Report of an...

Air Flow Study

RECEIVED

NOV 02 2020

AIR QUALITY DIVISION

performed for...

Viking Energy McBain, Michigan

on the

Wood Fired Boiler

August 24, 2020

126.38

Network Environmental, Inc. Grand Rapids, MI

Performed for:

Viking Energy 6751 Gerwoude Drive McBain, MI 49657 Contact: Keith Stackpoole Telephone: (231) 825-2772 Fax: (231) 825-8024

E-mail: keith.stackpoole@nssccorp.com

Performed by:

Network Environmental, Inc. 2629 Remico Street, Suite B Grand Rapids, MI 49519 Contact: David D. Engelhardt Telephone: (616) 530-6330 Fax: (616) 530-0001 E-mail: netenviro@aol.com

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		<u>Page</u>
I.	Introduction	1
II.	Summary Tables of Results	2
	II.1 Table 1 – Air Flow Results	2
III.	Sampling and Analytical Protocol	3-5
	Figure 1 – Moisture Sampling Train	4
	Figure 2 – Air Flow Sampling Train	5
	Appendices	
	Reference Method Data	Α
	Calculations	В
	Raw Data	С

I. INTRODUCTION

Network Environmental, Inc. was retained by Viking Energy of McBain, Michigan to perform an air flow study on their wood fired boiler. The purpose of the study was to document the air flow rate from the wood fired boiler under normal operating conditions.

The air flow sampling was performed on August 24, 2020. Stephan K. Byrd, Richard D. Eerdmans and David D. Engelhardt of Network Environmental, Inc. conducted the sampling in accordance with the following reference test methods:

Exhaust Gas Parameters – U.S. EPA Methods 1 through 4

Assisting with the study were Mr. Matt Doolittle of Viking Energy McBain and the operating staff of the facility.

RECEIVED

NOV 0 2 2020

AIR QUALITY DIVISION

II. PRESENTATION OF RESULTS

II.1 TABLE 1 **AIR FLOW RESULTS WOOD FIRED BOILER EXHAUST VIKING ENERGY** McBAIN, MICHIGAN **AUGUST 24, 2020**

	Sample Time	Air Flow Rates	
Sample		SCFM (1)	DSCFM (2)
1	16:04-16:16	56,344	46,411
2	16:24-16:34	56,324	46,394
3	16:44-16:55	56,031	46,152
Av	erage	56,233	46,319

 ⁽¹⁾ SCFM = Standard Cubic Feet Per Minute (Standard Temperature & Pressure = 68 °F & 29.92 in. Hg)
 (2) DSCFM = Dry Standard Cubic Feet Per Minute (Standard Temperature & Pressure = 68 °F & 29.92 in. Hg)

III. SAMPLING AND ANALYTICAL PROTOCOL

III.1 Moisture — The moisture sample was collected in accordance with U.S. EPA Method 4. The sample was withdrawn from the stack and passed through a condensing coil with drop out before being passed through pre-weighed silica gel. The water collected was measured to the nearest 0.5 ml and the silica gel was re-weighed to the nearest 0.5 g. The moisture collected along with the sample volume was used to determine the percent moisture in the exhaust. The sample was twenty-five (25) minutes in duration and had a minimum sample volume of twenty-one (21) standard cubic feet. A diagram of the moisture sampling train is shown in Figure 1.

III.2 Air Flows — The air flow rates were determined by employing U.S. EPA Reference Methods 1 and 2. The sampling for the source was conducted on the 71 inch I.D. exhaust stack. A total of 12 traverse points were used for the air flow determinations. The sample point dimensions are shown in Appendix C.

Velocity pressures were determined using an S-Type pitot tube. Temperatures were measured using a Type K thermocouple. A diagram of the air flow sampling train is shown in Figure 2.

III.3 Gas Density — The gas density was determined by obtaining a bag sample from the exhaust of the moisture train and Orsat analysis.

This report was prepared by:

David D. Engelhardt Vice President This report was reviewed by:

Stephan K. Byrd President

