



Compliance Test Report
Utility Flare Performance Test

BFI Waste Services of North America, LLC
Arbor Hills Landfill
Northville, Michigan

RECEIVED

AUG 08 2016

AIR QUALITY DIV.

July 28, 2016

Prepared for:

BFI Waste Services of North America, LLC
5011 S. Lilley Road
Canton, Michigan 48188

Prepared by:

Air Quality Specialist, Inc.
672 N. Milford Road, Suite 152
Highland, Michigan 48357
248.887.7565

CONTENTS

<u>Section</u>	<u>Page</u>
Executive Summary	iii
1.0 INTRODUCTION.....	1
2.0 SUMMARY OF RESULTS	2
3.0 SOURCE DESCRIPTION.....	2
4.0 SAMPLE AND ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES.....	3
4.1 Stack Gas Velocity and Volumetric Flow Rate (USEPA Methods 1 and 2).....	3
4.2 Determination of Carbon Dioxide, Methane, Nitrogen, and Oxygen from Stationary Sources (USEPA Method 3C).....	4
4.3 Visual Determination of Fugitive Emissions from Material Sources and Smoke Emissions from Flares (USEPA Method 22).....	4
5.0 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	5

Tables

- 1 Utility Flare Inlet Volumetric Flow Rate and Flare Exit Velocity
- 2 Utility Flare Inlet Gas Net Heating Value

Figures

- 1 Utility Flare Schematic
- 2 Utility Flare Traverse Point Locations
- 3 USEPA Method 3C Sample Train

Appendices

- A FIELD AND CALCULATED DATA SHEETS
- B LABORATORY ANALYTICAL REPORT
- C SAMPLE CALCULATIONS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

BFI Waste Services of North America, LLC retained Air Quality Specialist, Inc. (AQSI) to conduct a performance evaluation of the new utility (open) flare located at Arbor Hills Landfill in Northville, Michigan. The utility flare is an ancillary control device to control landfill gas emissions from Arbor Hills Landfill.

The purpose of the test program was to demonstrate that each utility flare meets the performance requirements of 40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), §60.18, and thus is also in compliance with 40 CFR 60, Subpart WWW, 60.752(b)(2)(iii).

AQSI conducted the fieldwork on June 28, 2016, and in accordance with the Test Plan prepared by AQSI and submitted to the Michigan Department of Environmental Quality (MDEQ), Air Quality Division, Lansing, Michigan, on May 26, 2016. Mr. Mark Dziadosz with MDEQ reviewed the Test Plan. Mr. Andrew Secord and Mr. Jeremy Chrobak conducted the tests. Mr. Pete Campbell with Monitoring Control and Compliance Inc. provided on-site coordination of the tests with landfill and third party operations. Mr. Dziadosz and Ms. Dianne Kavanaugh-Vetort with MDEQ witnessed the test program.

The results of the performance evaluations were:

Parameter	Applicable Requirement	Average Test Result
Flare Exhaust Smoke Emissions (Visual Emissions in a 2-hour Period)	<5 minutes over 2 hours ¹	0 minutes, 0 seconds
Flare Inlet Gas Net Heating Value (MJ/scm)	>7.45 ²	14.76
Flare Exhaust Gas Exit Velocity (feet per second)	<60 ³	61.2
Maximum Permitted Velocity (V _{max} , feet per second)	<77.6 ⁴	61.2

MJ: megajoules
scm: standard cubic meter

¹ 40 CFR 60.18(c)(1)

² 40 CFR 60.18(c)(3)(ii)

³ 40 CFR 60.18(c)(4)(i)

⁴ 40 CFR 60.18(c)(4)(iii)

1.0 INTRODUCTION

BFI Waste Services of North America, LLC (BFI), retained Air Quality Specialist, Inc. (AQSI) to conduct a performance evaluation of the new utility (open) flare located at Arbor Hills Landfill in Northville, Michigan. The utility flare is an ancillary control device to control landfill gas emissions from Arbor Hills Landfill.

The purpose of the test program was to demonstrate that each utility flare meets the performance requirements of 40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), §60.18, and thus is also in compliance with 40 CFR 60, Subpart WWW, 60.752(b)(2)(iii).

AQSI conducted the test program with methodologies outlined in 40 CFR 60.18, except that United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Method 3C, "Determination of Carbon Dioxide, Methane, Nitrogen, and Oxygen from Stationary Sources," was employed for net heating value determination in lieu of Method 18 and ASTM D1946. Method 3C is the applicable method for utility flares at landfills, in accordance with Subpart WWW, 60.754(c).

AQSI conducted the fieldwork on June 28, 2016, and in accordance with the Test Plan prepared by AQSI and submitted to the Michigan Department of Environmental Quality (MDEQ), Air Quality Division, Lansing, Michigan, on May 26, 2016. Mr. Mark Dziadosz with MDEQ reviewed the Test Plan. Mr. Andrew Secord and Mr. Jeremy Chrobak conducted the tests. Mr. Pete Campbell with Monitoring Control and Compliance Inc. provided on-site coordination of the tests with landfill and third party operations. Mr. Dziadosz and Ms. Dianne Kavanaugh-Vetort with MDEQ witnessed the test program.

The name, address, and telephone number of the primary contact for further information about the tests and this test report is:

Name and Title	Company	Telephone
Mr. Andrew Secord Environmental Scientist	Air Quality Specialist, Inc. 672 N. Milford Road, Suite 152 Highland, Michigan 48357	(248) 887-7565

The name, address, and telephone number of the primary contact for further information about the flare and associated operations is:

Name and Title	Company	Telephone
Ms. Christina Bossick Environmental Manager	BFI Waste Services of North America, LLC 5011 S. Lilley Road Canton, Michigan 48188	(734) 397-2790



2.0 SUMMARY OF RESULTS

On June 28, 2016, the new utility flare operated at an average inlet volumetric flow rate of approximately 1,282 standard cubic feet per minute (scfm) as measured by USEPA Methods 1 and 2, or 1,010 scfm as averaged from the recorded process flow meter data.

The average test results for the utility flare were:

- 1) visible emissions: 0 minutes, 0 seconds (accumulated, total),
- 2) average net heating value of the gas being combusted: 14.76 megajoules per standard cubic meter (MJ/scm), and
- 3) average exhaust gas exit velocity: 61.2 feet per second (fps).

The performance criteria are less than 5 minutes visible emissions in a 2-hour period, a net heating value of greater than 7.45 MJ/scm, and an exit velocity less than 60 fps (or less than the maximum permitted velocity (V_{max}), calculated to be 77.6 fps).

The test results demonstrate that that utility flare meets the performance requirements of §60.18, and thus also satisfies the requirements of 60.752(b)(2)(iii)(B), at the test flow rate.

3.0 SOURCE DESCRIPTION

Arbor Hills Landfill is an active municipal solid waste (MSW) landfill. Anaerobic bacteria decompose the emplaced waste. The primary by-products of decomposition are methane (~45-55%, typical) and carbon dioxide (~43-45%, typical), with the remainder balance gases nitrogen, oxygen and trace amounts of non-methane organic compounds.

Arbor Hills Landfill employs a gas collection and control system to meet the requirements of Subpart WWW. Gas collection wells are installed in a grid pattern about the landfill. The wells are connected to a common header system. A blower produces a vacuum on the well field. Collected gas is routed to a treatment system for subsequent use by a third party landfill gas-to-energy (LFGTE) plant. BFI employs two (2) enclosed flares, and the utility flare, as backup / ancillary control devices in the event the LFGTE experiences downtime. For instance, the LFGTE was 'de-rated' to allow the utility flare to operate for this testing.

BFI installed the new utility flare at Arbor Hills Landfill in late March 2016, with formal start-up on March 30, 2016. The utility flare is designed to meet the requirements of 60.753(b)(2)(iii) at a flow rate of up to 1,300 scfm.

The landfill gas flow rate is variable, and depends on gas production in the landfill. The composition of the landfill gas varies, but the average Method 3C values obtained on June 28, 2016, may be considered 'typical:' methane, 44.4%; carbon dioxide, 34.5%; oxygen, 1.0%; and nitrogen, 17.0%. The landfill gas temperature at the utility flare inlet averaged 130 °F.



The utility flare is equipped with a thermocouple to monitor for the presence of a flame, and an automatic shutdown software routine that activates if the presence of flame cannot be verified by the sensor.

4.0 SAMPLE AND ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES

AQSI conducted measurements in accordance with USEPA Reference Test Methods, as presented in 40 CFR 60, Appendix A. The sample collection and analytical methods used in the test program are listed in the table below. Figure 1 depicts the sample site.

<u>Sample Method</u>	<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Analysis</u>
USEPA Methods 1A & 2C	Stack Gas Velocity and Volumetric Flow Rate	Field Data
USEPA Method 3C	Carbon Dioxide, Methane, Nitrogen, Oxygen, and moisture fraction	Gas Chromatography / Thermal Conductivity Detector (GC/TCD)
USEPA Method 22	Visible Emissions	Field Observation

4.1 Stack Gas Velocity and Volumetric Flow Rate (USEPA Methods 1A and 2C)

AQSI used Method 1A to determine the appropriate number and location of traverse points on the utility flare inlet duct. AQSI selected traverse points based on division of the stack cross-section into equal areas, and the number of upstream and downstream stack diameters from the sample ports to the nearest flow disturbance. Figure 2 depicts a representative flare inlet cross-section and traverse point locations.

AQSI used Method 2C to measure stack gas velocity pressure and temperature at each traverse point. AQSI positioned a standard pitot tube, with a baseline coefficient of 0.99, at each traverse point. The velocity pressure and temperature were measured and recorded. Velocity pressure measurements were read from an inclined water-column manometer with increments of 0.1 inches of water column. The raw field data, and computer-generated velocity and volumetric flow rate spreadsheets are presented in Appendix A.

The average stack gas velocity is a function of the average velocity pressure, absolute stack gas pressure, average stack gas temperature, stack gas wet molecular weight, and pitot tube coefficient. AQSI derived the average stack gas velocity from equations presented in Method 2. AQSI calculated the stack gas flow rate by multiplication of the stack gas velocity and the cross-sectional area of the stack.

AQSI used the measured inlet flow rate from each individual test to calculate the corresponding exhaust gas exit velocity for that test.



4.2 Determination of Carbon Dioxide, Methane, Nitrogen, and Oxygen from Stationary Sources (Method 3C)

AQSI used Method 3C to determine the net heating value of the landfill gas. AQSI collected three, 30-minute (minimum), integrated tank samples of landfill gas from the utility flare inlet (downstream of the blower).

AQSI submitted the samples to Triangle Environmental Services, Inc. (TES), Hillsborough, North Carolina for analysis. TES analyzed each tank for carbon dioxide (CO₂), methane (CH₄), nitrogen (N₂), and oxygen (O₂) concentration and moisture fraction. Figure 3 depicts the Method 3C sample train.

TES followed the analytical procedures of Method 3C by using a gas chromatograph (GC), with appropriate separation column for the expected parameters, equipped with a thermal conductivity detector (TCD). The TES laboratory analytical report, and the letter of approval from USEPA for use of Method 3C, is presented in Appendix B.

AQSI used the Method 3C analytical results to calculate stack gas molecular weight (for use in stack gas velocity calculation), and to calculate the net heating value of the gas being combusted per §60.18(f)(3). The reported net heating value is the arithmetic average of three valid test runs.

AQSI calculated the dry molecular weight of the stack gas based on the assumption that the primary constituents were methane, carbon dioxide, nitrogen, and oxygen (other compounds present have a negligible relative concentration). The stack gas dry molecular weight is equal to the sum of stack gas constituent concentrations (%) multiplied by the corresponding molecular weight of that constituent.

TES calculated stack gas moisture content by Equation 3C-1 of Method 3C.

4.3 Visual Determination of Fugitive Emissions from Material Sources and Smoke Emissions from Flares (Method 22)

AQSI conducted a single, 120-minute, non-continuous observation of the utility flare exhaust for smoke emissions. AQSI observed continuously for 15 to 20 minutes, then took a break for at least 5 – but no more than 10 minutes, and then resumed observation in this pattern until a full 120-minute period of observation time had accrued. A copy of the Method 22 observation data is presented in Appendix A.

5.0 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

On June 28, 2016, AQSI observed an accumulated total of 0 minutes, 0 seconds of visible emissions from the utility flare exhaust. The limit for visible emissions is less than 5 minutes per 2-hour time period [60.18(e)(1)].



On June 28, 2016, the average net heating value of the gas being combusted in the utility flare was 14.76 MJ/scm. The requirement for net heating value is >7.45 MJ/scm [60.18(c)(3)(ii)].

On June 28, 2016, the average stack gas exit velocity from the utility flare, calculated from field data, was 61.2 fps. The limit is <60 fps [60.18(c)(4)(i)], or less than the Maximum Permitted Velocity, V_{max} , calculated to be 77.6 fps [60.18(c)(4)(iii)]. AQSI elected to compare the test result to both criteria, as the calculated exit velocity on two (2) of the three (3) test runs exceed the 60 fps limit of 60.18(c)(4)(i).

The results demonstrate that the utility flare meets the performance requirements of §60.18, and thus satisfies 40 CFR 60.752(b)(2)(iii). All test results are consistent and repeatable.

AQSI did not note any variations and/or anomalies in normal sample collection procedures, nor any control equipment upset conditions over the test period.

Mr. Mark Dziadosz with MDEQ reviewed the Test Plan, and had no objections. Mr. Dziadosz and Ms. Dianne Kavanaugh-Vetort with MDEQ witness the test program

AQSI quality assurance (QA) procedures included:

- 1) leak-check of the velocity measurement system (pitot tube through manometer), prior to each test,
- 2) leak-check of the Method 3C train, prior to each test, and,
- 3) verification of sufficient evacuation of each Method 3C canister prior to initiation of each sample collection.

Raw field and computer-calculated data used in the determination of the utility flare average exit velocities and net heating values, visible emissions observation data, and recorded process flow meter data, are presented in Appendix A. The Method 3C laboratory analytical results and chain-of-custody forms are presented in Appendix B. Sample calculations are presented in Appendix C.

This report prepared by: Andrew D. Secord
Andrew D. Secord
Environmental Scientist

This report reviewed by: Dana A. Oleniacz
For Dana A. Oleniacz
President

July 28, 2016



TABLES

Table 1

**Utility Flare Inlet Volumetric Flow Rate and Flare Exit Velocity
BFI Waste Services of North America, LLC
Arbor Hills Landfill
Northville, Michigan
AQSI Project No. 16F1007
June 28, 2016**

Parameter	Test 1	Test 2	Test 3	Average
Inlet Volumetric Flow Rate (scfm) – Measured Field Data	1,431	1,290	1,123	1,282
Exit Tip Diameter (inches)	8	8	8	
Exit Tip Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	0.349	0.349	0.349	
Allowable Exit Velocity (fps) ¹	60	60	60	60
Maximum Permitted Velocity, V _{max} (fps) ²	78.4	77.2	77.3	77.6
Exit Velocity (fps)	68.3	61.6	53.6	61.2

¹ 40 CFR 60.18(c)(4)(i)

² 40 CFR 60.18(c)(4)(iii)

scfm: standard cubic feet per minute

ft²: square feet

fps: feet per second



Table 2

Utility Flare Inlet Gas Net Heating Value
 BFI Waste Services of North America, LLC
 Arbor Hills Landfill
 Northville, Michigan
 AQSI Project No. 16F1007
 June 28, 2016

RECEIVED
 AUG 0 8 2016
 AIR QUALITY DIV.

Parameter	Test 1	Test 2	Test 3	Average
Flare Inlet Gas Methane Content (ppm)	447,633	441,172	441,872	443,559
Flare Inlet Gas Methane Content (%)	44.76	44.12	44.19	44.36
Methane, Molecular Weight (lb/lb mole)	16	16	16	
Methane, Heating Value (kcal/g) ¹	11.9533	11.9533	11.9533	
Methane, Heating Value (kcal/g mole)	191.25	191.25	191.25	
Minimum Net Heating Value (MJ/scm) ²	7.45	7.45	7.45	7.45
Flare Inlet Gas Net Heating Value (MJ/scm)	14.90	14.68	14.70	14.76

¹ USEPA Office of Air Quality Planning And Standards' Control Cost Manual

² 40 CFR 60.18(c)(3)(ii)

ppm: parts per million

%: percent

lb/lb mole: pounds per pound-mole

kcal/g: kilocalories per gram

kcal/g mole: kilocalories per gram-mole

MJ/scm: megajoules per standard cubic meter

