

Report of an...

Air Flow Study

performed for...

National Energy
McBain, Michigan

on the

Wood Fired Boiler

August 17, 2022

126.43

Network Environmental, Inc.
Grand Rapids, MI

Performed for:

National Energy of McBain
6751 Gerwoude Drive
McBain, MI 49657
Contact: Matt Doolittle
Telephone: (231) 825-2772 Ext: 102
Fax: (231) 825-8024
E-mail: matthew.doolittle@nssccorp.com

Performed by:

Network Environmental, Inc.
2629 Remico Street, Suite B
Grand Rapids, MI 49519
Contact: David D. Engelhardt
Telephone: (616) 530-6330
Fax: (616) 530-0001
E-mail: netenviro@aol.com

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. Introduction	1
II. Summary Tables of Results	2
II.1 Table 1 – Air Flow Results	2
III. Sampling and Analytical Protocol	3-5
Figure 1 – Moisture Sampling Train	4
Figure 2 – Air Flow Sampling Train	5

Appendices

Reference Method Data	A
Calculations	B
Raw Data	C

I. INTRODUCTION

Network Environmental, Inc. was retained by National Energy of McBain, Michigan to perform an air flow study on their wood fired boiler. The purpose of the study was to document the air flow rate from the wood fired boiler under normal operating conditions.

The air flow sampling was performed on August 17, 2022. Richard D. Eerdmans and David D. Engelhardt of Network Environmental, Inc. conducted the sampling in accordance with the following reference test methods:

- Exhaust Gas Parameters -- U.S. EPA Methods 1 through 4

Assisting with the study were Mr. Matt Doolittle of National Energy McBain and the operating staff of the facility.

II. PRESENTATION OF RESULTS

**II.1 TABLE 1
AIR FLOW RESULTS
WOOD FIRED BOILER EXHAUST
NATIONAL ENERGY
McBAIN, MICHIGAN
AUGUST 17, 2022**

Sample	Time	Air Flow Rates	
		SCFM ⁽¹⁾	DSCFM ⁽²⁾
1	16:32-16:43	66,198	55,070
2	16:53-17:03	65,414	54,418
3	17:15-17:25	65,943	54,858
Average		65,852	54,782

(1) SCFM = Standard Cubic Feet Per Minute (Standard Temperature & Pressure = 68 °F & 29.92 in. Hg)

(2) DSCFM = Dry Standard Cubic Feet Per Minute (Standard Temperature & Pressure = 68 °F & 29.92 in. Hg)

III. SAMPLING AND ANALYTICAL PROTOCOL

III.1 Moisture – The moisture sample was collected in accordance with U.S. EPA Method 4. The sample was withdrawn from the stack and passed through a condensing coil with drop out before being passed through pre-weighed silica gel. The water collected was measured to the nearest 0.5 ml and the silica gel was re-weighed to the nearest 0.5 g. The moisture collected along with the sample volume was used to determine the percent moisture in the exhaust. The sample was twenty-five (25) minutes in duration and had a minimum sample volume of twenty-one (21) standard cubic feet. A diagram of the moisture sampling train is shown in Figure 1.

III.2 Air Flows – The air flow rates were determined by employing U.S. EPA Reference Methods 1 and 2. The sampling for the source was conducted on the 71 inch I.D. exhaust stack. A total of 12 traverse points were used for the air flow determinations. The sample point dimensions are shown in Appendix C.

Velocity pressures were determined using an S-Type pitot tube. Temperatures were measured using a Type K thermocouple. A diagram of the air flow sampling train is shown in Figure 2.


III.3 Gas Density – The gas density was determined by obtaining a bag sample from the exhaust of the moisture train and Orsat analysis.

This report was prepared by:



David D. Engelhardt
Vice President

This report was reviewed by:



R. Scott Cargill
Project Manager





Figure 1
Moisture
Sampling Train



5

Figure 2
Air Flow
Sampling Train