-Furnace 1, 3 and 4 Stack Albion, Michigan Project No. M221111B May 10-12, 2022

Compliance Emissions Test Report

Knauf Insulation SV-WBW3 Stack, and SV-Furnace 1, 3 and 4 Stack Albion, Michigan May 10-12, 2022

> Report Submittal Date June 10, 2022

> > © Copyright 2022 All rights reserved in Mostardi Platt

Project No. M221111B

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| 1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY | 1 |
|--|-----------|
| 2.0 TEST METHODOLOGY Method 1 Traverse Point Determination Method 2 Volumetric Flowrate Determination Method 3A Oxygen (O ₂)/Carbon Dioxide (CO ₂) Determination Method 5 Filterable Particulate Matter Determination Method 5E Particulate Determination Method 202 Condensable Particulate Matter Determination Method 9 Visible Emission Determination | 22223333 |
| 3.0 TEST RESULT SUMMARIES | 4 |
| 4.0 CERTIFICATION | 7 |
| APPENDIX Appendix A - Test Section Diagrams 1 Appendix B - Sample Train Diagrams 1 Appendix C - Calculation Nomenclature and Formulas 1 Appendix D - Laboratory Sample Analysis 3 Appendix E - Reference Method Test Data (Computerized Sheets) 3 Appendix F - Field Data Sheets 6 Appendix G - Calibration Data 8 Appendix H - Gas Cylinder Calibrations 10 Appendix I - Visible Emissions Data and Reader Certification 11 | 927040291 |

1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Mostardi Platt conducted a compliance emissions test program for Knauf Insulation on May 10-12, 2022 on the SV-WBW3 Stack, and SV-Furnace 1, 3 and 4 Stack in Albion, Michigan. This report summarizes the results of the test program and test methods used.

The test locations, test dates, and test parameters are summarized below.

| TEST INFORMATION | | | |
|----------------------------|-------------------|--|--|
| Test Locations | Test Dates | Test Parameters | |
| SV-WBW3 Stack | May 11 & 12, 2022 | Filterable Particulate Matter (FPM by Method 5 and Method 5E), Condensable Particulate Matter (CPM by Method 202), Total Particulate Matter (TPM by Method 5/202 and Method 5E), and Visible Emissions (VE) | |
| SV-Furnace 1, 3, & 4 Stack | May 10, 2022 | FPM (by Method 5), CPM (by Method 202), TPM (by Method 5/202) and VE | |

The purpose of the test program was to demonstrate emissions with permitted limits. Selected results of the test program are summarized below. A complete summary of emission test results follows the narrative portion of this report.

| TEST RESULTS | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------|
| Test Location | Source Condition | Test Parameter | Emission Limit | Emission Rate |
| SV-WBW3 Stack Maximum | | TPM (5/202) | 2.34 lbs/tpg | See Knauf CBI |
| | Maximum | TPM (5E) | 2.34 lbs/tpg | See Knauf CBI |
| | | VE | 20% | 0.0% |
| SV-Furnace 1, 3 and 4 Stack Maximum | | TPM | 2.08 lb/hr | 0.898 lb/hr |
| | | VE | 20% | 0.0% |

The identifications of individuals associated with the test program are summarized below.

| TEST PERSONNEL INFORMATION | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Location | Address | Contact | |
| Test Facility | Knauf Insulation 1000 E. North Street | Mr. Adam Estes Technical Specialist, Corporate HSE | |
| Test Coordinator | Albion, Michigan 49224 | (317) 421-4702 (phone) Adam.estes@knaufinsulation.com | |
| Testing Company Representative | Mostardi Platt 888 Industrial Drive Elmhurst, Illinois 60126 | Mr. Christopher S. Trezak Senior Project Manager (630) 993-2100 (phone) ctrezak@mp-mail.com | |

The test crew consisted of C. Buglio, J. Jimenez, M. Shreve, and C. Trezak of Mostardi Platt.

2.0 TEST METHODOLOGY

Emission testing was conducted following the methods specified in 40 CFR, Part 60, Appendix A, and 40 CFR, Part 51, Appendix M. Schematics of the test section diagrams and sampling trains used are included in Appendix A and B, respectively. Calculation examples and nomenclature are included in Appendix C and laboratory analysis data are found in Appendix D. Copies of analyzer print-outs and field data sheets for each test run are included in Appendix E and F, respectively.

The following methodologies were used during the test program:

Method 1 Traverse Point Determination

Test measurement points were selected in accordance with Method 1. The characteristics of the measurement location are summarized below.

| TEST POINT INFORMATION | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|
| Location | Duct Diameter (Feet) | Area (Square Feet) | Upstream Diameters | Downstream Diameters | Test Parameter | Number of Sampling Points |
| SV-WBW3 Stack | 3.42 | 9.168 | >0.5 | >2.0 | FPM, CPM, TPM | 24 |
| SV-Furnace 1, 3, & 4 Stack | 3.95 | 12.254 | >0.5 | >2.0 | FPM, CPM, TPM | 24 |

Method 2 Volumetric Flowrate Determination

Gas velocity was measured following Method 2, for purposes of calculating stack gas volumetric flow rate at all test locations. S-type pitot tubes, differential pressure gauges, thermocouples and temperature readouts were used to determine gas velocity at each sample point at each test location. All of the equipment used was calibrated in accordance with the specifications of the Method. Calibration data are presented in Appendix G.

Method 3A Oxygen (O₂)/Carbon Dioxide (CO₂) Determination

Stack gas molecular weight was determined in accordance with Method 3A at the test locations. ECOM analyzers were used to determine stack gas oxygen and carbon dioxide content and, by difference, nitrogen content. All of the equipment used was calibrated in accordance with the specifications of the Method and calibration data are included in Appendix G. Copies of the gas cylinder certifications are included in Appendix H.

Method 5 Filterable Particulate Matter Determination

Stack gas particulate concentrations and emission rates were determined in accordance with Method 5 at all test locations. An Environmental Supply Company, Inc. sampling train was used to sample stack gas at an isokinetic rate, as specified in the Method. Particulate matter in the sample probe was recovered using an acetone rinse. The probe wash and filter catch were analyzed by Mostardi Platt in accordance with the Method in the Elmhurst, Illinois laboratory. Laboratory data are found in Appendix D. All of the equipment used was calibrated in accordance with the specifications of the Method. Calibration data are presented in Appendix G.

Method 5E Particulate Determination

Stack gas particulate concentrations and emission rates were determined in accordance with Method 5E, 40 CFR, Part 60, Appendix A, at the SV-WBW3 Stack. An Environmental Supply Company, Inc. sampling train was used to sample stack gas at an isokinetic rate, as specified in the Method. The filter media were Whatman type A/E glass microfiber filters exhibiting a 99.98% efficiency on 0.3-micron DOP smoke particles in accordance with ASTM Standard Method D-2986-71. The impingers were loaded with 0.1 NaOH for the Method 5E tests. Appropriate washes were performed and all samples were labeled and placed in individual bottles for analysis. Front half particulate analysis was performed by Mostardi Platt in accordance with the Method in the Elmhurst, Illinois laboratory. Back half particulate analysis was analyzed by Element One in Wilmington, North Carolina. Laboratory data are found in Appendix D. All of the equipment used was calibrated in accordance with the specifications of the Method. Calibration data are presented in Appendix G.

Method 202 Condensable Particulate Matter Determination

Stack gas condensable particulate matter concentrations and emission rates were determined in accordance with USEPA Method 202, in conjunction with Method 5 filterable particulate sampling at all test locations. This method applies to the determination of condensable particulate matter (CPM) emissions from stationary sources. It is intended to represent condensable matter as material that condenses after passing through a filter and as measured by this method.

The CPM was collected in the impinger portion of the Method 5 (Appendix A, 40CFR60) type sampling trains. The impinger contents were immediately purged after each run with nitrogen (N_2) to remove dissolved sulfur dioxide (SO_2) gases from the impinger contents. The impinger solution was then extracted with hexane. The organic and aqueous fractions were then taken to dryness and the residues weighed. A correction was made for any ammonia present due to laboratory analysis procedures. The total of both fractions represents the CPM.

All sample recovery was performed at the test site by the test crew. Mostardi Platt personnel at the laboratory in Elmhurst, Illinois, performed all final particulate sample analyses. Laboratory data are found in Appendix D. All of the equipment used was calibrated in accordance with the specifications of the Method. Calibration data are presented in Appendix G.

Method 9 Visible Emission Determination

Visible emissions were determined in accordance with Method 9. The observer stood at a distance providing a clear view of the emissions with the sun oriented in the 140° sector to his back. As much as possible, the line of vision was approximately perpendicular to the plume direction.

Opacity observations were made at the point of greatest opacity in the portion of the plume where condensed water vapor was not present. Observations were made at 15-second intervals for the duration of the test run. Tests were a minimum of 60 minutes and conducted simultaneously with the TPM particulate matter testing.

Visible emissions observations were conducted and recorded by Mr. M. Shreve, who is a certified visual emissions observer. A copy of Mr. Shreve's certification is presented in Appendix I.

3.0 TEST RESULT SUMMARIES

| Client: | Knauf Insulation |
|----------------|-----------------------------|
| Facility: | Albion, Michigan |
| Test Location: | SV-Furnace 1, 3 and 4 Stack |
| Test Method: | 5/202 |

| Source Condition | Normal | Normal | Normal | |
|---|--------------|------------|---------|---------|
| Date | 5/10/22 | 5/10/22 | 5/10/22 | |
| Start Time | 9:20 | 12:10 | 14:01 | |
| End Time | 10:35 | 13:18 | 15:07 | |
| | Run 1 | Run 2 | Run 3 | Average |
| Stack Con | ditions | | | |
| Average Gas Temperature, °F | 152.3 | 153.0 | 153.8 | 153.0 |
| Flue Gas Moisture, percent by volume | 3.5% | 2.6% | 2.8% | 3.0% |
| Average Flue Pressure, in. Hg | 29.21 | 29.21 | 29.21 | 29.21 |
| Gas Sample Volume, dscf | 53.757 | 55.911 | 55.767 | 55.145 |
| Average Gas Velocity, ft/sec | 43.954 | 44.944 | 44.955 | 44.618 |
| Gas Volumetric Flow Rate, acfm | 32,317 | 33,045 | 33,053 | 32,805 |
| Gas Volumetric Flow Rate, dscfm | 26,248 | 27,056 | 26,979 | 26,761 |
| Gas Volumetric Flow Rate, scfm | 27,207 | 27,788 | 27,760 | 27,585 |
| Average %CO ₂ by volume, dry basis | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Average %O ₂ by volume, dry basis | 20.8 | 21.0 | 20.8 | 20.9 |
| Isokinetic Variance | 100.7 | 101.6 | 101.7 | 101.3 |
| Filterable Particulate | Matter (Me | thod 5) | | |
| grams collected | 0.01116 | 0.00613 | 0.00970 | 0.00900 |
| grains/acf | 0.0026 | 0.0014 | 0.0022 | 0.0021 |
| grains/dscf | 0.0032 | 0.0017 | 0.0027 | 0.0025 |
| lb/hr | 0.721 | 0.392 | 0.621 | 0.578 |
| Condensable Particulate | Matter (Me | ethod 202) | | |
| grams collected | 0.00275 | 0.00347 | 0.00873 | 0.00498 |
| grains/acf | 0.0006 | 0.0008 | 0.0020 | 0.0011 |
| grains/dscf | 0.0008 | 0.0010 | 0.0024 | 0.0014 |
| lb/hr | 0.178 | 0.222 | 0.559 | 0.320 |
| Total Particulate | Matter (5/20 | 02) | | |
| grams collected | 0.01391 | 0.00960 | 0.01843 | 0.01398 |
| grains/acf | 0.0032 | 0.0022 | 0.0042 | 0.0032 |
| grains/dscf | 0.0040 | 0.0027 | 0.0051 | 0.0039 |
| lb/hr | 0.899 | 0.614 | 1.180 | 0.898 |

| Client: | Knauf Insulation | | | | |
|-----------------------|---|--------------|-----------|---------|---------|
| Facility: | Albion, Michigan | | | | |
| Test Location: | WBW3 Stack | | | | |
| Test Method: | 5/202 | | | | |
| | Source Condition | Normal | Normal | Normal | |
| | Date | 5/11/22 | 5/11/22 | 5/11/22 | |
| | Start Time | 9:20 | 11:00 | 12:42 | |
| | End Time | 10:25 | 12:06 | 13:49 | |
| | | Run 1 | Run 2 | Run 3 | Average |
| | Stack Cond | itions | | | |
| | Average Gas Temperature, °F | 110.2 | 111.1 | 112.5 | 111.3 |
| 57 57 | ue Gas Moisture, percent by volume | 8.9% | 9.2% | 9.3% | 9.1% |
| | Average Flue Pressure, in. Hg | 29.20 | 29.20 | 29.20 | 29.20 |
| | Gas Sample Volume, dscf | 55.985 | 53.553 | 51.574 | 53.704 |
| | Average Gas Velocity, ft/sec | 20.536 | 20.070 | 19.532 | 20.046 |
| | Gas Volumetric Flow Rate, acfm | 54,435 | 53,199 | 51,774 | 53,136 |
| | Gas Volumetric Flow Rate, dscfm | 44,821 | 43,585 | 42,265 | 43,557 |
| | Gas Volumetric Flow Rate, scfm | 49,199 | 48,001 | 46,604 | 47,935 |
| | Average %CO ₂ by volume, dry basis | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| | Average %O ₂ by volume, dry basis | 20.0 | 20.4 | 20.7 | 20.4 |
| | Isokinetic Variance | 103.4 | 101.7 | 101.0 | 102.0 |
| | Filterable Particulate N | Aatter (Met | hod 5) | | |
| | grams collected | 0.01349 | 0.01342 | 0.01161 | 0.01284 |
| | grains/acf | 0.0031 | 0.0032 | 0.0028 | 0.0030 |
| | grains/dscf | 0.0037 | 0.0039 | 0.0035 | 0.0037 |
| · | lb/hr | 1.428 | 1.445 | 1.258 | 1.377 |
| | Condensable Particulate | Matter (Me | thod 202) | | |
| | grams collected | 0.00138 | 0.00123 | 0.00124 | 0.00128 |
| | grains/acf | 0.0003 | 0.0003 | 0.0003 | 0.0003 |
| | grains/dscf | 0.0004 | 0.0004 | 0.0004 | 0.0004 |
| | lb/hr | 0.146 | 0.132 | 0.134 | 0.137 |
| L | Total Particulate N | latter (5/20 | 2) | ***** | |
| | grams collected | 0.01487 | 0.01465 | 0.01285 | 0.01412 |
| | grains/acf | 0.0034 | 0.0035 | 0.0031 | 0.0033 |
| | grains/dscf | 0.0041 | 0.0043 | 0.0039 | 0.0041 |
| | lb/hr | 1.574 | 1.577 | 1.392 | 1.514 |



JUN 17 2022

AIR QUALITY DIVISION ©Mostardi Platt

| Client: | Knauf Insulation |
|----------------|------------------|
| Facility: | Albion, Michigan |
| Test Location: | WBW3 Stack |
| Test Method: | 5E |

| Source Condition | Normal | Normal | Normal | |
|---|-------------|----------|---------|---------|
| Date | 5/12/22 | 5/12/22 | 5/12/22 | |
| Start Time | 8:05 | 10:52 | 13:25 | |
| End Time | 10:17 | 12:58 | 15:31 | |
| | Run 1 | Run 2 | Run 3 | Average |
| Stack Con | ditions | | | |
| Average Gas Temperature, °F | 107.8 | 109.9 | 110.8 | 109.5 |
| Flue Gas Moisture, percent by volume | 8.3% | 8.6% | 8.9% | 8.6% |
| Average Flue Pressure, in. Hg | 29.29 | 29.29 | 29.29 | 29.29 |
| Gas Sample Volume, dscf | 108.361 | 106.116 | 106.245 | 106.907 |
| Average Gas Velocity, ft/sec | 19.723 | 19.628 | 19.690 | 19.680 |
| Gas Volumetric Flow Rate, acfm | 52,281 | 52,028 | 52,193 | 52,167 |
| Gas Volumetric Flow Rate, dscfm | 43,650 | 43,136 | 43,060 | 43,282 |
| Gas Volumetric Flow Rate, scfm | 47,600 | 47,193 | 47,263 | 47,352 |
| Average %CO ₂ by volume, dry basis | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Average %O ₂ by volume, dry basis | 19.8 | 19.8 | 19.9 | 19.8 |
| Isokinetic Variance | 102.7 | 101.8 | 102.1 | 102.2 |
| Filterable Particulate | Vatter (Met | thod 5E) | | |
| grams collected | 0.01864 | 0.01969 | 0.01981 | 0.01938 |
| grains/acf | 0.0022 | 0.0024 | 0.0024 | 0.0023 |
| grains/dscf | 0.0027 | 0.0029 | 0.0029 | 0.0028 |
| lb/hr | 0.993 | 1.059 | 1.062 | 1.038 |
| Total Organic Carb | on (Method | 1 5E) | | |
| grams collected | 0.00171 | 0.00194 | 0.00212 | 0.00192 |
| grains/acf | 0.0002 | 0.0002 | 0.0003 | 0.0002 |
| grains/dscf | 0.0002 | 0.0003 | 0.0003 | 0.0003 |
| lb/hr | 0.091 | 0.104 | 0.114 | 0.103 |
| Total Particulate Ma | tter (Metho | od 5E) | | |
| grams collected | 0.02035 | 0.02163 | 0.02193 | 0.02130 |
| grains/acf | 0.0024 | 0.0026 | 0.0027 | 0.0026 |
| grains/dscf | 0.0029 | 0.0032 | 0.0032 | 0.0031 |
| lb/hr | 1.084 | 1.163 | 1.176 | 1.141 |

4.0 CERTIFICATION

Mostardi Platt is pleased to have been of service to Knauf Insulation. If you have any questions regarding this test report, please do not hesitate to contact us at 630-993-2100.

As project manager, I hereby certify that this test report represents a true and accurate summary of emissions test results and the methodologies employed to obtain those results, and the test program was performed in accordance with the methods specified in this test report.

MOSTARDI PLATT

X. Sup?

Christopher S. Trezak

Program Manager

Cott. Baral

Scott W. Banach

Quality Assurance

APPENDICES

Appendix A - Test Section Diagrams





| Job: | Knauf Insulation Albion Facility |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Date: | May 11 & 12, 2022 |
| Test Location: | WBW3 Stack |
| Duct Diameter: | 7.5 Feet |
| Duct Area: | 44.179 Square Feet |
| No. Points Across Diameter: | 12 |
| No. of Ports: | 2 |
| Port Length: | 6 inches |

EQUAL AREA TRAVERSE FOR ROUND DUCTS





| Job: | Knauf Insulation Albion Facility |
|----------------|-------------------------------------|
| Date: | May 10, 2022 |
| Test Location: | SV-Furnace 1, 3, and 4 Stack |
| Duct Diameter: | 3.95 Feet |
| Duct Area: | 12.254 Square Feet |
| ross Diameter: | 12 |

No. of Ports: 2

Duct

No. Points Across

Port Length: 6 inches

Appendix B - Sample Train Diagrams



USEPA Method 2 – Type S Pitot Tube Manometer Assembly

ATD-001 USEPA Method 2

USEPA Method 3A - Integrated Oxygen/Carbon Dioxide Sample Train Diagram Utilizing ECOM To Measure from Sample Exhaust



ATD-091 USEPA Method 3A

Rev. 1.3



USEPA Method 5/202- Filterable/Condensable Particulate Matter

ATD-042 USEPA Method 5/202

Rev. 1.3



USEPA Method 5E- Particulate Matter Sample Train Diagram for the Wool Fiberglass Insulation Industry

ATD-046 USEPA Method 5E

Rev. 1.2