Report of a...

# Compliance Emission Test

Performed for the...

# Zeeland Board of Public Works

Zeeland, Michigan

On...

# Various R.I.C.E.

(Reciprocating Internal Combustion Engines)

September 4, 2013 - January 13, 2014

295.01

RECEIVED

MAR 0 6 2014

AIR QUALITY DIV.

Network Environmental, Inc. Grand Rapids, MI Performed For:

Zeeland Board of Public Works 350 E Washington Avenue Zeeland, MI 49464 Contact: Don Muller Phone; (616) 772-6212 E-mail: donm@bpw.zeeland.ml.us

### Performed by:

Network Environmental, Inc. 2629 Remico, Suite B Grand Rapids, MI 49519 Contact: Stephan K. Byrd Phone: (616) 530-6330 E-mail: netenviro@aol.com

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page 1

2-8

2

2

3

3

4

4

5

5

6

б

.7

7

8

8

9

9

9-11

12

А

8

С

D

E

#### Introduction

I.

II.

III.

IV:

V.

Presentation of Results II.1 Table 1 – CO Reduction Results EU-ENGINE011 II.2 Table 2 – CO Reduction Results EU-ENGINE010 II.3 Table 3 – CO Reduction Results EU-ENGINE009 II.4 Table 4 – CO Reduction Results EU-ENGINE008 II.5 Table 5 – CO Reduction Results EU-ENGINE007 II.6 Table 6 – CO Reduction Results EU-ENGINE002 II.7 Table 7 – CO Reduction Results EU-ENGINE001 II.8 Table 8 – CO Reduction Results CAT Engine 1 II.9 Table 9 – CO Reduction Results CAT Engine 2 II.10 Table 10 – CO Reduction Results EU-ENGINE1 II.11 Table 11 – CO Reduction Results EUENGINE2

II.12 Table 12 - CO Reduction Results EUENGINE3

II.13 Table 13 – CO Reduction Results EUENGINE4

II.14 Table 14 – CO Reduction Results EUENGINE5

Discussion of Results

Source Description

Sampling and Analytical Protocol

Figure 1 - CO & O2 Sampling Train

#### Appendices

DAS Output Data

Source Operating Data Analyzer & Callbration Gas Specifications Calculations

Raw Data

## I. INTRODUCTION

Network Environmental, Inc. was retained by Zeeland Board of Public Works to conduct emission testing on their Reciprocating Internal Combustion Engines (RICE) at their three Zeeland, Michigan facilities. The purpose of the study was to determine compliance with their Renewable Operating Permit No. MI-ROP-B7977-2012a, their Permit to Install 187-05 and NESHAP Subpart ZZZZ.

The testing was conducted from September 4, 2013 through January 13, 2014. Stephan K. Byrd, R. Scott Cargill, Richard D. Eerdmans and David D. Engelhardt of Network Environmental, Inc. performed the testing. The testing for Carbon Monoxide (CO) Destruction Efficiency was performed in accordance with EPA Reference Method 10. Mr. Don Muller and the staff of Zeeland Board of Public Works coordinated source operation and Data collection. Mr. Steve LaChance, Mr. Nathan Hude and Mr. Rob Dickman of the MDEQ Air Quality Division were present to observe the testing and source operation.

RECEIVED MAR 0 6 2014 AIR QUALITY DIV.

## **II. PRESENTATION OF RESULTS**

#### II.1 TABLE 1 CO DESTRUCTION EFFICIENCY RESULTS ZEELAND BOARD OF PUBLIC WORKS EU-ENGINE011 SEPTEMBER 4, 2013 $(a_1,\ldots,a_n)^{-1}$

Sample	Time		ncentration. pM <sup>(1)</sup>	%( <sup>2),24</sup> Destruction
		Inlet	Exhaust 🥬	Efficiency
1	09:36-10:36	457.8	64.8	85.85
2	10:55-11:55	447.6	61.8	86.19
3	12:13-13:13	437,3	62,9	85.62
Ave	erage	447.6	63,17	85.88

PPM = Parts Per Million (v/v) on an dry basis
Destruction Efficiencies were calculated using the concentrations

#### II.2 TABLE 2 **CO DESTRUCTION EFFICIENCY RESULTS** ZEELAND BOARD OF PUBLIC WORKS EU-ENGINE010 SEPTEMBER 4, 2013

Sample	Time	CO Con Pl	centration 2M <sup>(1)</sup>	Poiler Destruction
		Inlet	Exhaust	Efficiency
1	14:29-15:29	433.0	59,3	86.30
2	15:44-16:44	421.2	58.2	86.18
3	17:00-18:00	412.9	58.9	85.74
Ave	rage	422,4	58.8	86.07

#### II.3 TABLE 3 CO DESTRUCTION EFFICIENCY RESULTS ZEELAND BOARD OF PUBLIC WORKS EU-ENGINE009 SEPTEMBER 5, 2013

' Sample	Time	CQ Cor P	centration M <sup>(1)</sup>	୍ୟରମ Destruction
an a		inlet	Exhaust	Efficiency
1	08:26-09:26	595,2	78.1	86.88
2	09:40-10:40	605.6	78.9	86.97
3	10:50-11:50	610.8	78.3	87.18
Ave	rage	603.9	78.4	87,01

PPM = Parts Per Million (v/v) on an dry basis
Destruction Efficiencies were calculated using the concentrations

#### II.4 TABLE 4 CO DESTRUCTION EFFICIENCY RESULTS ZEELAND BOARD OF PUBLIC WORKS EU-ENGINEOOB SEPTEMBER 5, 2013

Sample	Time		ncentration PPM <sup>(1)</sup>	Pierro Pi
		Inlet	Exhaust 2	Efficiency
1	12:56-13:56	20 <b>5.0</b>	15.8	92.29
2	14:05-15:05	201.0	15.1	92.49
3	15:15-16:16	214.8	16.2	92.46
Ave	erage	206,9	15.7	92.41

#### II.5 TABLE 5 CO DESTRUCTION EFFICIENCY RESULTS ZEELAND BOARD OF PUBLIC WORKS EU-ENGINE007 SEPTEMBER 5, 2013

Sample	<sup>a</sup> Tíme	- CO Co	ncentration RM <sup>(1)</sup>	
		Inlet.	Exhaust	Efficiency
<b>1</b>	17:15-18:15	206,0	33.1	83.93
2	18:25-19:25	202.3	31.5	84.43
3	19:33-20:33	207,4	32.3	84.43
Ave	rage	603.9	78.4	84.26

PPM = Parts Per Million (v/v) on an dry basis
Destruction Efficiencies were calculated using the concentrations

#### II.6 TABLE 6 **CO DESTRUCTION EFFICIENCY RESULTS** ZEELAND BOARD OF PUBLIC WORKS EU-ENGINE002 SEPTEMBER 6, 2013

Sample	Tíme	CO'Cor	icentration PM <sup>(1)</sup>	Destruction
全体就会出现。 1841-1944年1月23日		Inlet	Exhaust	Efficiency
1	08:30-09:30	363.7	22.0	93.95
2	09:40-10:40	361.6	19.8	94.52
3	10;50-11:50	357.1	19.1	94.65
Ανε	rage	360.8	20.3	94.37

#### 11.7 TABLE 7 CO DESTRUCTION EFFICIENCY RESULTS ZEELAND BOARD OF PUBLIC WORKS EU-ENGINE001 SEPTEMBER 6, 2013

Sample	Time	, PI		Destruction
		Inlet	Exhaust	e efficiency
1	13:32-14:32	389.7	40.4	89.63
2	14:43-15:43	376.6	39.0	89.64
3	15:53-16:53	369.6	38.8	89.50
Ave	rage	378,6	39,4	89.59

(1) PPM = Parts Per Million (v/v) on an dry basis (2) Destruction Efficiencies were calculated using the concentrations

#### II.8 TABLE 8 CO DESTRUCTION EFFICIENCY RESULTS ZEELAND BOARD OF PUBLIC WORKS CAT ENGINE #1 (WEST FACILITY) OCTOBER 3, 2013

Sample	Time	CO Con Pl	centration M <sup>(1)</sup>	2/o <sup>215</sup> Destruction
		Inlet	Exhaus	Efficiency
1	13:11-14:11	504.4	4.0	99.21
2	14:26-15:26	499.2	3.9	99.22
3	15:36-16:36	517.8	3.7	99.29
Ave	erage	507.1	3.9	99.24

## II.9 TABLE 9 CO DESTRUCTION EFFICIENCY RESULTS ZEELAND BOARD OF PUBLIC WORKS CAT ENGINE #2 (WEST FACILITY) OCTOBER 4, 2013

Sample	Time	CO Conc PR Inlet	entration M <sup>(1)</sup> Exhaust	96(2) Destruction Efficiency
1	08:55-09:55	533.3	4.6	99.14
2	10:05-11:05	537.3	4.9	99.09
3	11:14-12:14	539.0	4.9	99.09
Ave	rage	536,5	4.8	99.11

PPM = Parts Per Million (v/v) on an dry basis
Destruction Efficiencies were calculated using the concentrations

#### II.10 TABLE 10 CO DESTRUCTION EFFICIENCY RESULTS ZEELAND BOARD OF PUBLIC WORKS EUENGINE1 (RILEY STREET FACILITY) JANUARY 8, 2014

Sample	Time		centration: MO	o% (2) Destruction
an an an Araba an Araba. An Araba an Araba an Araba Araba an Araba an Araba an Araba		Iniet	Exhaust	. Efficiency
1	10:14-11:14	453.5	5.7	98,74
2	11:24-12:24	459,5	5.8	98,74
3	12:33-13:33	457.5	5.7	98.75
Ave	rage	456.8	5.7	98.74

# II.11 TABLE 11 CO DESTRUCTION EFFICIENCY RESULTS ZEELAND BOARD OF PUBLIC WORKS EUENGINE2 (RILEY STREET FACILITY) JANUARY 13, 2014

Sample	Time 🚽		ncentration PM <sup>PP</sup>	o%e. Destiuction
		Itlet .	2 Exhaust	Efficiency
<b>1</b>	08:44-09:44	469,3	3.9	99,17
2	09:53-10:53	473.8	4.4	99,07
3	11:02-12:02	478.2	4.6	99.04
Ave	'age	473.8	4.3	99.09

PPM = Parts Per Million (v/v) on an dry basis
Destruction Efficiencies were calculated using the concentrations

#### II.12 TABLE 12 **CO DESTRUCTION EFFICIENCY RESULTS** ZEELAND BOARD OF PUBLIC WORKS EUENGINE3 (RILEY STREET FACILITY) JANUARY 9, 2014

Sample	Time	· - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	centration . # 5M(1)	‰?? ⇒Destruction
		Inlet	Exhaust	Efficiency
1	11:32-12:32	471.4	4.8	98.98
2	12:41-13:41	472.9	5.2	98.90
3	13:52-14:52	477.3	4.8	98.99
Ave	rage	473.9	4.9	98,96

## II.13 TABLE 13 CO DESTRUCTION EFFICIENCY RESULTS ZEELAND BOARD OF PUBLIC WORKS EUENGINE4 (RILEY STREET FACILITY) JANUARY 10, 2013

Sample	Time	CO Con Pi	%(?) Destructions		
		inlet	Exhaust	Efficiency	
1	09;20-10:20	453.8	3.9	99.14	
2	10:30-11:30	470.5	4.4	99.06	
3	11:41-12:41	471.1	4.8	98,98	
Average		465,1	4.4	99.06	

PPM = Parts Per Million (v/v) on an dry basis
Destruction Efficiencies were calculated using the concentrations

#### II.14 TABLE 14 CO DESTRUCTION EFFICIENCY RESULTS ZEELAND BOARD OF PUBLIC WORKS EUENGINE5 (RILEY STREET FACILITY) JANUARY 10, 2014

Sample	Time	CO Concentration		%(?) - Destruction
		Inlet	Exhaust	Efficiency
1	13:09-14:09	488.8	3.9	99.20
2	14:17-15:17	490.5	4,3	99.12
3	15:26-16:26	493,5	4.3	99.13
Average		490.9	4.2	99.15

(1) PPM = Parts Per Million (v/v) on an dry basis

(2) Destruction Efficiencies were calculated using the concentrations

#### **III, DISCUSSION OF RESULTS**

The results of the CO Reduction efficiency sampling are presented in Section II., Tables 1 through 14. The destruction efficiency was calculated using the concentration at the inlet and outlet of the engine catalyst,

The CO Reduction efficiency requirement is as follows:

Engines EU-ENGINE001 – EUENGINE011 AT THE 347 Washington Avenue Facility = 70% CO reduction or 23 PPM CO @15%  $O_2$  on the exhaust.

Engines at 495 Washington Avenue and 8943 Riley Street = 95% CO Reduction or 23 PPM CO @ 15%  $O_2$  on the exhaust,

#### IV. SOURCE DESCRIPTION

The sources tested were reciprocating internal **combustion** engines (R.I.C.E.) of various makes, models, capacities and ages. Catalyst was installed on the exhausts to reduce emissions from the engines. The engines were operated at a level greater than 90% of maximum load during the testing. Source operating data can be found in Appendix B

#### V. SAMPLING AND ANALYTICAL PROTOCOL

The CO reduction sampling was conducted on the inlet and exhaust of the catalyst on each of the engine exhausts.

The following reference test methods were employed to conduct the sampling:

- \* CO Reduction Efficiency U.S. EPA Method 10
- \* Exhaust O2 U.S. EPA Method 3A

**V.1 CO Reduction Efficiency** - The CO sampling was conducted in accordance with U.S. EPA Reference Method 10. The sample gas was extracted from the inlet and outlet of the catalyst through heated Tefion sample lines which led to a Thermo Environmental Model 48H or 48C on the inlet and Model 48 on the outlet. These analyzers produce instantaneous readouts of the CO concentrations (PPM). Three (3) samples were collected from each of the sources. Each sample was sixty (60) minutes in duration. The sampling on the inlet and exhaust was conducted simultaneously.

A systems (from the back of the stack probe to the analyzer) calibration was conducted for the analyzers prior to the testing. Span gases of 92.97 PPM and 851.2 PPM, 985.3 PPM or 1,890 PPM CO were used to establish the initial instrument calibration for the analyzers. CO calibration gases of 51.06 PPM, 250.2 PPM, 446.0 PPM, 492.5 PPM and 851.2 PPM were used to determine the calibration error of the analyzers. After each sample (60 minute sample period), a system zero and system injections of 446.0 PPM and 51.06 PPM CO were performed to establish system drift of both analyzers during the test period. All calibration gases used were EPA Protocol 1 Certified. All the results were calibration corrected using Equation 7E-1 from U.S; EPA Method 7E.

The analyzers were callbrated to the output of the data acquisition system (DAS) used to collect the data from the Incinerator. All quality assurance and quality control requirements specified in the method were incorporated in the performance of this determination. A diagram of the sampling train is shown in Figure 1.

**V.2 Oxygen** - The  $O_2$  sampling was conducted in accordance with U.S. EPA Reference Method 3A. Servomex Series 1400M gas analyzer was used to monitor the exhaust. A heated Teflon sample line was used to transport the exhaust gases to a gas conditioner to remove moisture and reduce the temperature. From the gas conditioner stack gases were passed to the analyzers. The analyzer produces instantaneous readouts of the  $O_2$  concentrations (%).

The analyzer was calibrated by direct injection prior to the testing. Span gases of 20.9%  $O_2$  (ambient air) and 21.03%  $O_2$  were used to establish the initial instrument calibrations. Calibration gases of 12.11%, 12.15%, 6.041% & 6.038%  $O_2$  were used to determine the calibration error of the analyzers. The sampling system (from the back of the stack probe to the analyzer) was injected using the 12.11%  $O_2$  and 6.038%  $CO_2$  gas to determine the system bias. After each sample, a system zero and system injection of 12.11%  $O_2$  & 6.38%  $O_2$  were performed to establish system drift and system bias during the test period. All calibration gases were EPA Protocol 1 Certified.

10

The analyzers were callbrated to the output of the data acquisition system (DAS) used to collect the data from the exhaust. A diagram of the sampling train is shown in Figure 1.

This report was prepared by:

0

Stephan K. Byrd President

This report was reviewed by:

layel

Ř. Scott Cargill Vice President

