1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 SUMMARY OF TEST PROGRAM

General Motors LLC contracted Montrose Air Quality Services, LLC (Montrose) to perform a compliance emissions test program on their Landfill Gas-Fired Reciprocating Internal Combustion Engines (RICE) No. 2 (EUENGINE2), RICE No. 4 (EUENGINE4) and RICE No. 5 (EUENGINE5) at the General Motors LLC - Orion Assembly facility (State Registration No. B7227) located in Lake Orion, Michigan. The tests were conducted to satisfy the emissions testing requirements pursuant to Michigan Department of Environment, Great Lakes, and Energy (EGLE) Permit No. MI-ROP-B7227-2020 and 40 CFR Part 60 Subpart JJJJ.

The specific objectives were to:

- Verify the emissions of nitrogen oxide (NO_x as NO₂), carbon monoxide (CO), and VOC (total gaseous nonmethane organic (TGNMO)) from EUENGINE2, EUENGINE4 and EUENGINE5
- Conduct the test program with a focus on safety

Montrose performed the tests to measure the emission parameters listed in Table 1-1.

Test Date(s)	Unit ID/ Source Name	Activity/ Parameters	Test Methods	No. of Runs	Duration (Minutes)
03/02/2021	EUENGINE2	Velocity/Volumetric Flow Rate	EPA 1 & 2	3	~10
03/02/2021	EUENGINE2	O ₂ , CO ₂	EPA 3A	3	60
03/02/2021	EUENGINE2	Moisture	EPA 4	3	60
03/02/2021	EUENGINE2	NO _x	EPA 7E	3	60
03/02/2021	EUENGINE2	СО	EPA 10	3	60
03/02/2021	EUENGINE2	TGO, CH₄	EPA 25A	3	60

TABLE 1-1 SUMMARY OF TEST PROGRAM

Test Date(s)	Unit ID/ Source Name	Activity/ Parameters	Test Methods	No. of Runs	Duration (Minutes)
03/02/2021	EUENGINE4	Velocity/Volumetric Flow Rate	EPA 1 & 2	3	~10
03/02/2021	EUENGINE4	O ₂ , CO ₂	EPA 3A	3	60
03/02/2021	EUENGINE4	Moisture	EPA 4	3	60
03/02/2021	EUENGINE4	NO _x	EPA 7E	3	60
03/02/2021	EUENGINE4	со	EPA 10	3	60
03/02/2021	EUENGINE4	TGO, CH₄	EPA 25A	3	60
03/03/2021	EUENGINE5	Velocity/Volumetric Flow Rate	EPA 1 & 2	3	~10
03/03/2021	EUENGINE5	O ₂ , CO ₂	EPA 3A	3	60
03/03/2021	EUENGINE5	Moisture	EPA 4	3	60
03/03/2021	EUENGINE5	NO _x	EPA 7E	3	60
03/03/2021	EUENGINE5	со	EPA 10	3	60
03/03/2021	EUENGINE5	TGO, CH₄	EPA 25A	3	60

TABLE 1-1 SUMMARY OF TEST PROGRAM (CONTINUED)

To simplify this report, a list of Units and Abbreviations is included in Appendix C.1. Throughout this report, chemical nomenclature, acronyms, and reporting units are not defined. Please refer to the list for specific details.

This report presents the test results and supporting data, descriptions of the testing procedures, descriptions of the facility and sampling locations, and a summary of the quality assurance procedures used by Montrose. The average emission test results are summarized and compared to their respective permit limits in Tables 1-2 through 1-4. Detailed results for individual test runs can be found in Section 4.0. All supporting data can be found in the appendices.

The testing was conducted by the Montrose personnel listed in Table 1-5 on March 2-3, 2021. The tests were conducted according to the test plan (protocol) that was submitted to and received by EGLE on January 27, 2021 and approved on February 16, 2021.

TABLE 1-2SUMMARY OF AVERAGE COMPLIANCE RESULTS -EUENGINE2MARCH 2, 2021

Parameter/Units	Average Results	Allowable Limits
Nitrogen Oxides (as NO2)		
a/bn_br	03	2.0
	0.0	2.0
id/nr	1.69	2.97
Carbon Monoxide (CO)		
a/hp-hr	2.0	3.5
lb/hr	10.5	17.3
VOC (TGNMO), as propane		
a/hp-hr*	0	1.0
lb/hr*	Ō	28
	0	2.0

* VOC (TGNMO) emissions are negative and assigned a value of zero. See Section 4.2 for details.

TABLE 1-3SUMMARY OF AVERAGE COMPLIANCE RESULTS -EUENGINE4MARCH 2, 2021

Parameter/Units	Average Results	Allowable Limits
Nitrogen Oxides (as NO ₂)		
a/hp-hr	0.4	2.0
lb/hr	2.31	2.97
Carbon Monoxide (CO)		
g/hp-hr	2.4	3.5
lb/hr	13.0	17.3
VOC (TGNMO), as propane		
a/hp-hr*	0	1.0
lb/hr*	0	2.8
lb/hr*	Ő	2.8

* VOC (TGNMO) emissions are negative and assigned a value of zero. See Section 4.2 for details.

TABLE 1-4 SUMMARY OF AVERAGE COMPLIANCE RESULTS -**EUENGINE5** MARCH 3, 2021

Parameter/Units	Average Results	Allowable Limits
Nitrogen Oxides (as NO ₂)		
a/hn-hr	0.4	20
lb/hr	1.98	2.97
Carbon Monoxide (CO)		
g/hp-hr	1.9	3.5
lb/hr	10.0	17.3
VOC (TGNMO), as propane		
a/hp-hr*	0	1.0
lb/hr*	Ō	2.8

* VOC (TGNMO) emissions are negative and assigned a value of zero. See Section 4.2 for details.

1.2 **KEY PERSONNEL**

A list of project participants is included below: **Facility Information**

Source Location:	General Motors LLC - Orion Asseml 4555 Giddings Road Lake Orion, MI 48359	bly
Project Contact:	Kim Crame	Jessica Alderton
Role:	Sr. Environmental Engineer	Sr. Environmental Engineer
Company	General Motors LLC	General Motors LLC
Telephone:	248-941-5305	586-863-8490
Email:	Kim.crame@gm.com	Jessica.alderton@gm.com

Agency Information

Regulatory Agency:	EGLE
Contact:	Mark Dziadosz
Telephone:	313-418-0895
Email:	DziadoszM@Michigan.gov

Testing Company Information

Testing Firm:	Montrose Air Quality Services, LLC
Contact:	Matthew Young
Title:	District Manager
Telephone:	248-548-8070
Email:	myoung@montrose-env.com

Test personnel and observers are summarized in Table 1-5.

Name	Affiliation	Role/Responsibility
Matthew Young	Montrose	District Manager, QI
Mike Nummer	Montrose	Field Technician
David Koponen	Montrose	Field Technician
Kim Crame	General Motors LLC	Observer/Client Liaison/Test Coordinator
Jessica Alderton	General Motors LLC	Client Liaison
Mark Dziadosz	EGLE	Observer

TABLE 1-5TEST PERSONNEL AND OBSERVERS

MW049AS-003406-RT-645



2.0 PLANT AND SAMPLING LOCATION DESCRIPTIONS

2.1 PROCESS DESCRIPTION, OPERATION, AND CONTROL EQUIPMENT

General Motors LLC - Orion Assembly Plant operates five landfill gas (LFG) RICE generators to produce electricity. Each engine generator is rated at 1600 kW electrical output (2242 bhp). The total combined maximum electrical output will be 8000 kW or 8 MW. The maximum heat input capacity for each engine is approximately 15 MMBtu/hr. The heat capacity of landfill gas is estimated at 500 Btu/scf. GM's Orion Assembly Plant is located near two nonhazardous solid waste landfills and has access to the landfill gas. The engine generators are specifically designed to burn the landfill gas. The combined exhaust from all five engine generators vents through the existing powerhouse stack located at the plant. Three of the five LFG RICE generators (EUENGINE2, EUENGINE4, and EUENGINE5) were tested during this test event.

2.2 FLUE GAS SAMPLING LOCATIONS

Information regarding the sampling locations is presented in Table 2-1.

Sampling Location	Stack Inside Dimensions (in.)	Distance from Ne Downstream EPA "B" (in./dia.)	arest Disturbance Upstream EPA "A" (in./dia.)	Number of Traverse Points
EUENGINE2 Exhaust	15.5	120 / 7.7	38 / 2.5	Flow: 16 (8/port) Gaseous 3 Moisture: 1
EUENGINE4 Exhaust	15.5	120 / 7.7	42 / 2.7	Flow: 16 (8/port) Gaseous 3 Moisture: 1
EUENGINE5 Exhaust	15.5	120 / 7.7	63 / 4.1	Flow: 16 (8/port) Gaseous 3 Moisture: 1

TABLE 2-1SAMPLING LOCATIONS

Sample locations were verified in the field to conform to EPA Method 1. Acceptable cyclonic flow conditions were confirmed prior to testing using EPA Method 1, Section 11.4. See Appendices A.1 through A.3 for more information.

2.3 OPERATING CONDITIONS AND PROCESS DATA

Emission tests were performed while EUENGINE2, EUENGINE4, and EUENGINE5 were operating normally.

Plant personnel were responsible for establishing the test conditions and collecting all applicable unit-operating data. The process data that was provided is presented in Appendix B.



3.0 SAMPLING AND ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES

3.1 TEST METHODS

The test methods for this test program were presented previously in Table 1-1. Additional information regarding specific applications or modifications to standard procedures is presented below.

3.1.1 EPA Method 1, Sample and Velocity Traverses for Stationary Sources

EPA Method 1 is used to assure that representative measurements of volumetric flow rate are obtained by dividing the cross-section of the stack or duct into equal areas, and then locating a traverse point within each of the equal areas. Acceptable sample locations must be located at least two stack or duct equivalent diameters downstream from a flow disturbance and one-half equivalent diameter upstream from a flow disturbance.

3.1.2 EPA Method 2, Determination of Stack Gas Velocity and Volumetric Flow Rate (Type S Pitot Tube)

EPA Method 2 is used to measure the gas velocity using an S-type pitot tube connected to a pressure measurement device, and to measure the gas temperature using a calibrated thermocouple connected to a thermocouple indicator. Typically, Type S (Stausscheibe) pitot tubes conforming to the geometric specifications in the test method are used, along with an inclined manometer. The measurements are made at traverse points specified by EPA Method 1.

3.1.3 EPA Method 3A, Determination of Oxygen and Carbon Dioxide Concentrations in Emissions from Stationary Sources (Instrumental Analyzer Procedure)

EPA Method 3A is an instrumental test method used to measure the concentration of O_2 and CO_2 in stack gas. Conditioned gas is continuously or intermittently sampled and conveyed to analyzers that measure the concentration of O_2 and CO_2 . The performance requirements of the method must be met to validate data.

The sampling system is detailed in Figure 3-3.





FIGURE 3-1 EPA METHOD 2 SAMPLING TRAIN

3.1.4 EPA Method 4, Determination of Moisture Content in Stack Gas

EPA Method 4 is a manual, non-isokinetic method used to measure the moisture content of gas streams. Gas is sampled at a constant sampling rate through a probe and impinger train. Moisture is removed using a series of pre-weighed impingers containing methodology-specific liquids and silica gel immersed in an ice water bath. The impingers are weighed after each run to determine the percent moisture.

The sampling system is detailed in Figure 3-2.



FIGURE 3-2 EPA METHOD 4 (DETACHED) SAMPLING TRAIN

3.1.5 EPA Method 7E, Determination of Nitrogen Oxides Emissions from Stationary Source (Instrumental Analyzer Procedure)

EPA Method 7E is an instrumental test method used to continuously measure emissions of NO_x as NO_2 . Conditioned gas is sent to an analyzer to measure the concentration of NO_x . NO and NO_2 can be measured separately or simultaneously together but, for the purposes of this method, NO_x is the sum of NO and NO_2 . The performance requirements of the method must be met to validate the data.

The sampling system is detailed in Figure 3-3.



3.1.6 EPA Method 10, Determination of Carbon Monoxide Emissions from Stationary Sources (Instrumental Analyzer Procedure)

EPA Method 10 is an instrumental test method used to continuously measure emissions of CO. Conditioned gas is sent to an analyzer to measure the concentration of CO. The performance requirements of the method must be met to validate the data.

The sampling system is detailed in Figure 3-3.



FIGURE 3-3 EPA METHODS 3A (O₂/CO₂), 7E, 10, AND 25A SAMPLING TRAIN

3.1.7 EPA Method 25A, Determination of Total Gaseous Organic Concentration Using a Flame Ionization Analyzer

EPA Method 25A is an instrumental test method used to measure the concentration of THC in stack gas. A gas sample is extracted from the source through a heated sample line and glass fiber filter to a flame ionization analyzer (FIA) equipped with a nonmethane cutter. Results are reported as volume concentration equivalents of the calibration gas or as carbon equivalents.

The sampling system is detailed in Figure 3-3.



3.2 PROCESS TEST METHODS

The test plan did not require that process samples be collected during this test program; therefore, no process sample data are presented in this test report.



4.0 TEST DISCUSSION AND RESULTS

4.1 FIELD TEST DEVIATIONS AND EXCEPTIONS

Run 1 on EUENGINE2 was voided on March 2, 2021 due to process related issues. Following Run 1 an adjustment was made to the "Desired Emission Gain Adjustment Percentage" which had been at 105% and was changed to 104%. An additional run, Run 4, was performed.

4.2 **PRESENTATION OF RESULTS**

The average results are displayed in Tables 1-2 through 1-4. The results of individual test runs performed are presented in Tables 4-1 through 4-3. Emissions are reported in units consistent with those in the applicable regulations or requirements. Additional information is included in the appendices as presented in the Table of Contents.

As shown in Tables 4-1 through 4-3 Engine Load, bhp-hr was calculated utilizing 2422 hp / 1600 kW provided by General Motors LLC - Orion Assembly Plant personnel.

VOC (TGNMO) concentration values in Tables 4-1 through 4-3 were negative and, therefore, assigned a value of zero. Emissions in Table 4-1 through 4-2 were calculated utilizing the zero concentration value instead of the negative concentration value.



Run Number	2	3	4	Average
Date	03/02/2021	03/02/2021	03/02/2021	
Time	09:53-10:53	11:15-12:15	12:38-13:38	
Process Data*				
Engine Load, kW-hr Engine Load, bhp-hr	1606 2431	1603 2426	1599 2420	1602 2426
Flue Gas Parameters				
O ₂ , % volume dry	8.32	8.31	8.32	8.32
CO ₂ , % volume dry	11.48	11.50	11.58	11.52
flue gas temperature, °F	918.2	915.7	915.4	916.4
volumetric flow rate dscfm	4 626	11.00 A A29	4 502	11.12
volumente now rate, usern	4,020	7,720	4,002	4,010
Nitrogen Oxides				
ppmvd	52.5	52.2	51.5	52.1
lb/hr, as NO ₂	1.74	1.66	1.66	1.69
g/hp-hr, as NO₂	0.32	0.31	0.31	0.32
Carbon Monoxide				
ppmvd	530	531	535	532
lb/hr	10.7	10.3	10.5	10.5
g/hp-hr	1.99	1.92	1.97	1.96
T00				
IGO, as propane	570	570	560	574
ppinva	570	572	209	571
Methane, as propane				
ppmvd	580	608	604	597
VOC (TGNMO) as propane†	0.0			
ppmvd	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
id/nr a/bp.br	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
9/112-111	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE 4-1NOx (as NO2), CO, AND VOC EMISSIONS RESULTS -
EUENGINE2

* Process Data was provided by General Motors-Orion Assembly personnel.

† VOC (TGNMO) emissions are negative and , therefore, assigned a value of zero. See Section 4.2 for details.



Run Number	1	2	3	Average
Date	03/02/2021	03/02/2021	03/02/2021	
Time	14:15-15:15	15:43-16:43	17:08-18:08	
Process Data* Engine Load, kW-hr Engine Load, bhp-hr	1593 2411	1593 2411	1590 2407	1592 2410
Flue Gas Parameters O ₂ , % volume dry CO ₂ , % volume dry flue gas temperature, °F moisture content, % volume volumetric flow rate, dscfm	8.13 11.80 929 11.3 4,558	8.17 11.67 928 11.2 4,581	8.16 11.69 924 11.3 4,620	8.15 11.72 927 11.3 4,586
Nitrogen Oxides ppmvd Ib/hr, as NO ₂ g/hp-hr, as NO ₂	73.0 2.39 0.45	69.8 2.29 0.43	68.1 2.25 0.42	70.3 2.31 0.43
Carbon Monoxide ppmvd Ib/hr g/hp-hr	649 12.9 2.43	648 12.9 2.43	651 13.1 2.47	649 13.0 2.44
TGO, as propane ppmvd	503	512	521	512
Methane, as propane ppmvd	527	539	550	539
VOC (TGNMO) as propane† ppmvd Ib/hr g/hp-hr	0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0 0.0

TABLE 4-2NOx (AS NO2), CO, AND VOC EMISSIONS RESULTS -
EUENGINE4

* Process Data was provided by General Motors-Orion Assembly personnel.

† VOC (TGNMO) emissions are negative and , therefore, assigned a value of zero. See Section 4.2 for details.

Run Number	1	2	3	Average
Date	03/03/2021	03/03/2021	03/03/2021	
Time	08:10-09:10	09:35-10:35	10:57-11:57	
Process Data* Engine Load, kW-hr Engine Load, bhp-hr	1601 2424	1595 2415	1574 2383	1590 2407
Flue Gas Parameters O ₂ , % volume dry CO ₂ , % volume dry flue gas temperature, °F moisture content, % volume volumetric flow rate, dscfm	8.09 11.78 939 11.7 4,457	8.08 11.74 940 11.5 4,475	8.05 11.74 939 11.6 4,367	8.07 11.75 939 11.6 4,433
Nitrogen Oxides ppmvd lb/hr, as NO ₂ g/hp-hr, as NO ₂	62.2 1.99 0.37	61.0 1.95 0.37	63.6 1.99 0.38	62.2 1.98 0.37
Carbon Monoxide ppmvd lb/hr g/hp-hr	515 10.0 1.87	518 10.1 1.90	520 9.90 1.88	517 10.0 1.89
TGO, as propane ppmvd	497	502	517	505
Methane, as propane ppmvd	520	538	547	535
VOC (TGNMO) as propane† ppmvd lb/hr g/hp-hr	0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0 0.0

TABLE 4-3NOx (AS NO2), CO, AND VOC EMISSIONS RESULTS -
EUENGINE5

* Process Data was provided by General Motors-Orion Assembly personnel.

† VOC (TGNMO) emissions are negative and , therefore, assigned a value of zero. See Section 4.2 for details.



5.0 INTERNAL QA/QC ACTIVITIES

5.1 QA/QC AUDITS

The meter box and sampling trains performed within the requirements of the respective methods. The post-test leak check and minimum metered volume met the applicable QA/QC criteria.

EPA Method 3A, 7E, and 10 calibration audits were all within the measurement system performance specifications for the calibration drift checks, system calibration bias checks, and calibration error checks.

EPA Method 25A FIA calibration audits were within the measurement system performance specifications for the calibration drift checks and calibration error checks.

The NO_2 to NO converter efficiency check of the analyzer was conducted per the procedures in EPA Method 7E, Section 8.2.4. The conversion efficiency met the criteria.

An EPA Method 205 field evaluation of the calibration gas dilution system was conducted. The dilution accuracy and precision QA specifications were met.

5.2 QA/QC DISCUSSION

All QA/QC criteria were met during this test program.

5.3 QUALITY STATEMENT

Montrose is qualified to conduct this test program and has established a quality management system that led to accreditation with ASTM Standard D7036-04 (Standard Practice for Competence of Air Emission Testing Bodies). Montrose participates in annual functional assessments for conformance with D7036-04 which are conducted by the American Association for Laboratory Accreditation (A2LA). All testing performed by Montrose is supervised on site by at least one Qualified Individual (QI) as defined in D7036-04 Section 8.3.2. Data quality objectives for estimating measurement uncertainty within the documented limits in the test methods are met by using approved test protocols for each project as defined in D7036-04 Sections 7.2.1 and 12.10. Additional quality assurance information is included in the report appendices. The content of this report is modeled after the EPA Emission Measurement Center Guideline Document (GD-043).