RECEIVED

EMISSIONS TEST REPORT

JUN 0 5 2017

AIR QUALITY DIV.

for

CARBON MONOXIDE (CO) EMISSIONS

ENGINES 4-6

DTE Gas Washington 10 Compressor Station (N3391) Washington Township, Michigan

April 4-6, 2017

Prepared By
Environmental Management & Resources
Environmental Field Services Group
DTE Corporate Services, LLC
7940 Livernois H-136
Detroit, MI 48210

DTE Energy®





EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

DTE Energy's Environmental Management and Resources (EM&R), Field Services Group, performed emissions testing at the DTE Gas Washington 10 Compressor Station, located in Washington Township, Michigan. The fieldwork, performed on April 4-6, 2017 was conducted to satisfy requirements of the Michigan Renewable Operating Permit No. N3391-2012b. Emission testing was performed on Engines 4-6 for carbon monoxide (CO) emission rate and destruction efficiency at the inlet and outlet.

The results of the emissions testing are highlighted below:

Emissions Testing Summary Washington 10 Compressor Station Engines 4-6 April 4-6, 2017

Parameter	Engine 4	Engine 5	Engine 6	Permit Limit
Average Inlet Carbon Monoxide Concentration (CO grams/BHp-hr) ⁽¹⁾	1.84	1.84	1.87	2.50
Average Carbon Monoxide Destruction Efficiency (%)	99.6	99.4	99.5	93.0

⁽¹⁾ BHp-hr — Brake horsepower per hour



1.0 INTRODUCTION

DTE Energy's Environmental Management and Resources (EM&R), Field Services Group, performed emissions testing at the DTE Gas Washington 10 Compressor Station, located in Washington Township, Michigan. The fieldwork, performed on April 4-6, 2017, was conducted to satisfy requirements of the Michigan Air Renewable Operating Permit No. N3391-2012b. Emission testing was performed on Engines 4-6 for carbon monoxide (CO) emission rate and destruction efficiency at the inlet and outlet.

Testing was performed pursuant to Title 40, *Code of Federal Regulations*, Part 60, Appendix A (40 CFR §60 App. A), Methods 3A and 10.

The fieldwork was performed in accordance with EPA Reference Methods and EM&R's Intent to Test¹, Test Plan Submittal. The following EM&R personnel participated in the testing program: Mark Grigereit, Principal Engineer - Environmental and Ms. Julie Kraft, Senior Environmental Technician. Mr. Grigereit was the project leader. Mr. David Patterson and Ms. Kerry Kelly with the Air Quality Division of the Michigan Department of Environmental Quality (MDEQ) approved the Test Plan² and witnessed portions of the testing.

2.0 SOURCE DESCRIPTION

The Washington 10 Compressor Station located at 12700 E. 30 Mile Road, Washington Township, Michigan, employs the use of three natural gas-fired 4,000 Horse Power reciprocating engines (Engines 1, 2, & 3) and three natural gas-fired 4,735 Horse Power reciprocating engines (Engines 4, 5, & 6). The engines generate line pressure assisting the transmission of natural gas into and out of the gas storage field as well as to and from the pipeline transmission system in SE Michigan.

The emissions from engines 1, 2, & 3 are exhausted directly to the atmosphere through individual exhaust stacks. Engines 4, 5, & 6 are exhausted through a catalyst bed and to the atmosphere through individual exhaust stacks. The composition of the emissions from the engines depend both upon the speed of the engine and the torque delivered to the compressor. Ambient atmospheric conditions, as it affects the density of air, may limit the speed and torque at which the engines can effectively operate on a daily basis.

¹ MDEQ, Test Plan, Submitted February 22, 2017. (Attached-Appendix A)

² MDEQ, Approval Letter, Received March 14, 2017. (Attached-Appendix A)



During the emissions testing each engine was operated within 10% of its highest achievable load.

Schematic representations of each engine's exhaust and sampling locations are presented in Figure 1.

3.0 SAMPLING AND ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES

DTE Energy obtained emissions measurements in accordance with procedures specified in the USEPA *Standards of Performance for New Stationary Sources*. The sampling and analytical methods used in the testing program are indicated in the table below

Sampling Method	Parameter	Analysis
USEPA Method 3A	Oxygen	Instrumental Analyzer Method
USEPA Method 10	Carbon Monoxide	NDIR

3.1 OXYGEN AND CARBON MONOXIDE (USEPA METHODS 3A AND 10)

3.1.1 Sampling Method

Oxygen (O_2) emissions were evaluated using USEPA Method 3A, "Gas Analysis for Carbon Dioxide, Oxygen, Excess Air, and Dry Molecular Weight (Instrumental Analyzer Method)". The O_2 analyzer utilizes a paramagnetic sensor.

Carbon monoxide (CO) emissions were evaluated using USEPA Method 10, "Determination of Carbon Monoxide Emissions from Stationary Sources". The CO analyzer utilizes a NDIR detector.

3.1.2 O₂ and CO Sampling Train

The EPA Methods 3A and 10 sampling system (Figure 2) consisted of the following components:

- (1) Stainless steel sampling probe.
- (2) Heated PTFE sampling line.
- (3) Sampling gas conditioner with particulate filter.
- (4) Flexible unheated PTFE sampling line.



- (5) Servomex 1400 O₂/CO₂ gas analyzer and TECO 48i NDIR CO gas analyzer.
- (6) USEPA Protocol 1 calibration gases.
- (7) Data Acquisition System.

3.1.3 Sampling Duration & Frequency

The emissions testing of the engine consisted of triplicate 60-minute samples at the inlet and exhaust of the catalyst. Testing was conducted at three points across the diameter of the duct during each run. Sampling was performed simultaneously for O_2 and CO. Data was recorded at 10-second intervals.

3.1.4 Quality Control and Assurance (O2 and CO)

All sampling and analytical equipment was calibrated according to the guidelines referenced in Methods 3A and 7E. Calibration gases were EPA Protocol 1 gases and the concentrations were within the acceptable ranges (40-60% mid range and span) specified in Method 7E.

Calibration gas certification sheets are located in Appendix C.

3.1.5 Data Reduction

Data collected during the emissions testing was recorded at 10-second intervals and averaged in 1-minute increments. The CO emissions were recorded in parts per million (ppm). The 1-minute readings collected can be found in Appendix B.

Emissions calculations are based on calculations located in USEPA Methods 7E, 10, and 19 and can be found in Appendix E. The CO emissions data collected during the testing was calculated as grams per brake horsepower-hour (g/BHp-Hr).

4.0 OPERATING PARAMETERS

The test program included the collection of engine torque (Hp), engine speed (RPM), inlet and exhaust manifold air temperature (°F) and pressure (psi), fuel upper heating value (BTU), and fuel flow (100 scfh).

Operational data is located in Appendix D.

DTE Energy^{*}



5.0 DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

The results of the CO emission testing on Engines 4-6 are presented in Tables No 1-3. The CO emissions are presented in grams per brake horsepower hour (g/Bhp-Hr), prior to and after the catalyst, and the destruction efficiency in percent (%). Process data presented includes the Unit load in percent (%), Engine Speed in revolutions per minute (RPM), Engine Torque in brake horsepower (Brake-hp), and Heat Input in million British Thermal Unit per hour (MMBtu/hr) for each test. The results of the testing indicate that Engines 4-6 are in compliance with permit requirements for CO of 2.5 g/BHp-Hr and 93% destruction efficiency.

The results of the testing indicate that Engines 4-6 are in compliance with Michigan Air Renewable Operating Permit No. N3391-2012b.



6.0 CERTIFICATION STATEMENT

"I certify that I believe the information provided in this document is true, accurate, and complete. Results of testing are based on the good faith application of sound professional judgment, using techniques, factors, or standards approved by the Local, State, or Federal Governing body, or generally accepted in the trade."

Thomas Snyder, QSTI

This report prepared by:

Mr. Thomas Snyder, QSTI

Environmental Specialist, Field Services Group Environmental Management and Resources

DTE Energy Corporate Services, LLC

This report reviewed by:

Mr. Mark Grigereit, QSTI

Principal Engineer, Field Services Group Environmental Management and Resources

DTE Energy Corporate Services, LLC



TABLE NO. 1 CARBON MONOXIDE (CO) EMISSION TESTING RESULTS

Engine 4 - Washington 10 Compressor Station
April 4, 2017

Test	Time	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	Speed B (RPM)		Heat Input (MMBtu/Hr)	Oxygen ⁽¹⁾		CO Emissions ⁽¹⁾		Destruction
		Load (%)		Brake-HP		Inlet (%)	Outlet (%)	inlet (g/BHp-Hr)	Outlet (g/BHp-Hr)	Efficiency (%)
Run - 1	10:00-11:00	91	923.8	3,989	28.06	11.8	11.7	1.84	0.01	99.6
Run - 2	11:08-12:08	96	929.5	4,216	29.26	11.8	11.7	1.84	0.01	99.4
Run - 3	12:18-13:18	<u>98</u>	<u>933.9</u>	^ <u>4,325</u>	<u>30.03</u>	<u>11.9</u>	<u>11.7</u>	<u>1.87</u>	<u>0.01</u>	<u>99.5</u>
× .	Avg:	<i>9</i> 5	929.1	4,177	29.12	11.8	11.7	1.85	0.01	99.5

(1) Corrected for analyzer drift per USEPA method 7E

CO Permit Limits:

2.5 g/BHp-Hr (uncontrolled) 93% DE



TABLE NO. 2 CARBON MONOXIDE (CO) EMISSION TESTING RESULTS

Engine 5 - Washington 10 Compressor Station April 5, 2017

Test	Time	me Load (%)	Speed (RPM)	Brake-HP	Heat Input (MMBtu/Hr)	Oxygen ⁽¹⁾		CO Emissions ⁽¹⁾		Destruction
						Inlet (%)	Outlet (%)	Inlet (g/BHp-Hr)	Outlet (g/BHp-Hr)	Efficiency (%)
o,nyosainenvasyang		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,								<u> </u>
Run - 1	8:45-9:45	95	949.2	4,272	29.51	11.9	11.9	1.86	0.02	98.8
Run - 2	9:55-10:55	95	947.0	4,264	29.45	11.9	11.9	1.87	0.02	98.9
Run - 3	11:04-12:04	<u>96</u>	<u>938.2</u>	<u>4,256</u>	<u>29.33</u>	<u>11.9</u>	<u>11.9</u>	<u>1.88</u>	<u>0.02</u>	<u>98.8</u>
	Avg:	95	944.8	4,264	29.43	11.9	11.9	1.87	0.02	98.8

(1) Corrected for analyzer drift per USEPA method 7E

CO Permit Limits:

2.5 g/BHp-Hr (uncontrolled) 93% DE



TABLE NO. 3 CARBON MONOXIDE (CO) EMISSION TESTING RESULTS

Engine 6 - Washington 10 Compressor Station April 6, 2017

	Time	Time Load (%)	Speed Brake-H (RPM)		P Heat Input (MMBtu/Hr)	Oxygen ⁽¹⁾		CO Emissions ⁽¹⁾		Destruction
Test				Brake-HP		Inlet (%)	Outlet (%)	Inlet (g/BHp-Hr)	Outlet (g/BHp-Hr)	Efficiency (%)
Run - 1	10:25-11:25	95	954.2	4,292	29.96	11.3	11.5	1.78	0.02	98.7
Run - 2	11:37-12:37	96	938.9	4,286	29.81	11.3	11.5	1.77	0.02	98.8
Run - 3	12:50-13:50	<u>97</u>	<u>937.0</u>	<u>4,308</u>	<u> 29.91</u>	<u>11.3</u>	<u>11.5</u>	<u>1.77</u>	<u>0.01</u>	<u>99.5</u>
	Avg:	96	943.4	4,295	29.89	11.3	11.5	1.77	0.02	99.0

(1) Corrected for analyzer drift per USEPA method 7E


CO Permit Limits:

2.5 g/BHp-Hr (uncontrolled) 93% DE





Figure 1 – Sampling Locations Engines 4-6 Washington 10 Compressor Station April 4-6, 2017








Figure 2 – Method 3A and 10 Engines 4-6 Washington 10 Compressor Station April 4-6, 2017

