M2032

Report of...

# **Emission Sampling**

Performed for...

Spectrum Health

RECEIVED DEC 0 9 2015 AIR QUALITY DIV.

At ...

# Spectrum Health Combined Laboratory Grand Rapids, Michigan

On the

**Tower 35 Engine Generator Exhaust** 

October 13, 2015

Project #: 240.03

Network Environmental, Inc. Grand Rapids, MI

## RECEIVED DEC 0 9 2015 AIR QUALITY DIV.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Network Environmental, Inc. was retained by Spectrum Health of Grand Rapids, Michigan to perform emission sampling on their engine-generator located at Spectrum Health Combined Laboratory in Grand Rapids, Michigan. The purpose of the testing was to comply with the requirements of their Permit to Install 72-11 and the requirements for stationary spark ignition internal combustion engines (40 CFR Part 60, Subpart JJJJ).

The scope of this project was to determine the oxides of nitrogen (NO<sub>x</sub>), carbon monoxide (CO), and total hydrocarbon (VOC) emissions from the Tower 35 Generator.

The following reference test methods were employed to conduct the sampling:

- Carbon Monoxide (CO) U.S. EPA Method 10
- Oxides of Nitrogen (NO<sub>x</sub>) U.S. EPA Method 7E
- Total Hydrocarbons (VOC) U.S. EPA Methods 25A & 18
- Exhaust Gas Parameters (flow rate, temperature, moisture & density) U.S. EPA Methods 1 through 4

The sampling was performed on October 13, 2015 by Stephan K. Byrd, R. Scott Cargill, Richard D. Eerdmans and David D. Engelhardt of Network Environmental, Inc. Assisting with the source operation and data collection was Mr. Dave Feuerstein of Spectrum Health. Mr. David Patterson of the MDEQ Air Quality Division was present to observe the testing.

### **II. PRESENTATION OF RESULTS**

| II.1 TABLE 1<br>CARBON MONOXIDE (CO) EMISSION RESULTS<br>TOWER 35 ENGINE GENERATOR EXHAUST<br>SPECTRUM HOSPITAL |             |  |   |                       |                              |
|---|-------------|--|---|-----------------------|------------------------------|
| Sample  | Time        | Air Flow<br>Rate<br>DSCFM <sup>(1)</sup> | CO<br>Concentration<br>PPM <sup>(2)</sup> | CO<br>Lbs/Hr          | CO<br>g/hp-hr <sup>(3)</sup> |
| 1   | 11:04-12:04 | 2,857                                    | 423.3                                     | 5,24                  | 1.63                         |
|   | 12:18-13:18 | 2,871                                    | 433.8                                     | 5.40                  | 1,67                         |
| 2   |             |  |   | and the second second |                              |
| 2<br>3  | 13:30-14:30 | 2,852                                    | 433.7                                     | 5.36                  | 1.66                         |

(2) PPM = Parts Per Million (v/v) On A Dry Basis
(3) g/hp-hr = grams per horsepower hour (based on engine hp-hr rating of 1462.

#### **II.2 TABLE 2 OXIDES OF NITROGEN (NO<sub>x</sub>) EMISSION RESULTS TOWER 35 ENGINE GENERATOR EXHAUST** SPECTRUM HOSPITAL **GRAND RAPIDS, MICHIGAN OCTOBER 13, 2015**

| Sample | Time        | Air Flow<br>Rate<br>DSCFM <sup>(1)</sup> | NC <sub>x</sub><br>Concentration<br>PPM <sup>(2)</sup> | NOx<br>Lbs/Hr | NO <sub>x</sub><br>g/hp-hr <sup>(3)</sup> |
|--------|-------------|--|--|---------------|---|
| 1      | 11:04-12:04 | 2,857                                    | 226.8  | 4.61          | 1.43                                      |
| 2      | 12:18-13:18 | 2,871                                    | 222.1  | 4,54          | 1.41                                      |
| 3      | 13:30-14:30 | 2,852                                    | 221.2  | 4.49          | 1.39                                      |
| A      | verage      | 2,860                                    | 223.4  | 4.55          | 1,41                                      |

(1) DSCFM = Dry Standard Cubic Feet per Minute (Standard Temperature & Pressure =  $68 \,^{\circ}$ F & 29.92 in. Hg) (2) PPM = Parts Per Million (v/v) On A Dry Basis

(3) g/hp-hr = grams per horsepower hour (based on engine hp-hr rating of 1462.

|                      |       |         | ТТ З Э  |         | 2          |   | -    |          |
|----------------------|-------|---------|---------|---------|------------|---|------|----------|
| то                   | TAL H | VDDOCA  |         |         | MICCI      |   | CIUC | тс       |
| Ĩ                    | TOW   |         | NCTNE   | CENED   | ATOD E     |   | SUL  | 19<br>19 |
| 1000<br>1000<br>1000 | IUW   | EK JJ E | NGINE   | GENER   |            |   | 21   |          |
|                      |       | 51      | 'ECIRUI | M HUSH  | 'I AL      |   |      |          |
| 1.7                  |       | GRAN    | ID RAPI | DS, MI  | CHIGA      | N |      |          |
|                      |       |         | OCTOBE  | R 13, 2 | <b>015</b> |   |      |          |

| Source    | Sample     | Time        | Air Flow<br>Rate<br>SCFM <sup>(1)</sup> | THC<br>Concentration<br>PPM <sup>(2)</sup> | Methane<br>Concentration<br>PPM <sup>(3)</sup> | VOC<br>Concentration<br>PPM <sup>(4)</sup> | VOC<br>Mass Rate<br>Lbs/Hr <sup>(5)</sup> | VOC<br>Mass Rate<br>g/hp-hr <sup>(6)</sup> |
|-----------|------------|-------------|---|--|--|--|---|--|
|           | • <b>1</b> | 11:04-12:04 | 3,296                                   | 230.3                                      | 439  | 84.0                                       | 1.88                                      | 0.58                                       |
|           | 2          | 12:18-13:18 | 3,312                                   | 225.6                                      | 380  | 98.9                                       | 2.23                                      | 0.69                                       |
| Engine #1 | 3          | 13:30-14:30 | 3,290                                   | 238.8                                      | 447  | 89.8                                       | 2.01                                      | 0.62                                       |
|           | Av         | rerage      | 3,299                                   | 231.6                                      | 422  | 90.9                                       | 2.04                                      | 0.63                                       |

(1) SCFM = Standard Cubic Feet per Minute (Standard Temperature & Pressure =  $68 \degree F \& 29.92$  in. Hg) (2) THC PPM = Parts Per Million (v/v) Of Total Hydrocarbons On A Wet Basis As Propane

(3) Methane PPM = Parts Per Million (v/v) Of Methane On a Dry Basis
(4) VOC PPM = Part Per Million (v/v) of VOC (THC Minus Methane)
(5) VOC Lbs/Hr = Pounds Of VOC (THC Minus Methane) Per Hour Calculated As Propane

(6) VOC g/hp-hr = grams per horsepower hour (based on engine hp-hr rating of 1462.

#### **III. DISCUSSION OF RESULTS**

The results of the emission sampling are summarized in Tables 1 through 3 (Sections II.1 through II.3). The results are presented as follows:

#### III.1 CO

Table 1 - Carbon Monoxide (CO) Emission Results Summary

- Sample
- Time
- Air Flow Rate (DSCFM) Dry Standard Cubic Feet per Minute (STP = 68 °F & 29.92 in, Hg)
- CO Concentration (PPM Actual) Parts Per Million (v/v) on a Dry Basis
- CO Mass Emission Rate (Lbs/Hr) Pounds of CO Per Hour
- CO Mass Emission Rate (g/hp-hr) grams per horsepower hour

All raw CO sample data was calibration corrected using Equation 7E-5 from U.S. EPA Method 7E.

#### III.2 $NO_{\times}$

Table 2 - Oxides of Nitrogen (NO<sub>x</sub>) Emission Results Summary

- Sample
- •. Time
- Air Flow Rate (DSCFM) Dry Standard Cubic Feet per Minute (STP = 68 °F & 29.92 in. Hg)
- NO<sub>x</sub> Concentration (PPM) Parts Per Million (v/v) on a Dry Basis
- NO<sub>x</sub> Mass Emission Rate (Lbs/Hr) Pounds of NO<sub>x</sub> Per Hour
- NO<sub>x</sub> Mass Emission Rate (g/hp-hr) grams per horsepower hour

All raw NO<sub>x</sub> sample data was calibration corrected using Equation 7E-5 from U.S. EPA Method 7E.

#### III.3 VOC

Table 3 – Total Hydrocarbon (VOC) Emission Results Summary

- Sample
- Time
- Air Flow Rate (DSCFM) Standard Cubic Feet per Minute (STP = 68 °F & 29.92 in. Hg)

5

- THC Concentration (PPM ) Parts Per Million of THC (v/v) on a Wet Basis as Propane
- Methane Concentration (PPM) Parts Per Million of Methane (v/v) on a Dry Basis
- VOC Concentration (PPM) Parts Per Million of VOC (THC Minus Methane) as propane
- VOC Mass Emission Rate (Lbs/Hr) Pounds of VOC (THC Minus Methane) Per Hour
- VOC Mass Emission Rate (g/hp-hr) grams per horsepower hour,

All raw VOC sample data was calibration corrected using Equation 7E-5 from U.S. EPA Method 7E.

The methane concentrations were converted to a propane basis using a response factor of 3.00 (PPM Methane as Propane = PPM Methane/3.0 the VOC results were calculated taking the THC results minus the methane results (on a propane basis).

## **III.4 Emission Limits**

The emission limits as specified in Permit No. 72-11 are as follows:

| Test | Parameter       | Limit       |
|------|-----------------|-------------|
|      | CO              | 4.0 g/hp-hr |
|      | NO <sub>x</sub> | 2.0 g/hp-hr |
|      | VOC             | 1.0 g/hp-hr |

### **IV. SOURCE DESCRIPTION**

The source sampled was Tower 35 Engine Generator, which is a natural gas fired emergency enginegenerator (CAT G3516). The rated capacity of this engine is 1462 bhp. Source operating data during the testing can be found in Appendix B.

#### V. SAMPLING AND ANALYTICAL PROTOCOL

The sampling location was on the 14 inch I.D. exhaust stack with 2 sample ports in a location approximately 6 duct diameters downstream and approximately 4 duct diameters upstream from the nearest disturbances.

**V.1 Carbon Monoxide** – The CO sampling was conducted in accordance with U.S. EPA Reference Method 10. A Thermal Environmental Model 48C gas analyzer was used to monitor the exhaust. A heated Teflon sample line was used to transport the exhaust gases to a gas conditioner to remove moisture and reduce the temperature. From the gas conditioner stack gases were passed to the analyzer. The analyzer produces instantaneous readouts of the CO concentrations (PPM).

The analyzer was calibrated by direct injection prior to the testing. A span gas of 985.3 PPM was used to establish the initial instrument calibration. A calibration gas 492.5 PPM was used to determine the calibration error of the analyzer. The sampling system (from the back of the stack probe to the analyzer) was injected using the 492.5 PPM gas to determine the system bias. After each sample, a system zero and system injection of 460.3 PPM were performed to establish system drift and system bias during the test period. All calibration gases were EPA Protocol 1 Certified.

The analyzer was calibrated to the output of the data acquisition system (DAS) used to collect the data from the exhaust. The analyzer averages were corrected for calibration error and drift using formula EQ.7E-5 from 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Method 7E. A diagram of the sampling train is shown in Figure 1.

**V.2 Oxides of Nitrogen** – The NO<sub>x</sub> sampling was conducted in accordance with U.S. EPA Reference Method 7E. A Thermal Environmental Model 42H gas analyzer was used to monitor the exhaust. A heated Teflon sample line was used to transport the exhaust gases to a gas conditioner to remove moisture and reduce the temperature. From the gas conditioner stack gases were passed to the analyzer. The analyzer produces instantaneous readouts of the NO<sub>x</sub> concentrations (PPM).

The analyzer was calibrated by direct injection prior to the testing. A span gas of 486.9 PPM was used to establish the initial instrument calibration. A calibration gas of 250.1 PPM was used to determine the calibration error of the analyzer. A direct injection of 51.97 PPM nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>) was performed to show the conversion efficiency of the monitor. The conversion efficiency was 94.29% (49.0 PPM). The sampling system (from the back of the stack probe to the analyzer) was injected using the 250.1 PPM gas

to determine the system bias. After each sample, a system zero and system injection of 250.1 PPM were performed to establish system drift and system bias during the test period. All calibration gases were EPA Protocol 1 Certified.

The analyzer was calibrated to the output of the data acquisition system (DAS) used to collect the data from the exhaust. The analyzer averages were corrected for calibration error and drift using formula EQ.7E-5 from 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Method 7E. A diagram of the sampling train is shown in Figure 1.

**V.3 Total Hydrocarbons (THC)** – The THC sampling was conducted in accordance with U.S. EPA Reference Method 25A. A J.U.M. Model 3-500 flame ionization detector (FID) analyzer was used to monitor the exhausts. Sample gas was extracted through a heated probe. A heated Teflon sample line was used to transport the exhaust gases to the analyzer. The analyzer produces instantaneous readouts of the total hydrocarbon (THC) concentrations (PPM).

The analyzer was calibrated by system injection (from the back of the stack probe to the analyzer) prior to the testing. A span gas of 453.7 PPM was used to establish the initial instrument calibration. Calibration gases of 151.1 PPM and 247.1 PPM were used to determine the calibration error of the analyzer. After each sample, a system zero and system injection of 151.1 PPM were performed to establish system drift and system bias during the test period. All calibration gases used were EPA Protocol Propane Calibration Gases. Three (3) samples were collected from the exhaust. Each sample was sixty (60) minutes in duration.

The analyzer was calibrated to the output of the data acquisition system (DAS) used to collect the data from the exhaust. The analyzer averages were corrected for calibration error and drift using formula EQ.7E-5 from 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Method 7E. Figure 2 is a diagram of the VOC sampling train.

**V.4 Methane** – The methane sampling was conducted in accordance U.S. EPA Method 18. Integrated bag samples were collected in Tedlar bags during each of the three sixty minute runs.

The samples were overnighted to the laboratory for analysis. The samples were analyzed by gas chromatograph for methane in accordance with the method. All the quality assurance and quality control procedures listed in the methods were incorporated in the sampling and analysis.

V.5 Exhaust Gas Parameters - The exhaust gas parameters (air flow rate, temperature, moisture and density) were determined in conjunction with the other sampling by employing U.S. EPA Methods 1 through 4.

Three (3) velocity traverses and one (1) moisture sample were collected. All the quality assurance and quality control procedures listed in the methods were incorporated in the sampling and analysis.

This report was prepared by:

Stephen K. Byrd

President

This report was reviewed by:

Vat

David D. Engelhardt Vice President





