**EMISSIONS TEST REPORT** 

for

# Oxides of Nitrogen (NO<sub>X</sub>), Carbon Monoxide (CO) and Non-Methane Organic Compounds (NMOC)

**ENGINES 1-6** 

DTE-Gas Washington 10 Compressor Station Washington Township, Michigan

April 10-May 22, 2018

Prepared By Environmental Management & Resources Environmental Field Services Group DTE Corporate Services, LLC 7940 Livernois H-136 Detroit, MI 48210







#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

DTE Energy's Environmental Management and Resources (EM&R), Field Services Group, performed emissions testing at the DTE-Gas Washington 10 Compressor Station, located in Washington Township, Michigan. The fieldwork, performed April 10-May 22, 2018 was conducted to satisfy requirements of the Michigan Renewable Operating Permit No. N3391-2017. Emission tests were performed on Engines 1-3 for oxides of nitrogen (NO<sub>x</sub>), carbon monoxide (CO) and non-methane organic compounds (NMOC). Emission tests were performed on Engines 4-6 for NO<sub>x</sub>, NMOC and CO.

A summary of results of the emissions testing are highlighted below:

NO<sub>x</sub>, CO and NMOC Emissions Test Results Washington 10 Compressor Station – Engines 1-6 Washington Township, Michigan April 10-May 22, 2018

-thigh test the	CBHID	OʻZIDI BƏƏTAVI PARKAN (DIRUM) (MISAN)	Carlinom/IV/ornox(da) (grator/(2-H(p))	hing(OC) (glauni/(Bilite))
Engine 1	3,601	0.36	1.66	ND
Engine 2	3,680	0.56	1.25	ND
Engine 3	3,761	0.58	1.46	ND
Permit Limit		1.3	2.0	0.9

Hojinves /A6/ex-	$\frac{ \mathbf{E}  _{0}}{ \mathbf{e} } = \frac{1}{2}$	Osterot Nordreba (gon/aisp)	ະ ອັດມີຫຼັດເປັນຕອນເອີຍ (ຢູ່ຢາຍງາ/ອິ.ອີນີ	rughioph (Viontoxida) (VIB)	NVMOC (j. ideno/6 ditip)
Engine 4	4,356	0.27	0.02	98.8%	ND
Engine 5	4,337	0.36	0.03	98.1%	ND
Engine 6	4,339	0.33	0.03	98.6%	ND
Permit Limit		0.9	2.0	> <b>93</b> %	1.0

ND = Non-Detect



#### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

DTE Energy's Environmental Management and Resources (EM&R), Field Services Group, performed emissions testing at the DTE-Gas Washington 10 Compressor Station, located in Washington Township, Michigan. The fieldwork, performed April 10-May 22, 2018, was conducted to satisfy requirements of the Michigan Air Renewable Operating Permit No. N3391-2017. Emission tests were performed on Engines 1-3 for oxides of nitrogen (NO<sub>x</sub>), carbon monoxide (CO) and non-methane organic compounds (NMOC). Emission tests were performed on Engines 4-6 for NO<sub>x</sub>, CO and NMOC.

Testing was performed pursuant to Title 40, *Code of Federal Regulations*, Part 60, Appendix A (40 CFR §60 App. A), Methods 3A, 10, 19, 25 and ASTM D6348A.

The fieldwork was performed in accordance with EPA Reference Methods and EMR's Intent to Test<sup>1</sup>, Test Plan Submittal. The following EM&R Field Services personnel participated in the testing program: Mr. Mark Grigereit, Principal Engineer, Mr. Thomas Snyder, Environmental Specialist and Mr. Fred Meinecke, Senior Environmental Technician. Mr. Grigereit was the project leader. Ms. Regina Hines with the Air Quality Division of the Michigan Department of Environmental Quality (MDEQ) witnessed portions of the testing and approved the Test Plan<sup>2</sup>.

#### 2.0 SOURCE DESCRIPTION

The Washington 10 Compressor Station located at 12700 E. 30 Mile Road, Washington Township, Michigan, employs the use of three natural gas-fired 4,000 Horse Power reciprocating engines (Engines 1, 2, & 3) and three natural gas-fired 4,735 Horse Power reciprocating engines (Engines 4, 5, & 6). The engines generate line pressure assisting the transmission of natural gas into and out of the gas storage field as well as to and from the pipeline transmission system in SE Michigan.

The emissions from engines 1, 2, & 3 are exhausted directly to the atmosphere through individual exhaust stacks. Engines 4, 5, & 6 are exhausted through a catalyst bed and to the atmosphere through individual exhaust stacks. The composition of the emissions from the engines depend both upon the speed of the engine and the torque delivered to the compressor. Ambient atmospheric conditions, as it affects the density of air, may limit the speed and torque at which the engines can effectively operate on a daily basis.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> MDEQ, Test Plan, Submitted February 16, 2018. (Attached-Appendix A)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> MDEQ, Approval Letter, Received March 7, 2018. (Attached-Appendix A)



During the emissions testing each engine was operated within 10% of its highest achievable load.

Schematic representations of each engine's exhaust and sampling locations are presented in Figures 1 & 2.

#### 3.0 SAMPLING AND ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES

DTE Energy obtained emissions measurements in accordance with procedures specified in the USEPA *Standards of Performance for New Stationary Sources*. The sampling and analytical methods used in the testing program are indicated in the table below

Samplinciviethoa	Patanneren:	Anniyais
USEPA Method 3A	Oxygen	Instrumental Analyzer Method
USEPA Method 10	Carbon Monoxide	NDIR
USEPA Method 25A	Non-Methane Organic Compounds	FID (w/Cutter) Instrumental Analyzer Method
ASTM Method D6348	NO <sub>x</sub> , CO, Methane, Ethane, and Moisture Content	FTIR

#### 3.1 OXYGEN (USEPA METHOD 3A)

#### 3.1.1 Sampling Method

Oxygen (O<sub>2</sub>) emissions were evaluated using USEPA Method 3A, "Gas Analysis for Carbon Dioxide, Oxygen, Excess Air, and Dry Molecular Weight (Instrumental Analyzer Method)". The analyzer utilizes a paramagnetic sensor. Testing was performed simultaneously with the gaseous emissions testing.

The EPA Method 3A sampling system (Figure 4) consisted of the following:

- (1) Single-point sampling probe (located in centroid of the exhaust stack)
- (2) Heated Teflon<sup>™</sup> sampling line
- (3) MAK<sup>®</sup> gas conditioner with particulate filter
- (4) Flexible unheated Teflon<sup>™</sup> sampling line





- (5) Servomax 1400 O<sub>2</sub>/CO<sub>2</sub> gas analyzer
- (6) Appropriate USEPA Protocol 1 calibration gases
- (7) Data Acquisition System

#### 3.1.2 Sampling Train Calibration

The  $O_2$  analyzer was calibrated according to procedures outlined in USEPA Methods 3A and 7E. Zero, span, and mid range calibration gases were introduced directly into the analyzer to verify the instruments linearity. A zero and mid range span gas was then introduced through the entire sampling system to determine sampling system bias at the completion of each test.

#### 3.1.3 Quality Control and Assurance

All sampling and analytical equipment was calibrated according to the guidelines referenced in Methods 3A and 7E. Calibration gases were EPA Protocol 1 gases and the concentrations were within the acceptable ranges (40-60% mid range and span) specified in Method 7E. Calibration gas certification sheets are located in Appendix C.

#### 3.1.4 Data Reduction

Data collected during the emissions testing was recorded at 10-second intervals and averaged in 1-minute increments. The  $O_2$  emissions were recorded in percent (%). The 1-minute readings collected during the testing can be found in Appendix B.

#### 3.2 CARBON MONOXIDE (USEPA METHOD 10)

#### 3.2.1 Sampling Method

Carbon monoxide (CO) emissions at the inlet to the catalyst on Engines 4-6 were evaluated using USEPA Method 10, "Determination of Carbon Monoxide Emissions from Stationary Sources". The CO analyzer utilizes an NDIR detector, Triplicate 60-minute tests were performed on each engine exhaust.

The EPA Method 10 sampling system (Figure 4) consisted of the following:

- (1) Stainless-steel sample probe (located in centroid of the exhaust stack)
- (2) Heated Teflon<sup>™</sup> sampling line
- (3) MAK<sup>®</sup> gas conditioner with particulate filter
- (4) Flexible unheated Teflon<sup>™</sup> sampling line
- (5) TECO 48i NDIR CO gas analyzer
- (6) Appropriate USEPA Protocol 1 calibration gases
- (7) Data Acquisition System.



#### 3.2.2 Sampling Train Calibration

The CO sampling train was calibrated per procedures outlined in USEPA Method 10. Zero, span, and mid range calibration gases were introduced directly into the analyzer to verify the instruments linearity. A zero and mid range span gas was then introduced through the entire sampling system to determine sampling system bias.

#### 3.2.3 Quality Control and Assurance

All sampling and analytical equipment was calibrated per the guidelines referenced in Method 10. Calibration gases were EPA Protocol 1 gases and the concentrations were within the acceptable ranges (40-60% mid range and span). Calibration gas certification sheets are located in Appendix C.

#### 3.2.4 Data Reduction

Data collected during the emissions testing was recorded at 10-second intervals and averaged in 1-minute increments. The CO emissions were recorded in parts per million (ppm). The 1-minute readings collected can be found in Appendix B.

Emissions calculations are based on calculations located in USEPA Method 10, and 19 and can be found in Appendix E. The CO emissions data collected during the testing was calculated as grams per brake horsepower-hour (g/BHp-Hr).

#### 3.3 VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS (USEPA METHOD 25A)

#### 3.3.1 Sampling Method

volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions were evaluated using USEPA Method 25A, "Determination of Total Hydrocarbon Emissions from Stationary Sources (Instrumental Analyzer Method)". The VOC analyzer utilizes a flame ionization detector (FIDs).

Triplicate 60-minute tests were performed on each engine exhaust, simultaneously with the other gaseous emission testing.

The Method 25A sampling system (Figure 5) consisted of the following:

- (1) Single-point sampling probe (located in centroid of the exhaust stack)
- (2) Heated Teflon™ sampling line
- (3) JUM 109A<sup>®</sup> Total Hydrocarbon gas analyzer
- (4) Appropriate certified methane calibration gases
- (5) pDaqview<sup>®</sup> Data Acquisition System

DTE Energy<sup>.</sup>

# RECEIVED

### JUN 04 2018

#### **AIR QUALITY DIVISION**

.1

#### 3.3.2 Sampling Train Calibration

In accordance with USEPA Method 25A, a 4-point (zero, low, mid, and high) calibration check was performed on the THC analyzer. The analyzer was calibrated with propane in the 0-1,000 ppm range. Calibration drift checks were performed at the completion of each run.

#### 3.3.3 Quality Control and Assurance

The VOC sampling equipment was calibrated per the guidelines referenced in Methods 25A. Calibration gases were EPA Protocol 1 gases and the concentrations were within the acceptable ranges (25-35% low range, 45-55% mid range and 80-100% of span). Analyzer calibrations and calibration gas certification sheets are in Appendix C.

#### 3.3.4 Data Reduction

Data collected during the emissions testing was recorded at 10-second intervals and averaged in 1-minute increments. The VOC emissions were recorded in parts per million (ppm) as propane ( $C_3H_8$ ). The 1-minute readings collected can be found in Appendix B.

The VOC emissions data collected during the testing was calculated and reported as g/BHp-Hr. Emissions calculations are based on equations located in USEPA Methods 25A and 19 and can be found in Appendix E.

#### 3.4 MOISTURE (ASTM METHOD D6348)

#### 3.4.1 Sampling Method

Moisture content in the exhaust was evaluated using ASTM Method D6348, "Measurement of Vapor Phase Organic Emissions by Extractive Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR)".

# 3.5 OXIDES of NITROGEN, CARBON MONOXIDE, METHANE, AND ETHANE (ASTM METHOD D6348)

#### 3.5.1 Sampling Method

Oxides of Nitrogen, Carbon Monoxide, Methane, and Ethane emissions were evaluated using ASTM Method D6348, "Measurement of Vapor Phase Organic Emissions by Extractive Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR)". Single point sampling was performed. Triplicate 60-minute test runs were performed.

The ASTM D6348 sampling system (Figure 2) consisted of the following:



- (1) Single-point sampling probe
- (2) Flexible heated PTFE sampling line
- (3) Air Dimensions Heated Head Diaphragm Pump
- (4) MKS MultiGas 2030 FTIR spectrometer
- (5) Appropriate calibration gases
- (6) Data Acquisition System

The FTIR was equipped with a temperature controlled, 5.11 meter multipass gas cell maintained at 191°C. Gas flows and sampling system pressures were monitored using a rotometer and pressure transducer. All data was collected at 0.5 cm<sup>-1</sup> resolution.

#### 3.5.2 Sampling Train Calibration

The FTIR was calibrated per procedures outlined in ASTM Method D6348. Direct measurements propane ( $C_3H_8$ ), oxides of nitrogen ( $NO_x$ ), carbon monoxide (CO), and ethylene ( $C_2H_4$ ) gas standards were made at the test location to confirm concentrations.

A calibration transfer standard (CTS) was analyzed before and after testing at each location. The concentration determined for all CTS runs were within  $\pm 5\%$  of the certified value of the standard. Ethylene was passed through the entire system to determine the sampling system response time and to ensure that the entire sampling system was leak-free.

Nitrogen was purged through the sampling system at each test location to confirm the system was free of contaminants.

 $NO_{x}$ , CO, and  $C_{3}H_{8}$  gas standards were passed through the sampling system at each test location to determine the response time and confirm recovery.

 $NO_x$ , CO, and  $C_3H_8$  spiking was performed to verify the ability of the sampling system to quantitatively deliver a sample containing  $NO_x$ , CO, and  $C_3H_8$  from the base of the probe to the FTIR. Analyte spiking assures the ability of the FTIR to quantify  $NO_x$ , CO, and  $C_3H_8$  in the presence of effluent gas.

As part of the spiking procedure, samples from each engine were measured to determine  $NO_{x_0}$  CO, and  $C_3H_8$  concentrations to be used in the spike recovery calculations. The determined sulfur hexafluoride (SF<sub>6</sub>) concentration in the spiked and unspiked samples was used to calculate the dilution factor of the spike and thus



used to calculate the concentration of the spiked NO<sub>x</sub>, CO, and C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>8</sub>. The following equation illustrates the percent recovery calculation.

$$DF = \frac{SF_{6(spike)}}{SF_{6(direct)}}$$

(Sec. 9.2.3 (3) ASTM Method D6348)

 $CS = DF * Spike_{\#} + Unspike(1 - DF)$ 

(Sec. 9.2.3 (4) ASTM Method D6348)

DF = Dilution factor of the spike gas  $SF_{6(direct)} = SF6$  concentration measured directly in undiluted spike gas  $SF_{6(spike)} = Diluted SF_6$  concentration measured in a spiked sample  $Spike_{dir} = Concentration of the analyte in the spike standard measured by the FTIR directly$ <math>CS = Expected concentration of the spiked samplesUnspike = Native concentration of analytes in unspiked samples

All analyte spikes were introduced using an instrument grade stainless steel rotometer. The spike target dilution ratio was 1:10 or less. All NO<sub>x</sub>, CO, and C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>8</sub> spike recoveries were within the ASTM Method D6348 allowance of  $\pm$ 30%.

#### 3.5.3 Quality Control and Assurance

As part of the data validation procedure, reference spectra are manually fit to that of the sample spectra and a concentration is determined. The reference spectra are scaled to match the peak amplitude of the sample, thus providing a scale factor. The scale factor multiplied by the reference spectra concentration is used to determine the concentration value for the sample spectra. Sample pressure and temperature corrections are then applied to compute the final sample concentration. The manually calculated results are then compared with the software-generated results. The data is then validated if the two concentrations are within  $\pm$  5% agreement. If there is a difference greater than  $\pm$ 5%, the spectra are reviewed for possible spectral interferences or any other possible causes that might lead to inaccurately quantified data. PRISM Analytical Technologies, Inc. validated FTIR data from two of the sources (one from each engine type). The data validation reports are in Appendix F.

#### 3.5.4 Data Reduction

Each spectrum was derived from the coaddition of 64 scans, with a new data point generated approximately every one minute. The NO<sub>x</sub>, CO, and VOC emissions were recorded in parts per million (ppm) dry volume basis. The moisture content was recorded in percent (%).



#### 4.0 OPERATING PARAMETERS

The test program included the collection of engine torque (Hp), engine speed (RPM), inlet and exhaust manifold air temperature (°F) and pressure (psi), fuel upper heating value (BTU), and fuel flow (100 scfh).

Operational data is located in Appendix D.

#### 5.0 DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

The Results of the NO<sub>x</sub>, CO and NMOC testing for Engines 1-3 are presented in Tables 1-3. The NO<sub>x</sub>, CO and NMOC emissions are presented in parts per million (ppm) and grams per brake horsepower-hour (g/Bhp-Hr). Process data presented includes the Unit load in percent (%), Engine Torque in brake horsepower-hour (Brake-Hp), and Heat Input in Million British Thermal Unit per hour (MMBtu/hr) for each test.

The Results of the NO<sub>x</sub>, CO and NMOC testing for Engines 4-6 are presented in Tables 4-6. The NO<sub>x</sub> and NMOC emissions are presented in ppm and g/Bhp-Hr. The CO emissions are presented in ppm, g/Bhp-Hr, and Destruction Efficiency (%). Process data presented includes the Unit load (%), Engine Torque (Brake-hp), and Heat Input (MMBtu/hr) for each test.

The results of the testing indicate that Engines 1-6 are in compliance with Michigan Air Renewable Operating Permit No. N3391-2017.



#### 6.0 CERTIFICATION STATEMENT

"I certify that I believe the information provided in this document is true, accurate, and complete. Results of testing are based on the good faith application of sound professional judgment, using techniques, factors, or standards approved by the Local, State, or Federal Governing body, or generally accepted in the trade."

Mark Grigereit, QSTI

This report prepared by: \_\_\_\_\_

Mr. Mark Grigereit, OSTI Principal Engineer, Field Services Group Environmental Management and Resources DTE Energy Corporate Services, LLC

This report reviewed by: Mr. Thom Snyder, QSTI Mr. Thom Snyder, QSTI Environmental Specialist, Field Services Group Environmental Management and Resources DTE Energy Corporate Services, LLC DTE Energy<sup>\*</sup>

## TABLE NO. 1 EMISSION TESTING RESULTS - CO, NOx, and NMOC Unit 1 - Washington 10 Compressor Station May 22, 2018

Test	Time	Load	Brake-HP	Heat Input	Oxygen	CO Em	issions	NOX Em	issions	NMOC Emissions
		(%)		(MMBtu/Hr)	<b>(%)</b>	(ppm <sup>+</sup> )	(g/BHp-Hr)	(ppm²)	(g/BHp-Hr)	(g/BHp-Hr)
Run - 1	8:02-9:02	96	3,600	26.7	16.2	182.6	1.7	22.1	0.3	ND
Run - 2	9:17-10:17	96	3,601	26.7	16.2	174.3	1.6	23.4	0.4	ND
Run - 3	10:32-11:32	<u>96</u>	<u>3,602</u>	<u>26.7</u>	<u>16.1</u>	<u>170.4</u>	<u>1.6</u>	<u>24.7</u>	<u>0.6</u>	<u>ND</u>
	Avg:	96	3,601	26.7	16.2	175.8	1.7	23.4	0.4	ND

(1) Corrected for analyzer drift per USEPA method 7E

Permit Limits:

CO: 2.0 g/BHp-Hr NOx: 1.3 g/BHp-Hr

NMOC: 0.9 g/BHp-Hr

## TABLE NO. 2 EMISSION TESTING RESULTS - CO, NOx, and NMOC Unit 2 - Washington 10 Compressor Station April 11, 2018

Test	Tinse	Load	Brake-HP	Heat Input	Oxygen <sup>1</sup>	CO Em	issions	NØx En	15510115	NMOC Emissions
		(%)		(MMBtu/Hr)	(%)	(pam <sup>4</sup> )	(g//8Hp-Hr)	(ppm <sup>1</sup> )	(g/BHp-Hr)	(g/BHp-Hr)-
Run - 1	9:15-10:15	95	3,680	27.1	15.9	139.1	1.2	40.3	0.6	ND
Run - 2	10:27-13:27	93	3,680	27.2	15.9	142.3	1.3	37.8	0.6	ND
Run - 3	11:43-12:43	<u>92</u>	<u>3,681</u>	<u>27.2</u>	<u>15.8</u>	<u>145.8</u>	<u>1.3</u>	<u>38.3</u>	<u>0.6</u>	<u>ND</u>
	Avg:	<i>93</i>	3,680	27.2	15.9	142.4	1.3	38.8	0.6	ND

(1) Corrected for analyzer drift per USEPA method 7E

Permit Limits:

CO: 2.0 g/BHp-Hr NOx: 1.3 g/BHp-Hr NMOC: 0.9 g/BHp-Hr DTE Energy<sup>•</sup>

## TABLE NO. 3 EMISSION TESTING RESULTS - CO, NOx, and NMOC Unit 3 - Washington 10 Compressor Station April 10, 2018

Testi	Time	Load	Brake-HP		Oxygen <sup>1</sup>	ĆO Em	ISSIONS	NOx Em	ússions	NMOC Emissions
		[%]		(MMBtu/Ar)	(%)	(ppm <sup>1</sup> )	(g/BHp-Hr)	(ppm <sup>1</sup> )	(g/BHp=Hr)	(g/BHp-Hr)
Run - 1	7:50-8:50	95	3.761	27.9	15.8	166.7	1.4	40	0.6	ND
Run - 2	9:02-10:02	95	3,761	27.9	15.8	168.3	1.5	39.9	0.6	ND
Run - 3	10:20-11:20 <i>Avg:</i>	<u>95</u> 95	<u>3,761</u> <b>3,761</b>	<u>27.9</u> 27.9	<u>15.8</u> 15.8	<u>167</u> 167.3	<u>1.5</u> 1.5	<u>40.2</u> 40.0	<u>0.6</u> 0.6	<u>ND</u> ND
						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

(1) Corrected for analyzer drift per USEPA method 7E

Permit Limits:

CO: 2.0 g/BHp-Hr NOx: 1.3 g/BHp-Hr NMOC: 0.9 g/BHp-Hr

#### TABLE NO. 4 EMISSION TESTING RESULTS - NOx, CO and NMOC Engine 4 - Washington 10 Compressor Station April 13, 2018

Fest	Tritte	Load (%)	Brake-HP	Heat In put (NI MBtu/Hr)	0xx fitiêt (%)	een <sup>(1)</sup> Outlet (%)	inlet Tg/03p-kh)	EOLENTISSIONS <sup>G</sup> Gutlet (g(BHp-Hz)	DE 	NG¥ En (ppm <sup>*</sup> )	nssions (g/BHp-Hr)	. <u></u>	missions (g/BRp:Rn)
Run - 1 Run - 2 Run - 3	8:25-9:25 9:44-10:44 11:01-12:01 <i>Avg:</i>	93.1 93.9 <u>93.6</u> <i>93</i> .5	4,323 4,378 <u>4,366</u> <b>4,356</b>	30.4 30.8 <u>30.7</u> <b>30.6</b>	11.8 11.7 <u>11.7</u> 11.7	11.9 11.9 <u>11.9</u> <b>11.9</b>	1.76 1.74 <u>1.74</u> 1.75	0.02 0.02 <u>0.02</u> 0.02	- 98.8 98.9 <u>98.8</u> 98.8	34.7 35.3 <u>35.8</u> 35.3	0.3 0.3 <u>0.3</u> 0.3	ND ND ND ND	ND ND <u>ND</u> ND

(1) Corrected for analyzer drift per USEPA method 7E

ND = Non-Detect

#### Permit Limits:

CO: 2.5 g/BHp-Hr & 93% DE

.

NOx: 0.9 g/BHp-Hr

NMOC: 1.0 g/BHp-Hr



#### TABLE NO. 5 EMISSION TESTING RESULTS - NOx, CO and NMOC Engine 5 - Washington 10 Compressor Station April 18, 2018

.

Test	line	Lnae (%)	Brake-HP	Heat Input (MMSto/Hr)	Oxy, Inlet (%)	<u>gen</u> <sup>(4)</sup> Outlet (%)	jnlet (g/BHp-Hr)	<u>CO,Emissions<sup>R</sup> Outlet (g/BHp+Hr)</u>	p DE (%)	()0 <u>x Eiri</u> (ppm <sup>*</sup> )	।दन्तव्यारु (हु/8निव-नित्	<u>NMOCE</u> (ppm <sup>2</sup> )	missions (g/BHp-Hr)
Run - 1 Run - 2 Run - 3	11:01-12:01 12:19-13:19 13:30-14:30 <i>Avg:</i>	94.1 93.9 <u>94.0</u> 94.0	4,346 4,334 <u>4,333</u> <b>4,33</b> 8	29.7 29.7 <u>29.6</u> 29.7	12 12 <u>12</u> 12.0	12 12 12 12.0	1.80 1.78 <u>1.8</u> 1.79	0.04 0.04 <u>0.03</u> 0.04	98.0 98.0 <u>98.2</u> 98.1	46.3 49.1 <u>47.5</u> <b>47.6</b>	0.4 0.4 <u>0.4</u> 0.4	ND ND <u>ND</u> <b>ND</b>	ND ND ND ND

(1) Corrected for analyzer drift per USEPA method 7E

ND = Non-Detect

#### Permit Limits:

CO: 2.5 g/BHp-Hr & 93% OE

.

NOx: 0.9 g/BHp-Hr

NMOC: 1.0 g/BHp-Hr

#### TABLE NO. 6 EMISSION TESTING RESULTS - NOx, CO and NMOC Engine 6 - Washington 10 Compressor Station April 17, 2018

Test	Time	toad (%)	Brake-HP	Heat (nput (MMBtu/(fr)	Oxy iblet (%)	<u>sen <sup>(2)</sup></u> Outlet (%)	(n)et (g/BHp-Hr)	CO Emissions <sup>1</sup> Outlet (g/BHp-Hr)	9 DE (%)	NOx En (ppm <sup>2</sup> )	1155ions (g/BHp-Hr)	NMOCE (ppm <sup>*</sup> )	mīssions (g/BHp-Hr)
Run - 1 Run - 2 Run - 3	11:10-12:10 12:45-13:45 14:01-15:01 <i>Avg:</i>	91 95 <u>97</u> 94.3	4,282 4,345 <u>4,390</u> 4,339	30.0 30.1 <u>30.3</u> 30.1	11.9 12.1 <u>12.2</u> 12.1	12 12.2 <u>12.2</u> 12.1	2.03 1.96 <u>1.97</u> 1.99	0.03 0.03 <u>0.03</u> 0.03	98.6 98.7 <u>98.6</u> 98.6	42.2 42 <u>41.9</u> 42.0	0.3 0.3 <u>0.3</u> 0.3	ND ND <u>ND</u> ND	ND ND <u>ND</u> ND

.

(1) Corrected for analyzer drift per USEPA method 7E

ND = Non-Detect

#### Permit Limits:

CO: 2.5 g/BHp-Hr & 93% DE

NOx: 0.9 g/BHp-Hr

NMOC 1.0 g/BHp-Hr









