

Emergency Generator Engine Emissions Test Report

Prepared for:

The University of Michigan

Ann Arbor, Michigan

Engine Location: The University of Michigan Institute for Social Research 426 Thompson Street Ann Arbor, Michigan

RECEIVED JUN 1 9 2014 AIF QUALITY DIV.

Project No. 14-4526.00 June 9, 2014

BT Environmental Consulting, Inc. 4949 Fernlee Avenue Royal Oak, Michigan 48073 (248) 548-8070

RECEIVED JUN 1 0 2014

OSEH-ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION & PERMITTING



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

BT Environmental Consulting, Inc. (BTEC) was retained by The University of Michigan (UM) to evaluate emission rates from an emergency generator set located outside the Institute for Social Research (ISR). The ISR is located at 426 Thompson Street in Ann Arbor, Michigan. The generator set is a Gaseous Fuel Generator Set Model GTA28 CC Engine Series manufactured by Cummins.

Testing consisted of triplicate 60-minute test runs. The generator set is owned and operated by UM. Because the engine qualifies for exemption from permitting pursuant to R 336.1285(g) and R 336.1212(4)(d), it is not included in a permit. The emissions testing is required by the Standards of Performance for Stationary Spark Ignition Internal Combustion Engines codified at Title 40, Part 60, Subpart JJJJ of the Code of Federal Regulations (40 CFR 60, Subpart JJJJ). Emission limitations included in Subpart JJJJ that are applicable to this generator set are summarized in Table I in addition to test program summary results.

Table IUniversity of MichiganISR Emergency GeneratorCompliance Test Program Results Summary

Source	Pollutant	Test Result (ppmv @15%/O ₂)	Emission Limitation (ppmv @15%/O2)
	NOx	15	160
GTA28 CC Generator Set	СО	261	540
	VOC	0	86

RECEIVED JUN 1.9 2014 AIR QUALITY DIV.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. IN	TRODUCTION1
1.a 1.b 1.c 1.d	Identification, Location, and Dates of Test 1 Purpose of Testing 1 Test Program Contact 2 Test Personnel 3
2. SU	JMMARY OF RESULTS4
2.a 2.b 2.c 2.d	OPERATING DATA
3. SC	OURCE DESCRIPTION
3.a 3.b 3.c 3.d	PROCESS DESCRIPTION
4. SA	AMPLING AND ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES6
4. SA 4.A 4.B 4.C 4.D	AMPLING AND ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES
 4. SA 4.A 4.B 4.C 4.D 5. TH 	AMPLING AND ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES
 4. SA 4.A 4.B 4.C 4.D 5. TH 5.A 5.B 5.C 5.D 	AMPLING AND ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES 6 SAMPLING TRAIN AND FIELD PROCEDURES 6 RECOVERY AND ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES 7 SAMPLING PORTS 7 TRAVERSE POINTS 8 EST RESULTS AND DISCUSSION 9 DISCUSSION OF RESULTS 9 SAMPLING PROCEDURE VARIATIONS 9 PROCESS OR CONTROL DEVICE UPSETS 9
4. SA 4.A 4.B 4.C 4.D 5. TH 5.A 5.B 5.C 5.D 5.E	AMPLING AND ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES 6 SAMPLING TRAIN AND FIELD PROCEDURES 6 RECOVERY AND ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES 7 SAMPLING PORTS 7 TRAVERSE POINTS 7 TRAVERSE POINTS 8 EST RESULTS AND DISCUSSION 9 RESULTS TABULATION 9 DISCUSSION OF RESULTS 9 SAMPLING PROCEDURE VARIATIONS 9 PROCESS OR CONTROL DEVICE UPSETS 9 CONTROL DEVICE MAINTENANCE 9
 4. SA 4.A 4.B 4.C 4.D 5. TH 5.A 5.B 5.C 5.D 5.E 5.F 5.C 	AMPLING AND ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES 6 SAMPLING TRAIN AND FIELD PROCEDURES 6 Recovery and Analytical Procedures 7 SAMPLING PORTS 7 Traverse Points 8 EST RESULTS AND DISCUSSION 9 Results Tabulation 9 Discussion of Results 9 SAMPLING PROCEDURE VARIATIONS 9 Process or Control Device Upsets 9 Control Device Maintenance 9 Audit Sample Analyses 10 Calude ation Superson 10
4. SA 4.A 4.B 4.C 4.D 5. TH 5.A 5.B 5.C 5.D 5.E 5.F 5.G 5.H	AMPLING AND ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES6SAMPLING TRAIN AND FIELD PROCEDURES6Recovery and Analytical Procedures7SAMPLING PORTS7Traverse Points8EST RESULTS AND DISCUSSION9Results Tabulation9Discussion of Results9SAMPLING PROCEDURE VARIATIONS9PROCESS OR CONTROL DEVICE UPSETS9CONTROL DEVICE MAINTENANCE9AUDIT SAMPLE ANALYSES10CALIBRATION SHEETS10SAMPLE CALCULATIONS10
 4. SA 4.A 4.B 4.C 4.D 5. TH 5.A 5.B 5.C 5.D 5.E 5.F 5.G 5.H 5.I 	AMPLING AND ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES6SAMPLING TRAIN AND FIELD PROCEDURES6RECOVERY AND ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES7SAMPLING PORTS7TRAVERSE POINTS8EST RESULTS AND DISCUSSION9RESULTS TABULATION9DISCUSSION OF RESULTS9SAMPLING PROCEDURE VARIATIONS9PROCESS OR CONTROL DEVICE UPSETS9CONTROL DEVICE MAINTENANCE9AUDIT SAMPLE ANALYSES10CALIBRATION SHEETS10SAMPLE CALCULATIONS10FIELD DATA SHEETS10



TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

SUMMARY TABLES

Table 1 Test Personnel Summary	
Table 2 Emission Limits Summary	
Table 3 Emission Test Results Summary	
Table 4 Detailed Average Emission Test Results Summar	y
Table 5 Detailed VOC Emission Test Results Summary –	North Exhaust
Table 6 Detailed VOC Emission Test Results Summary –	South Exhaust

FIGURES

Figure 1	USEPA Method 3A/7E/10 Sampling Train Diagram
Figure 2	USEPA Method 25A Sampling Train Diagram

APPENDIX

Appendix A	AQD Test Plan/Report Format Guideline
Appendix B	Equipment Calibration and Span Gas Documents
Appendix C	Example Calculations
Appendix D	Field Data and Field Notes
Appendix E	Raw Electronic Files



1. Introduction

BT Environmental Consulting, Inc. (BTEC) was retained by The University of Michigan (UM) to evaluate emission rates from an emergency generator set located outside the Instutute for Social Research (ISR). The ISR is located at 426 Thompson Street in Ann Arbor, Michigan. The generator set is a Gaseous Fuel Generator Set Model GTA28 CC Engine Series manufactured by Cummins.

The Air Quality Division (AQD) of Michigan's Department of Environmental Quality has published a guidance document entitled "Format for Submittal of Source Emission Test Plans and Reports" (December 2013, see Appendix A). The following is a summary of the emissions test program and results in the format outlined by the AQD document.

1.a Identification, Location, and Dates of Test

Field-sampling for this emission test program was conducted on April 25, 2014 at 426 Thompson Street in Ann Arbor, Michigan. The purpose of this report is to document the results of the emissions determined during the compliance test program.

1.b Purpose of Testing

The generator set is owned and operated by UM. Because the engine qualifies for exemption from permitting pursuant to R 336.1285(g) and R 336.1212(4)(d), it is not included in a permit. The emissions testing is required by the Standards of Performance for Stationary Spark Ignition Internal Combustion Engines codified at Title 40, Part 60, Subpart JJJJ of the Code of Federal Regulations (40 CFR 60, Subpart JJJJ). Emission limitations included in Subpart JJJJ that are applicable to this generator set are summarized by Table 2 (see Section 2.d).

The purpose of the testing was to quantify emission levels of oxides of nitrogen (NOx), CO, and VOC (as propane). In addition, the concentrations of oxygen (O₂), methane (CH4), and moisture in the engine exhaust were measured during the emissions test program.



1.c Test Program Contact

The contact for the test program is:

Mr. Stephen M. O'Rielly Manager The University of Michigan Occupational Safety & Environmental Health Department Environmental Protection & Permitting Program Campus Safety Services Building 1239 Kipke Drive Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109 (734) 763-4642



1.d Test Personnel

Names and affiliations for personnel who were present during the testing program are summarized by Table 1.

Name and Title	Affiliation	Telephone
Mr. Bruce Colson Power Generation Field Technician	Cummins Bridgeway, LLC 21810 Clessie Court New Hudson, Michigan 48165	(248) 573-1600
Mr. Tony Pupa Power Generation Field Technician	Cummins Bridgeway, LLC 21810 Clessie Court New Hudson, Michigan 48165	(248) 573-1600
Ms. Brandi Campbell Environmental Specialist	University of Michigan Occupational Safety & Environmental Health Dept.	(734) 647-9017
Mr. David Patterson Technical Programs Unit	MDEQ Technical Programs Unit Air Quality Division	(517) 284-6782
Mr. Matthew L. Young Project Manager	BTEC 4949 Fernlee Avenue Royal Oak, MI 48073	(248) 548-8070
Mr. Paul Draper Environmental Technician	BTEC 4949 Fernlee Avenue Royal Oak, MI 48073	(248) 548-8070

Table 1	
Test Personnel	



2. Summary of Results

Sections 2.a through 2.d summarize the results of the emissions compliance test program.

2.a Operating Data

The generator set was run prior to testing to ensure proper internal temperature could be reached for the onboard non-selective catalytic reduction (NSCR) system and to adjust the fuel/air mix ratio for optimal emissions control system performance. As specified by 40 CFR 60.4244(a), emissions testing was conducted with the engine operating within 10 percent of 100 percent peak load. The power generation rate during the emissions test program was approximately 410 kW.

2.b Applicable Permit

The engine qualifies for exemption from permitting pursuant to R 336.1285(g) and R 336.1212(4)(d), it is not included in a permit. The emissions testing is required by the Standards of Performance for Stationary Spark Ignition Internal Combustion Engines codified at Title 40, Part 60, Subpart JJJJ of the Code of Federal Regulations (40 CFR 60, Subpart JJJJ).

2.c Results

The overall results of the emissions compliance test program are summarized by Table 3 (see Section 5.a).

2.d Emission Regulation Comparison

Emission limitations for the ISR emergency generator set are summarized by Table 2.

Table 2Emission Limitations for Emergency Generators Greater Than 130 hp			
Pollutant	Emission Limitation (ppmv@15% O2)	Emission Limitation (g/bhp-hr)	
NOx	160	2.0	
CO	540	4.0	
VOC	86	1.0	

Note: Emission Limitations are expressed in two separate units. Either set of emission limitations can be used to demonstrate compliance with 40 CFR 60, Subpart JJJJ. Emissions were determined in terms of concentration (ppmv@15% O_2).

As summarized by Table 3 (Section 5.a), the emissions test result for each pollutant was less than the corresponding emission limitation.



3. Source Description

Sections 3.a through 3.e provide a detailed description of the process.

3.a Process Description

The Cummins NPower GF-series commercial generator set is a fully integrated power generation system for stationary standby or prime power applications.

3.b Raw and Finished Materials

The only raw material supplied to the generator set is natural gas.

3.c Process Capacity

The only raw material supplied to the generator set is natural gas. The generator is rated for a maximum natural gas usage rate of 5,890 cfh at 450 kW.

3.d Process Instrumentation

The engine is equipped with controls to adjust the fuel-air ratio of the engine intake manifold.



4. Sampling and Analytical Procedures

Sections 4.a through 4.d provide a summary of the sampling and analytical procedures used to verify emissions from the emergency generator.

4.a Sampling Train and Field Procedures

Sampling and analysis procedures followed the methodologies of the following emissions test methods codified at Title 40, Part 60, Appendix A of the Code of Federal Regulations (40 CFR 60, Appendix A):

- Method 3A "Determination of Oxygen and Carbon Dioxide Concentrations in Emissions from Stationary Sources" will be used to evaluate the O₂ content of the engine exhaust
- Method 7E "Determination of Nitrogen Oxides Emissions from Stationary Sources" will be used to measure NOx concentrations in the exhaust gas
- Method 10 "Determination of Carbon Monoxide Emissions from Stationary Sources" will be used to measure CO concentrations in the exhaust gas
- Method 25A "Determination of Total Gaseous Organic Concentration Using Flame Ionization Analyzer" will be used to measure VOC concentrations in the exhaust gas

The O_2 content was measured using a Servomex 4100 O_2 gas analyzer. The NOx content of the gas stream was measured using a TECO Model 42hi NOx gas analyzer. The CO content of the gas stream was measured using a TECO Model 48i CO gas analyzer. A sample of the gas stream was drawn through an insulated stainless-steel probe with an inline glass fiber filter to remove any particulate, a heated Teflon[®] sample line, and through an electronic sample conditioner to remove the moisture from the sample before it enters the analyzers. Data was recorded at 4-second intervals on a PC equipped with data acquisition software. A schematic drawing of the Methods 3A, 7E, and 10 sampling train is provided as Figure 1.

Volatile Organic compound (VOC) concentrations were measured according to 40 CFR 60, Appendix A, Method 25A. A sample of the gas stream was drawn through a stainless steel probe with an in-line glass fiber filter to remove any particulate, and a heated Teflon[®] sample line to prevent the condensation of any moisture from the sample before it enters the analyzer. Data was recorded at 4-second intervals on a PC equipped with IOtech[®] data acquisition software. BTEC will use a JUM Model 109A Methane/Non-Methane THC hydrocarbon analyzer to determine the VOC concentration.



The JUM Model 109A analyzer utilizes two flame ionization detectors (FIDs) in order to report the average ppmv for total hydrocarbons (THC), as propane, as well as the average ppmv for methane (as methane). Upon entry, the analyzer splits the gas stream. One FID ionizes all of the hydrocarbons in the gas stream sample into carbon, which is then detected as a concentration of total hydrocarbons. Using an analog signal, specifically voltage, the concentration of THC is then sent to the data acquisition system (DAS), where recordings are taken at 4-second intervals to produce an average based on the overall duration of the test. This average is then used to determine the average ppmv for THC reported as the calibration gas, propane, in equivalent units.

The second FID reports methane only. The sample enters a chamber containing a catalyst that destroys all of the hydrocarbons present in the gas stream other than methane. As with the THC sample, the methane gas concentration is sent to the DAS and recorded. The methane concentration, reported as methane, can then be converted to methane, reported as propane, by dividing the measured methane concentration by the analyzer's response factor.

The analyzer's response factor is obtained by introducing a methane calibration gas to the calibrated J.U.M. 109A. The response of the analyzer's THC FID to the methane calibration gas, in ppmv as propane, is divided by the Methane analyzer's response to the methane calibration gas, in ppmv as methane. A schematic drawing of the Method 25A sampling train is provided as Figure 2.

For analyzer calibrations, calibration gases were mixed to desired concentrations using an Environics Series 4040 Computerized Gas Dilution System. The Series 4040 consists of a single chassis with four mass flow controllers. The mass flow controllers are factory-calibrated using a primary flow standard traceable to the United State's National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST). Each flow controller utilizes an 11 point calibration table with linear interpolation, to increase accuracy and reduce flow controller nonlinearity.

All analyzers were calibrated in accordance with the procedures of Methods 3A, 7E, 10, and 25A. For the Method 25A calibrations, the calibration gases will be propane balanced with nitrogen and methane balanced with nitrogen (rather than balanced with air).

4.b Recovery and Analytical Procedures

Recovery and analytical procedures were described in Section 4.a.

4.c Sampling Ports

All sampling took place at the engine exhaust ducts. The entire run time was spent in one of two exhaust ducts, with the sampling probe being switched between ducts at the halfway point of the test run (based on time, not sample volume). Readings from approximately three minutes of time required for switchover were removed from the BTEC analysis averages.



4.d Traverse Points

The generator set came pre-installed with two exhaust ducts. The sampling probe was inserted into the end of the exhaust ducts (6-inch inside diameter) for 30 minutes each with the probe located at the center point of each duct.



5. Test Results and Discussion

Sections 5.a through 5.k provide a summary of the test results.

5.a Results Tabulation

The results of the emissions test program are summarized by Table 3.

University of Michigan ISR Emergency Generator Compliance Test Program Results Summary				
Source	Pollutant	Test Result (ppmv @15%/O ₂)	Emission Limitation (ppmv @15%/O2)	
	NOx	15	160	
GTA38 CC Generator Set	СО	261	540	
	VOC	0	86	

Table 3

5.b Discussion of Results

Emission limitations are summarized by Table 2 (see Section 2.d). The results of the emissions test program are summarized by Table 3 (see Section 5.a).

Emission results for each pollutant were averaged using all points from the entire 60 minute run (less those points where probes were being changed between exhaust ducts in the middle of the run). As discussed above in section 4.c, probe tips spent each 60-minute run with their time split equally between the two exhaust ducts. Detailed emissions test results are summarized by Table 4. Detailed VOC test results for the North and South exhaust ducts are summarized by Tables 5 and 6, respectively.

5.c Sampling Procedure Variations

No sampling procedure variations occurred during testing.

5.d Process or Control Device Upsets

No upset conditions occurred during testing.

5.e Control Device Maintenance

No control device maintenance was performed during the testing.



5.f Audit Sample Analyses

No audit samples were collected as part of the test program.

5.g Calibration Sheets

All relevant equipment calibration documents are provided as Appendix B.

5.h Sample Calculations

Sample calculations are provided in Appendix C.

5.i Field Data Sheets

Field documents relevant to the emissions test program are presented in Appendix D.

5.j Laboratory Data

All analysis was done live through the use of online Analyzers and as such there is no laboratory data. Raw analyzer data is provided in Appendix E.

Tables

Table 4The University of MichiganISR Emergency GeneratorDetailed Average Emission Test Results SummaryAnn Arbor, MichiganBTEC Project No. 14-4526.00Sampling Date: 4-25-14

Run	Duct	CO (ppmv dry)	NOx (ppmv dry)	VOCs (ppniv dry)	O ₂ (ppmv dry)
Ð 1	North Exhaust	1243.0	30.3	0.0	0.00
Kun I	South Exhaust	627.4	51.2	0.0	0.00
Run 2	North Exhaust	1134.1	57.1	0.0	0.02
	South Exhaust	616.3	34.2	0.0	0.02
Run 3	North Exhaust	1276.2	62.8	0.0	0.00
	South Exhaust	646.8	86.7	0.0	0.00

Average Concentrations Drift Corrected per Method 7E

Results Corrected to 15% O2

Run	Duct	CO (ppmv dry)	Nox (ppmv dry)	VOCs (non methane) (ppmv dry)
Run 1	North Exhaust	350.9	8.5	0.0
	South Exhaust	177.1	14.5	0.0
Dun 1	North Exhaust	320.5	16.1	0.0
Kun Z	South Exhaust	174,1	9.7	0.0
B	North Exhaust	360.3	17.7	0.0
	South Exhaust	182.6	24.5	0.0

AVERAGES			
(ppmv dry; Corrected to 15% O ₂)			
CO	261		
Nox	15		
VOCs (non-methane)	0		

Table 5 ISR Emergency Generator Test - North Exhaust The University of Michigan Ann Arbor, Michigan BTEC Project No. 14-4526.00 Sampling Date: 4-25-14

3.03

Parameter	Run 1	Run 2	Run 3	Average
Test Run Date	4/25/2014	4/25/2014	4/25/2014	4/25/2014
Outlet VOC Concentration (ppmv as propane)	166.0	152.4	162.1	160.2
Outlet Methane Concentration (ppmv as methane)	501.2		519.2	492 5
Outlet VOC Concentration (ppmv, corrected as per USEPA 7E)	161.6	141.5	149.8	151.0
Outlet Methane Concentration (ppmv, corrected as per USEPA 7E)	505.3	450.9	503.2	486.5
Outlet VOC Concentration (ppmv propane, -Methane)	0.6	1.5	-9.2	-2.4
Outlet VOC Concentration (ppmv propane, -Methane, corrected as per USEPA 7E)	-5.1	-7.3	-16.3	-9.6

VOC Correction			
<i>C</i> .	0.17	0.62	0 74
Cma	124.5	124.5	-0.74
Cm	127.79	134.02	134.63

Methane Correction			
Co	0.44	1 1 1	0.96
Cma	249.3	249,3	249.3
Cm	247.49	253.26	257.69

ppmv = parts per million on a volume-to-volume basis

ppm as propane (-Methane) = ppm propane - (ppm Methane)/Response factor

Response factor obtained from introducing propane into methane analyzer:

Table 6 ISR Emergency Generator Test - South Exhaust The University of Michigan Ann Arbor, Michigan BTEC Project No. 14-4526.00 Sampling Date: 4-25-14

3.03

Parameter	Run 1	Run 2	Run 3	Average
Test Run Date	4/25/2014	4/25/2014	4/25/2014	4/25/2014
Outlet VOC Concentration (ppmv as propane)	83.1	73,3	68.6	75.0
Outlet Methane Concentration (ppmv as methane) Outlet VOC Concentration (ppmv, corrected as per USEPA 7E)	250.9 81.0	68.3 224.0	63.7	228.5 71.0
Outlet VOC Concentration (ppmv ropane, -Methane)	0.3	-2.2	0.5	-0.4
Outlet VOC Concentration (ppmv propane, -Methane, corrected as per USEPA /E)	-2.4	-5.9	-2.0	-3,4

VOC Correction			
Co	-0.17	-0.63	-0.74
Cma	124.5	124,5	124.5
Cm	127,79	134.02	134.63

Methane Correction			
Co	0.44	1,11	0.96
Cma	249.3	249.3	249.3
Cm	247.49	253.26	257.69

ppmv = parts per million on a volume-to-volume basis

ppm as propane (-Methane) = ppm propane - (ppm Methane)/Response factor

Response factor obtained from introducing propane into methane analyzer:

Figures



