

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
AIR QUALITY DIVISION
ACTIVITY REPORT: Scheduled Inspection

N091728468

FACILITY: SPRAYTEK INC		SRN / ID: N0917
LOCATION: 2535 WOLCOTT, FERNDALE		DISTRICT: Southeast Michigan
CITY: FERNDALE		COUNTY: OAKLAND
CONTACT: Eric Swisher , Quality Services Manager		ACTIVITY DATE: 01/28/2015
STAFF: Robert Elmouchi	COMPLIANCE STATUS: Compliance	SOURCE CLASS: SM OPT OUT
SUBJECT: Scheduled inspection.		
RESOLVED COMPLAINTS:		

On January 28, 2015, I conducted a scheduled inspection of SprayTek, Inc, located at 2535 Wolcott, Ferndale, Michigan. The purpose of this inspection was to determine the facility's compliance with the requirements of the Federal Clean Air Act; Article II, Part 55, Air Pollution Control, of the Natural Resources and Environmental Protection Act, 1994 PA 451, as amended (Act 451); the administrative rules; and Permit to Install (PTI) No. 143-04B.

I entered SprayTek and spoke with Mr. Eric Swisher, Quality Services Manager, and discussed the purpose of the inspection. Mr. Swisher escorted me throughout the inspection and provided records.

I observed each emission unit in flexible group FGCOATING. A trial run was in progress on EULINE1, which is a chain-on-edge surface coating line. EULINE2 (Dip-spin) was in operation as well as EUWASHLINE and EUNUTRO2. All coating lines were operating. All particulate filters appeared to be properly installed and maintained.

I inspected the paint mixing room, which had previously been identified as a source of fugitive emissions during previous inspections and may have been a source of foul odors contributing to odor complaints. The last odor complaint received by the AQD was on August 14, 2013. I detected a mild odor of fugitive emissions, which appeared to indicate proper fugitive emission control procedures were being maintained. I observed that cans, totes and drums were covered or sealed. The only exception was the cover on a 55 gallon drum that is used to dispose of paint soaked or solvent soaked waste. The lid on this drum did not rest against the top of the drum and therefore did not create a tight seal. Mr. Swisher verbally committed to replacing the cover within a few days. In a follow up call with Mr. Swisher I was informed that a spare cover was in stock at SprayTek and that the existing cover had been replaced. Mr. Swisher emailed a photo to me of the replaced cover in use.

I observed three batch spray booths that are operated per the R 287(c) exemption from R201. The particulate filters in these spray booths appeared to be properly installed and maintained. A recordkeeping review appears to indicate that less than 200 gallons per month have been applied in each exempt spray booth.

A recordkeeping review (hard copies attached) appears to indicate that the permittee is in compliance with the permitted FGCOATING VOC and FG FACILITY HAP emission limits.

CONCLUSION

SprayTek appears to be in compliance with the evaluated air pollution control rules and permit conditions.

NAME *Robert Elmouchi* DATE 2/18/15 SUPERVISOR CJE