# AIR EMISSION TEST REPORT FOR THE VERIFICATION OF AIR POLLUTANT EMISSIONS FROM A LANDFILL GAS FIRED ENGINE – GENERATOR SET

# Prepared for: North American Natural Resources, Inc. Central Generating Facility SRN N2804

ICT Project No.: 2300067 April 14, 2023



Page 1 of 76

# **Executive Summary**

# NORTH AMERICAN NATURAL RESOURCES, INC. AT THE CENTRAL GENERATING STATION

### CAT® G3520 LANDFILL GAS FUELED IC ENGINE EMISSIONS TEST RESULTS

North American Natural Resources, Inc. (NANR) contracted Impact Compliance & Testing, Inc. (ICT) to conduct a performance demonstration for the determination of carbon monoxide (CO), nitrogen oxides (NOx) and volatile organic compounds (VOC) concentrations and emission rates from one (1) CAT® Model G3520 landfill gas-fired reciprocating internal combustion engine (RICE) and electricity generator set (genset) operated at the NANR Central Generating Station (Central) in Pierson, Michigan.

The compliance emission testing was performed pursuant to conditions of Michigan Department of Environment, Great Lakes and Energy – Air Quality Division (EGLE-AQD) Renewable Operating Permit (ROP) No. MI-ROP-N2804-2020a and the federal Standards of Performance for Stationary Spark Ignition Internal Combustion Engines (the SI-RICE NSPS; 40 CFR Part 60 Subpart JJJJ), which requires that testing be performed every 8,760 operating hours or three years, whichever occurs first. The following table presents the emissions results and operating data from the performance demonstration.

Generator Output			со		NOx		VOC	
Unit ID	kŴ	lb/hr	g/bhp-hr	lb/hr	g/bhp-hr	lb/hr	g/bhp-hr	
EUENGINE3	1,589	11.1	2.27	1.07	0.22	0.85	0.17	
Permit Limit	-	16.3	5.0	4.94	2.0	7.04*	1.0	

kW=kilowatt, lb/hr = pounds per hour, g/bhp-hr = grams per brake horsepower hour \*<u>Note</u>: This VOC limit (lb/hr) includes formaldehyde (HCOH). HCOH was not measured during this test event, as it is a 5-year ROP testing requirement and was performed January 19, 2021.

The data above indicates that the engine was tested while the unit operated within 10% of the maximum capacity (1,600 kW) and is in compliance with the emission standards specified in MI-ROP-N2804-2020a.



ii

# **Report Certification**

# AIR EMISSION TEST REPORT FOR THE VERIFICATION OF AIR POLLUTANT EMISSIONS FROM A LANDFILL GAS FIRED ENGINE – GENERATOR SET

# NANR Central Generating Facility Pierson, MI

The material and data in this document were prepared under the supervision and direction of the undersigned.

Impact Compliance & Testing, Inc.

Max Fierro Environmental Consultant



iii

# **Table of Contents**

1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
2.0	<ul> <li>SUMMARY OF TEST RESULTS AND OPERATING CONDITIONS</li> <li>2.1 Purpose and Objective of the Tests.</li> <li>2.2 Operating Conditions During the Compliance Tests</li> <li>2.3 Summary of Air Pollutant Sampling Results</li> </ul>	<b>3</b> 3 3 3
3.0	<ul> <li>SOURCE AND SAMPLING LOCATION DESCRIPTION.</li> <li>3.1 General Process Description</li> <li>3.2 Rated Capacities and Air Emission Controls</li> <li>3.3 Sampling Locations</li> </ul>	<b>5</b> 5 5 5 5
4.0	<ul> <li>SAMPLING AND ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES.</li> <li>4.1 Summary of Sampling Methods.</li> <li>4.2 Exhaust Gas Velocity Determination (USEPA Method 2)</li></ul>	<b>6</b> 7 7 8 8
5.0	QA/QC ACTIVITIES5.1 Flow Measurement Equipment5.2 NOx Converter Efficiency Test5.3 Gas Divider Certification (USEPA Method 205)5.4 Instrumental Analyzer Interference Check5.5 Instrument Calibration and System Bias Checks5.6 Determination of Exhaust Gas Stratification5.7 System Response Time5.8 Meter Box Calibrations5.9 Cyclonic Flow Check	<b>9</b> 9 9 10 10 10 10
6.0	<ul><li><b>RESULTS</b></li><li>6.1 Test Results and Allowable Emission Limits.</li><li>6.2 Variations from Normal Sampling Procedures or Operating Conditions</li></ul>	<b>12</b> 12 12



iii

# **List of Tables**

2.1	Average operating conditions during the test periods	4
2.2	Average measured air pollutant concentrations for the engine (three-test average)	. 4
6.1	Measured exhaust gas conditions and air pollutant emission rates for Engine No. 3 (EUENGINE3)	13

# List of Appendices

APPENDIX 1 APPENDIX 2 APPENDIX 3 APPENDIX 4 APPENDIX 5 APPENDIX 6

SAMPLING DIAGRAMS OPERATING RECORDS FLOWRATE CALCULATIONS AND DATA SHEETS CO2, O2, CO, NOX, AND VOC CALCULATIONS INSTRUMENTAL ANALYZER RAW DATA QA/QC RECORDS

resettable incur meted or three years, which war occurs both to demo. grate compliance with the antission limits in 40 CER 60 423 get .

The complement testing presented in this report was performed by Impact Completice 3 Easting, Inc. (ICT): a Michigan-Inised environmental consulting and testing computery. ICT representatives Max Fierroladd Mick Steintheli performed for field complety and massurements April 411, 2023

The engine emission periormance tests consisted of triplicate, one-hour sampling princip to nitrogram existen (MOrg, cathon monocida (CO), and volable organic components (VOC) es non-methane hydroemborg, (MMRC or MMGQ), Ticheust ges velocity, mere un revenu (Cu) contrat, and centeric devide (CD-) content ware determined for each test periors to catelor-le voluments extrated uns forwate and political meas emission rank.

The exhaust gan unitaliang and analysist was periodicited using proceeduras oper ref from to classes. The text Stack There Provided extart February 2, 2029, that which pairtuge and approved in F. H. S. AQD and labrary 17, 2023. Ma Trover Front of ECILE-AQU observed particle of the currephanes basing

Last Updated: April 14, 2023



iv

# **1.0 Introduction**

North American Natural Resources (NANR) operates gas-fired reciprocating internal combustion engines (RICE) and electricity generator sets at the Central Generating Facility in Pierson, Montcalm County, Michigan. The RICE are fueled by landfill gas (LFG) that is recovered from the Central Landfill. The recovered gas is transferred to the NANR facility where it is treated before being used as fuel.

The State of Michigan Department of Environment, Great Lakes and Energy – Air Quality Division (EGLE-AQD) has issued to NANR Renewable Operating Permit (ROP) No. MI-ROP-N2804-2020a for operation of the renewable electricity generation facility, which consists of:

- One (1) Caterpillar (CAT®) Model No. 3516 RICE-generator set identified as emission unit EUENGINE2 (Flexible Group ID: FGRICEENG); and
- Two (2) Caterpillar (CAT®) Model No. 3520C RICE-generator set identified as emission units EUENGINE1 and EUENGINE3 (Flexible Group ID: FGRICEENG).

Air emission compliance testing was performed pursuant to MI-ROP-N2804-2020a and the federal Standards of Performance for Stationary Spark Ignition Internal Combustion Engines (the SI-RICE NSPS; 40 CFR Part 60 Subpart JJJJ) which state:

1. Except as provided in 40 CFR 60.4243(b), the permittee shall conduct an initial performance test for each engine in FGRICENSPS within one year after startup of the engine and every 8760 hours of operation (as determined through the use of a non-resettable hour meter) or three years, whichever occurs first, to demonstrate compliance with the emission limits in 40 CFR 60.4233(e)...

The compliance testing presented in this report was performed by Impact Compliance & Testing, Inc. (ICT), a Michigan-based environmental consulting and testing company. ICT representatives Max Fierro and Nick Steinthal performed the field sampling and measurements April 4<sup>th</sup>, 2023.

The engine emission performance tests consisted of triplicate, one-hour sampling periods for nitrogen oxides (NOx), carbon monoxide (CO), and volatile organic compounds (VOC, as non-methane hydrocarbons (NMHC or NMOC)). Exhaust gas velocity, moisture, oxygen ( $O_2$ ) content, and carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) content were determined for each test period to calculate volumetric exhaust gas flowrate and pollutant mass emission rates.

The exhaust gas sampling and analysis was performed using procedures specified in the Stack Test Protocol dated February 2, 2023, that was reviewed and approved by EGLE-AQD on February 17, 2023. Mr. Trevor Drost of EGLE-AQD observed portions of the compliance testing.



Questions regarding this air emission test report should be directed to:

Max Fierro	Mr. Eric Parker
Environmental Consultant	Environmental Manager
Impact Compliance & Testing, Inc.	Kinder Morgan
4180 Keller Rd, Suite B	6 Desta Dr., Ste. 6000
Holt MI 48852	Midland, TX 79705
(734) 357-8397	(432) 230-0956
Max.Fierro@ImpactCandT.com	Eric Parker@kindermorgan.com

(End eviloginal, performation which mail evidence of the physical abundles and a spectrum of an information operating conditions perither 10% of 1 Mile Information (With electricity carbody 120 Mile representatives mathematic and meanwest permitting presentations with 1, 16% and (previous) total faithing taol medicate <sup>26</sup>), (Opt glowance (park, and an to-level mate an 12-milaute score at its for assist well placed.

.Repartels 2 finados éperátulg tecords erévided by MANR montratelatives for the test periods.

"Subugi? Il presente a sianusery of the sventgo engliste oporativoj condutore sovaj the teatperioda

Average carpet, fuel consumption, fuel merimus addent, intel panuaure, ano emite-buil pano for the BICE new preventied in Tabla 2.7 and 1 data 8.1

2.1 Stringency of Air Politikers' Exceptions Results

The gas-exhibitular from the samular UPO Justed EUEE (Engine No. 37 Gold PC 1983) was satisfied for Phula (31 this four test juriscle during the contepturion limited pro or and April 4 17 res

Looks 2.2 pressors the avaingle effortened <sup>(2</sup>C), NO<sub>25</sub> and VOL, hetereter take to 550 cogets. N=−−ragon of the transition

Test savita for éach pro-sour amspirig parted and variabiliser to fille pre-wite , . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2. . en ere presentat el Section 6 C ef trial reput.



# 2.0 Summary of Test Results and Operating Conditions

### 2.1 Purpose and Objective of the Tests

Conditions of MI-ROP-N2804-2020a and 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart JJJJ, Standards of Performance for New Stationary Sources for Stationary Spark Ignition Internal Combustion Engines require NANR to test Engine No. 3 (Emission Unit: EUENGINE3) for CO, NOx, and VOC emissions. Engine No. 3 / EUENGINE3 was tested during this compliance test event.

# 2.2 Operating Conditions During the Compliance Tests

The testing was performed while the NANR engine/generator set was operated at maximum operating conditions (within 10% of 1,600-kilowatt (kW) electricity output). NANR representatives monitored and recorded generated power output (kW), fuel use (pounds per hour, lb/hr), fuel methane content (%), inlet pressure (psi), and air-to-fuel ratio at 15-minute increments for each test period.

Appendix 2 provides operating records provided by NANR representatives for the test periods.

Table 2.1 presents a summary of the average engine operating conditions during the test periods.

Average output, fuel consumption, fuel methane content, inlet pressure, and air-to-fuel ratio for the RICE are presented in Table 2.1 and Table 6.1.

### 2.3 Summary of Air Pollutant Sampling Results

The gas exhausted from the sampled LFG fueled RICE (Engine No. 3 / EUENGINE3) was sampled for three (3) one-hour test periods during the compliance testing performed April 4, 2023.

Table 2.2 presents the average measured CO,  $NO_X$ , and VOC emission rates for the engine (average of the three test periods).

Test results for each one-hour sampling period and comparison to the permitted emission rates are presented in Section 6.0 of this report.



# Table 2.1 Average engine operating conditions during the test periods

Engine Parameter	EUENGINE3 CAT® G3520C
	-3010 a
Engine output (bhp)	2,218
Engine LFG fuel use (lb/hr)	2,417
LFG methane content (%)	54.0 100000 00000000000000000000000000000
Exhaust temperature (ºF)	883
Inlet pressure (psi)	3.0
Air to fuel ratio	8.1 348 0 00 00 00 80 8.1 30 30 30 01 40 0 40

### Table 2.2 Average measured emission rates for the engine (three-test average)

		со	NOx VOC		voc	
Emission Unit	(lb/hr)	(g/bhp-hr)	(lb/hr)	(g/bhp-hr)	(lb/hr)	(g/bhp-hr)
EUENGINE3	11.1	2.27	1.07	0.22	0.85	0.17
Permit Limit	16.3	5.0	4.94	2.0	7.04*	1.0

<u>Note</u>: This VOC limit (lb/hr) includes formaldehyde (HCOH). HCOH was not measured during this test event, as it is a 5-year ROP testing requirement and was performed January 19, 2021.

The Engine No. 37 EUENGLARS expense stari) complete port are foreigned at a form on a horigital parteen of the clark with a conter diamatem of 12 foreigne. The start of evaluated with two (2) complet outle, which as after diamatem of 12 foreigne. The start of content (7.7 doot diamatem) or atoms with ULL mat the Viet of and the start of the front any form data taxantem.

Alexanopha port ibrations sately the USCPA Matched Forthers for a repressive selve parents --sectores, prefeiduat travares anters wave delately and si accordation with USS PAY lasters i

emperador 1 provelas a diagrafin añ tres contretes baix baix pablena locificaria arbiterian Buack. Obremador mesoa recreatio.



# **3.0 Source and Sampling Location Description**

### 3.1 General Process Description

NANR is permitted to operate three (3) RICE-generator sets at its facility; two (2) CAT® Model No. G3520C RICE and one (1) CAT® Model No. G3516 RICE. The units are fired exclusively with LFG that is recovered from the Central Landfill solid waste disposal facility and treated prior to use. Only one (1) CAT® Model No. G3520C RICE (EUENGINE3) was tested for this event.

# 3.2 Rated Capacities and Air Emission Controls

The CAT® G3520C engine generator set has a rated design capacity of:

- Engine Power: 2,233 brake horsepower (bhp)
- Electricity Generation: 1,600 kW

The engine is equipped with an electronic air-to-fuel ratio (AFR) controller that blends the appropriate ratio of combustion air and treated LFG fuel.

The RICE are not equipped with add-on emission control devices. The AFR controller maintains efficient fuel combustion, which minimizes air pollutant emissions. Exhaust gas is exhausted directly to atmosphere through noise mufflers and vertical exhaust stacks.

### 3.3 Sampling Locations

The Engine No. 3 / EUENGINE3 exhaust gas is directed through a muffler and is released to the atmosphere through a dedicated vertical exhaust stack with a vertical release point.

The Engine No. 3 / EUENGINE3 exhaust stack sampling ports are located after the muffler in a horizontal portion of the stack with an inner diameter of 12.5 inches. The stack is equipped with two (2) sample ports, opposed 90°, that provide a sampling location 96.0 inches (7.7 duct diameters) upstream and 88.0 inches (7.0 duct diameters) downstream from any flow disturbance.

All sample port locations satisfy the USEPA Method 1 criteria for a representative sample location. Individual traverse points were determined in accordance with USEPA Method 1.

Appendix 1 provides a diagram of the emission test sampling locations with actual stack dimension measurements.



# 4.0 Sampling and Analytical Procedures

A Stack Test Protocol for the air emission testing was reviewed and approved by EGLE-AQD. This section provides a summary of the sampling and analytical procedures that were used during the testing periods.

# 4.1 Summary of Sampling Methods

USEPA Method 1	Exhaust gas velocity measurement locations were determined based on the physical stack arrangement and requirements in USEPA Method 1.
USEPA Method 2	Exhaust gas velocity pressure was determined using a Type-S Pitot tube connected to a red oil incline manometer; temperature was measured using a K-type thermocouple connected to the Pitot tube.
USEPA Method 3A	Exhaust gas O <sub>2</sub> and CO <sub>2</sub> content was determined using paramagnetic and infrared instrumental analyzers, respectively.
USEPA Method 4	Exhaust gas moisture was determined based on the water weight gain in chilled impingers.
USEPA Method 7E	Exhaust gas NOx concentration was determined using chemiluminescence instrumental analyzers.
USEPA Method 10	Exhaust gas CO concentration was measured using an infrared instrumental analyzer.
USEPA Method 25A / ALT-096	Exhaust gas VOC (as NMHC) concentration was determined using a flame ionization analyzer equipped with methane separation column.





ICT Project No. 2300067

RECEIVED

# 4.2 Exhaust Gas Velocity Determination (USEPA Method 2)

The RICE exhaust stack gas velocities and volumetric flow rates were determined using USEPA Method 2 once during each test period. An S-type Pitot tube connected to a red-oil manometer was used to determine velocity pressure at each traverse point across the stack cross section. Gas temperature was measured using a K-type thermocouple mounted to the Pitot tube. The Pitot tube and connective tubing were leak-checked periodically throughout the test periods to verify the integrity of the measurement system.

The absence of significant cyclonic flow at the sampling location was verified using an Stype Pitot tube and oil manometer. The Pitot tube was positioned at each velocity traverse point with the planes of the face openings of the Pitot tube perpendicular to the stack crosssectional plane. The Pitot tube was then rotated to determine the null angle (rotational angle as measured from the perpendicular, or reference, position at which the differential pressure is equal to zero).

Appendix 3 provides exhaust gas flowrate calculations and field data sheets.

# 4.3 Exhaust Gas Molecular Weight Determination (USEPA Method 3A)

 $CO_2$  and  $O_2$  content in the RICE exhaust gas stream was measured continuously throughout each test period in accordance with USEPA Method 3A. The  $CO_2$  content of the exhaust was monitored using a Servomex 1440D infrared gas analyzer. The  $O_2$  content of the exhaust was monitored using a Servomex 1440D gas analyzer that uses a paramagnetic sensor.

During each sampling period, a continuous sample of the RICE exhaust gas stream was extracted from the stack using a stainless-steel probe connected to a Teflon® heated sample line. The sampled gas was conditioned by removing moisture prior to being introduced to the analyzers; therefore, measurement of O<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations correspond to standard dry gas conditions. Instrument response data were recorded using an ESC Model 8816 data acquisition system that monitored the analog output of the instrumental analyzers continuously and logged data as one-minute averages.

Prior to, and at the conclusion of each test, the instruments were calibrated using upscale calibration and zero gas to determine analyzer calibration error and system bias (described in Section 5.0 of this document). Sampling times were recorded on field data sheets.

Appendix 4 provides  $O_2$  and  $CO_2$  calculation sheets. Raw instrument response data are provided in Appendix 5.

# 4.4 Exhaust Gas Moisture Determination (USEPA Method 4)

Moisture content of the RICE exhaust gas was determined in accordance with USEPA Method 4 using a chilled impinger sampling train. Exhaust gas moisture content measurements were performed concurrently with the instrumental analyzer sampling periods. At the conclusion of each sampling period the moisture gain in the impingers was determined gravimetrically by weighing each impinger to determine net weight gain.

Appendix 3 provides moisture calculations and data sheets.



### 4.5 NO<sub>x</sub> and CO Concentration Measurements (USEPA Methods 7E and 10)

NO<sub>X</sub> and CO pollutant concentrations in the RICE exhaust gas streams were determined using a Thermo Environmental Instruments, Inc. (TEI) Model 42i High Level chemiluminescence NO<sub>X</sub> analyzer and a TEI Model 48i infared CO analyzer.

Throughout each test period, a continuous sample of the engine exhaust gas was extracted from the stack using the Teflon® heated sample line and gas conditioning system and delivered to the instrumental analyzers. Instrument response for each analyzer was recorded on an ESC Model 8816 data acquisition system that logged data as one-minute averages. Prior to, and at the conclusion of each test, the instruments were calibrated using upscale calibration and zero gas to determine analyzer calibration error and system bias.

Appendix 4 provides CO and NO<sub>X</sub> calculation sheets. Raw instrument response data are provided in Appendix 5.

#### 4.6 Measurement of Volatile Organic Compounds (USEPA Method 25A/ALT-096)

The VOC emission rate was determined by measuring the nonmethane hydrocarbon (NMHC or NMOC) concentration in the engine exhaust gas. NMHC pollutant concentration was determined using a TEI Model 55i Methane / Nonmethane hydrocarbon analyzer. The TEI 55i analyzer contains an internal gas chromatograph column that separates methane from non-methane components. The concentration of NMHC in the sampled gas stream, after separation from methane, is determined relative to a propane standard using a flame ionization detector in accordance with USEPA Method 25A.

The USEPA Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards (OAQPS) has issued an alternate test method approving the use of the TEI 55i-series analyzer as an effective instrument for measuring NMOC from gas-fueled RICE (ALT-096).

Samples of the exhaust gas were delivered directly to the instrumental analyzer using the Teflon® heated sample line to prevent condensation. The sample to the NHMC analyzer was not conditioned to remove moisture. Therefore, VOC measurements correspond to standard conditions with no moisture correction (wet basis).

Prior to, and at the conclusion of each test, the instrument was calibrated using mid-range calibration (propane) and zero gas to determine analyzer calibration error and system bias (described in Section 5.0 of this document).

Appendix 4 provides VOC calculation sheets. Raw instrument response data for the NMHC analyzer is provided in Appendix 5.

The instamental assequences assed to doals of body CO = 5, and "30 phave led as -mantenets mapping the tell graits and paid to their case in preficier, constant to the marker = - magnets is propositive epacified in the EPA Mathed TSL. The appropriate whethere is a presentence graves that would be enderethened in the estimating and thermal period the doals of the analytic mathenet set mathed and the enderethened in the estimating a characteric device of doals into any in markers - set mathed and music estimation the estimating and thermal protected doals are designed to markers - set mathed and music estimation with the analytic thru each music, we would appred to markers - All of any intervention of the product of a comparison of the angle of the set of the analytic markers - to write any protected to the product of the production of the term to the event of the set of the product of the term of the product of the set of the set of the event of the set of the product of the set of the production of the term that the set of the set of the events of the set of the product of the term of the terms of the product of the set of the set of the terms - to the set of the product of the terms of the terms. The term that the product of the terms - to the set of term of the terms of the terms.



# 5.1 Flow Measurement Equipment

Prior to arriving onsite, the instruments used during the source test to measure exhaust gas properties and velocity (Pitot tube and scale) were calibrated to specifications in the sampling methods.

The absence of cyclonic flow for each sampling location was verified using an S-type Pitot tube and oil manometer. The Pitot tube was positioned at each of the velocity traverse points with the planes of the face openings of the Pitot tube perpendicular to the stack cross-sectional plane. The Pitot tube was then rotated to determine the null angle (rotational angle as measured from the perpendicular, or reference, position at which the differential pressure is equal to zero).

# 5.2 NO<sub>x</sub> Converter Efficiency Test

The NO<sub>2</sub> – NO conversion efficiency of the Model 42i analyzer was verified prior to the testing program. A USEPA Protocol 1 certified concentration of NO<sub>2</sub> was injected directly into the analyzer, following the initial three-point calibration, to verify the analyzer's conversion efficiency. The analyzer's NO<sub>2</sub> – NO converter uses a catalyst at high temperatures to convert the NO<sub>2</sub> to NO for measurement. The conversion efficiency of the instrumental analyzer will be deemed acceptable if the measured NOx concentration is at least 90% of the expected value (within 10%).

The  $NO_2 - NO$  conversion efficiency test satisfied the USEPA Method 7E criteria (measured  $NO_x$  concentration was 92.6% of the expected value).

# 5.3 Gas Divider Certification (USEPA Method 205)

A STEC Model SGD-710C 10-step gas divider was used to obtain appropriate calibration span gases. The ten-step STEC gas divider was NIST certified (within the last 12 months) with a primary flow standard in accordance with Method 205. When cut with an appropriate zero gas, the ten-step STEC gas divider delivered calibration gas values ranging from 0% to 100% (in 10% step increments) of the USEPA Protocol 1 calibration gas that was introduced into the system. The field evaluation procedures presented in Section 3.2 of Method 205 were followed prior to use of gas divider. The field evaluation yielded no errors greater than 2% of the triplicate measured average and no errors greater than 2% from the expected values.

# 5.4 Instrumental Analyzer Interference Check

The instrumental analyzers used to measure  $NO_X$ , CO,  $O_2$ , and  $CO_2$  have had an interference response test preformed prior to their use in the field, pursuant to the interference response test procedures specified in USEPA Method 7E. The appropriate interference test gases (i.e., gases that would be encountered in the exhaust gas stream) were introduced into each analyzer, separately and as a mixture with the analyte that each analyzer is designed to measure. All of analyzers exhibited a composite deviation of less than 2.5% of the span for all measured interferent gases. No major analytical components of the analyzers have been replaced since performing the original interference tests.



Last Updated: April 14, 2023

## 5.5 Instrument Calibration and System Bias Checks

At the beginning of each day of the testing program, initial three-point instrument calibrations were performed for the  $NO_x$ , CO,  $CO_2$ , and  $O_2$  analyzers by injecting calibration gas directly into the inlet sample port for each instrument. System bias checks were performed prior to and at the conclusion of each sampling period by introducing the upscale calibration gas and zero gas into the sampling system (at the base of the stainless-steel sampling probe prior to the particulate filter and Teflon® heated sample line) and determining the instrument response against the initial instrument calibration readings.

At the beginning of each test day, appropriate high-range, mid-range, and low-range span gases followed by a zero gas were introduced to the NMHC analyzer, in series at a tee connection, which is installed between the sample probe and the particulate filter, through a poppet check valve. After each one-hour test period, mid-range and zero gases were re-introduced in series at the tee connection in the sampling system to check against the method's performance specifications for calibration drift and zero drift error.

The instruments were calibrated with USEPA Protocol 1 certified concentrations of  $CO_2$ ,  $O_2$ ,  $NO_x$ , and CO in nitrogen and zeroed using hydrocarbon free nitrogen. The NMHC (VOC) instrument was calibrated with USEPA Protocol 1 certified concentrations of propane in air and zeroed using hydrocarbon-free air. A STEC Model SGD-710C ten-step gas divider was used to obtain intermediate calibration gas concentrations as needed.

### 5.6 Determination of Exhaust Gas Stratification

A stratification test was performed for each RICE exhaust stack. The stainless-steel sample probe was positioned at sample points correlating to 16.7, 50.0 (centroid), and 83.3% of the stack diameter. Pollutant concentration data were recorded at each sample point for a minimum of twice the maximum system response time.

The recorded concentration data for the RICE exhaust stacks indicated that the measured  $O_2$ ,  $CO_2$ , CO, and NOx concentrations did not vary by more than 5% of the mean across the stack diameter. Therefore, the RICE exhaust gas was considered to be unstratified and the compliance test sampling was performed at a single sampling location within each RICE exhaust stack.

### 5.7 System Response Time

The response time of the sampling system was determined prior to the compliance test program by introducing upscale gas and zero gas, in series, into the sampling system using a tee connection at the base of the sample probe. The elapsed time for the analyzer to display a reading of 95% of the expected concentration was determined using a stopwatch.

Sampling periods did not commence until the sampling probe had been in place for at least twice the greatest system response time.

### 5.8 Meter Box Calibrations

The dry gas meter sampling console used for moisture testing was calibrated prior to and after the testing program. This calibration uses the critical orifice calibration technique presented in USEPA Method 5. The metering console calibration exhibited no data outside the acceptable ranges presented in USEPA Method 5.



Last Updated: April 14, 2023

The digital pyrometer in the metering console was calibrated using a NIST traceable Omega® Model CL 23A temperature calibrator.

# 5.9 Cyclonic Flow Check

The absence of cyclonic flow for each sampling location was verified using an S-type Pitot tube and oil manometer. The Pitot tube was positioned at multiple velocity traverse points with the planes of the face openings of the Pitot tube perpendicular to the stack cross-sectional plane. The Pitot tube was then rotated to determine the null angle (rotational angle as measured from the perpendicular, or reference, position at which the differential pressure is equal to zero).

Appendix 6 presents test equipment quality assurance data ( $NO_2 - NO$  conversion efficiency test data, instrument calibration and system bias check records, calibration gas certifications, interference test results, meter box calibration records, and field equipment calibration records).



# 6.1 Test Results and Allowable Emission Limits

Engine operating data and air pollutant emission measurement results for each one-hour test period are presented in Table 6.1.

Engine No. 3 / EUENGINE3 has the following allowable emission limits specified in MI-ROP-N2804-2020a:

- 16.3 lb/hr and 5.0 grams per brake horsepower hour (g/bhp-hr) for CO;
- 4.94 lb/hr and 2.0 g/bhp-hr for NOx; and
- 7.04 lb/hr (includes HCOH) and 1.0 g/bhp-hr for VOC.

The measured air pollutant emission rates for Engine No. 3 / EUENGINE3 are less than the allowable limits specified in MI-ROP-N2804-2020a.

### 6.2 Variations from Normal Sampling Procedures or Operating Conditions

The testing for all pollutants was performed in accordance with USEPA methods and the approved Stack Test Protocol. The RICE genset was operated within 10% of maximum output (1,600 kW generator output for CAT® G3520C RICE) and no variations from normal operating conditions occurred during the engine test periods.

Netser Trick VOC brieft (Herein endersteine generaltergelie erkültet) - PUCM auch instrumenteren denterjahris (excl. endersteiltet au zum 6. sein 2007, seinere singulariseter mich web, photomic ed. San auch 10: 2023.



Page 17 of 76

Test No.	1	2	3	There Treed
Test date Test period (24-hr clock)	4/4/2023 0835-0935	4/4/2023 1000-1100	4/4/2023 1124-1224	Three Test Average
Fuel flowrate (lb/hr)	2,417	2411	2,423	2,417
Generator output (kW)	1,585	1,596	1,588	1,589
Engine output (bhp)	2,211	2,227	2,216	2,218
LFG methane content (%)	54.0	54.0	54.0	54.0
Inlet pressure (psi)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Air to fuel ratio	8.1	8.1	8.1	8.1
Exhaust Gas Composition				
CO <sub>2</sub> content (% vol)	11.0	10.9	10.9	10.9
O <sub>2</sub> content (% vol)	9.07	9.07	9.07	9.07
Moisture (% vol)	14.6	13.5	12.9	13.7
Exhaust gas temperature (°F)	883	883	882	883
Exhaust gas flowrate (dscfm)	3,585	3,643	3,687	3,638
Exhaust gas flowrate (scfm)	4,200	4,212	4,233	4,215
Nitrogen Oxides				
NO <sub>x</sub> conc. (ppmvd)	41.9	40.7	40.0	40.86
NO <sub>x</sub> emissions (lb/hr)	1.08	1.06	1.06	1.07
Permit Limit (lb/hr)	-	-	-	4.94
NO <sub>X</sub> emissions (g/bhp-hr)	0.22	0.22	0.22	0.22
Permit Limit (g/bhp-hr)	-	-	-	2.0
Carbon Monoxide				
CO conc. (ppmvd)	699	696	698	698
CO emissions (lb/hr)	10.9	11.1	11.2	11.1
Permit Limit (lb/hr)	-	-	-	16.3
CO emissions (g/bhp-hr)	2.24	2.25	2.30	2.27
Permit Limit (g/bhp-hr)	-	-	-	5.0
Volatile Organic Compounds				
NMHC conc. (ppmv)	29.0	29.6	29.6	29.41
VOC emissions (lb/hr)	0.84	0.86	0.86	0.85
Permit Limit (lb/hr)	- 0.17	- 0.17	-	7.04*
VOC emissions (g/bhp-hr) Permit Limit (g/bhp-hr)	0.17	0.17	0.18	0.17 <i>1.0</i>
Геннік сіннік (у/мпр-пі)	-	-	=	1.0

# Table 6.1 Measured exhaust gas conditions and air pollutant emission rates for<br/>Engine No. 3 (EUENGINE3)

<u>Permit Limit (g/bnp-nr)</u> - - - 7.0 <u>Note</u>: This VOC limit (lb/hr) includes formaldehyde (HCOH). HCOH was not measured during this test event, as it is a 5-year ROP testing requirement and was performed January 19, 2021.



# **APPENDIX 1**

.

RICE Engine Sample Port Diagram

Impact Compliance & Testing, Inc.

