AIR EMISSION TEST REPORT
FOR THE
VERIFICATION OF AIR POLLUTANT EMISSIONS
FROM
LANDFILL GAS FIRED ENGINE – GENERATOR SETS

Prepared for:

North American Natural Resources
SBL-LLC
SRN N5432

ICT Project No.: 2300199
February 1, 2024

IMPACT COMPLIANCE & TESTING

Report Certification

AIR EMISSION TEST REPORT
FOR THE
VERIFICATION OF AIR POLLUTANT EMISSIONS
FROM
LANDFILL GAS FIRED ENGINE – GENERATOR SETS

North American Natural Resource SBL-LLC
Buchanan, Michigan

The material and data in this document were prepared under the supervision and direction of the undersigned.

Impact Compliance & Testing, Inc.

Andrew Eisenberg
Project Manager

Table of Contents

List of Tables

List of Appendices

1.0 Introduction

Kinder Morgan (KM) operates gas-fired reciprocating internal combustion engines (RICE) and electricity generator sets at the SBL-LLC facility in Buchanan, Berrien County, Michigan. These RICE gensets were previously operated by North American Natural Resources (NANR). The RICE are fueled by landfill gas (LFG) that is recovered from the Southeast Berrien County Landfill Authority. The recovered gas is transferred to the SBL-LLC facility where it is treated and used as fuel.

The Michigan Department of Environment, Great Lakes, and Energy – Air Quality Division (EGLE-AQD) has issued to SBL-LLC a Renewable Operating Permit (MI-ROP-N5432-2022) for operation of the renewable electricity generation facility, which consists of:

- Three (3) Caterpillar (CAT®) Model No. 3520C RICE-generator sets identified as emission units EUENGINE1 – EUENGINE3 (Flexible Group ID: FGENGINES and FGRICENSPS).

- Landfill gas treatment system identified as emission unit EUTREATMENTSYS (Flexible Group ID: FGTREATMENTSYS-OOO and FGTREATMENTSYS-AAAA).

Air emission compliance testing was performed pursuant to MI-ROP-N5432-2022. Conditions of the ROP for FGENGINES state:

1. *Within every 5 years from the date of completion of the most recent stack test, the permittee shall verify NOx and CO emission rates from each engine in FGENGINES, by testing at owner's expense, in accordance with Department requirements.*

Conditions of MI-ROP-N5432-2022 for FGRICENSPS state:

1. *The permittee shall conduct an initial performance test shall, except as provided in 40 CFR 60.4243(b), for each engine in FGRICENSPS within one year after startup of the engine and every 8760 hours of operation (as determined through the use of a non-resettable hour meter) or three years, whichever occurs first, to demonstrate compliance with the emission limits in 40 CFR 60.4233(e).*

The compliance testing presented in this report was performed by Impact Compliance & Testing, Inc. (ICT), a Michigan-based environmental consulting and testing company. ICT representatives Andrew Eisenberg and Blake Beddow performed the field sampling and measurements December 19-20, 2023.

The engine emission performance tests consisted of triplicate, one-hour sampling periods for nitrogen oxides (NO_X), carbon monoxide (CO), and volatile organic compounds (VOC, as non-methane hydrocarbons). Exhaust gas velocity, moisture, oxygen (O_2) content, and carbon dioxide (CO_2) content were determined for each test period to calculate pollutant mass emission rates.

The exhaust gas sampling and analysis was performed using procedures specified in the Test Plan dated September 29, 2023, that was reviewed and approved by the EGLE-AQD.

Questions regarding this emission test report should be directed to:

Impact Compliance & Testing, Inc. Emission Testing Contractor	Andrew Eisenberg Project Manager Impact Compliance & Testing, Inc. 37660 Hills Tech Drive Farmington Hills, MI 48331 (734) 357-8406 Andrew.Eisenberg@ImpactCandT.com
NANR/Kinder Morgan RNG Compliance Manager	Mr. Eric Parker Environmental Manager Kinder Morgan (432) 230-0956 Eric_Parker@kindermorgan.com
NANR/Kinder Morgan RNG Facility Operations	Mr. Justin Boone Operations Coordinator Kinder Morgan (269) 470-2096 Justin_Boone@kindermorgan.com

2.0 Summary of Test Results and Operating Conditions

2.1 Purpose and Objective of the Tests

Conditions of MI-ROP-N5432-2022 and the SI-RICE NSPS require SBL-LLC to test each engine for CO, NO_X, and VOC emissions.

2.2 Operating Conditions During the Compliance Tests

The testing was performed while the SBL-LLC engine/generator sets were operated at maximum operating conditions (within 10% of rated capacity). The rated capacity for the three (3) CAT® Model G3520C engine generator sets (EUENGINE1 – EUENGINE3) is 1,600 kW electricity output. SBL-LLC representatives provided kW output in 15-minute increments for each test period. The EUENGNE1 generator kW output ranged between 1,568 and 1,613 kW, the EUENGINE2 generator kW output ranged between 1,566 and 1,643 kW and EUENGINE3 generator kW output ranged between 1,540 and 1,621 kW for each test period.

Fuel flowrate (scfm) and fuel methane content (%) were also recorded by SBL-LLC representatives in 15-minute increments for each test period. The EUENGINE1 fuel consumption rate ranged between 547 and 566 scfm and the fuel methane content was 52.9%. The EUENGINE2 fuel consumption rate ranged between 610 and 624 scfm and fuel methane content was 50.2%. The EUENGINE3 fuel consumption rate ranged between 518 and 537 scfm and the fuel methane content was 52.9%.

Appendix 2 provides operating records provided by SBL-LLC representatives for the test periods.

Table 2.1 presents a summary of the average engine operating conditions during the test periods.

2.3 Summary of Air Pollutant Sampling Results

The gases exhausted from the sampled LFG fueled RICE (EUENGINE1 - EUENGINE3) were sampled for three (3) one-hour test periods during the compliance testing performed December 19 - December 20, 2023.

Table 2.2 presents the average measured CO, NO_X, and VOC emission rates for each engine (average of the three test periods).

Test results for each one-hour sampling period and comparison to the permitted emission rates are presented in Section 6.0 of this report.

Table 2.1 Average engine operating conditions during the test periods

Engine Parameter	EUENGINE1 CAT® G3520C	EUENGINE2 CAT® G3520C	EUENGINE3 CAT® G3520C
Generator output (kW)	1,587	1,608	1,576
Engine LFG fuel use (scfm)	557	618	527
LFG methane content (%)	52.9	50.2	52.9

Table 2.2 Average measured emission rates for each engine (three-test average)

Emission Unit	CO		NOx		VOC
	(lb/hr)	(g/bhp-hr)	(lb/hr)	(g/bhp-hr)	(g/bhp-hr)
EUENGINE1	14.5	3.0	1.0	0.21	0.21
EUENGINE2	12.9	2.6	1.4	0.28	0.15
EUENGINE3	15.4	3.2	1.4	0.29	0.15
Permit Limit	***16.3***	***5.0***	***3.0***	***3.0***	***1.0***

3.0 Source and Sampling Location Description

3.1 General Process Description

SBL-LLC is permitted to operate three (3) RICE-generator sets at its facility, three (3) CAT® Model No. G3520C RICE. The units are fired exclusively with LFG that is recovered from the Southeast Berrien County Landfill solid waste disposal facility and treated prior to use.

3.2 Rated Capacities and Air Emission Controls

The CAT® G3520C engine generator set has a rated design capacity of:

- Engine Power: 2,233 bhp
- Electricity Generation: 1,600 kW

Each engine is equipped with an electronic air-to-fuel ratio (AFR) controller that blends the appropriate ratio of combustion air and treated LFG fuel.

The RICE are not equipped with add-on emission control devices. The AFR controller maintains efficient fuel combustion, which minimizes air pollutant emissions. Exhaust gas is exhausted directly to atmosphere through a noise muffler and vertical exhaust stack.

3.3 Sampling Locations

The RICE exhaust gas is directed through a muffler and is released to the atmosphere through a dedicated vertical exhaust stack with a vertical release point.

The exhaust stacks for the CAT® Model G3520C RICE are identical. The exhaust stack sampling ports are located before the muffler in the horizontal exhaust duct with an inner diameter of 13.0 inches. The stack is equipped with two (2) sample ports, opposed 90°, that provide a sampling location 28.0 inches (2.15 duct diameters) upstream and 140.0 inches (10.8 duct diameters) downstream from any flow disturbance.

All sample port locations satisfy the USEPA Method 1 criteria for a representative sample location. Individual traverse points were determined in accordance with USEPA Method 1.

Appendix 1 provides diagrams of the emission test sampling locations.

4.0 Sampling and Analytical Procedures

A test protocol for the air emission testing was reviewed and approved by the EGLE-AQD. This section provides a summary of the sampling and analytical procedures that were used during the testing periods.

4.1 Summary of Sampling Methods

USEPA Method 1	Exhaust gas velocity measurement locations were determined based on the physical stack arrangement and requirements in USEPA Method 1
USEPA Method 2	Exhaust gas velocity pressure was determined using a Type-S Pitot tube connected to a red oil incline manometer; temperature was measured using a K-type thermocouple connected to the Pitot tube.
USEPA Method 3A	Exhaust gas O_2 and CO_2 content was determined using paramagnetic and infrared instrumental analyzers, respectively.
USEPA Method 4	Exhaust gas moisture content was determined by gravimetric analysis of moisture gain in chilled impingers
USEPA Method 7E	Exhaust gas NOx concentration was determined using chemiluminescence instrumental analyzers.
USEPA Method 10	Exhaust gas CO concentration was measured using an infrared instrumental analyzer
USEPA Method 25A / ALT-096	Exhaust gas VOC (as NMHC) concentration was determined using a flame ionization analyzer equipped with methane separation column

4.2 Exhaust Gas Velocity Determination (USEPA Method 2)

The RICE exhaust stack gas velocities and volumetric flow rates were determined using USEPA Method 2 prior to and after each test period. An S-type Pitot tube connected to a red-oil manometer was used to determine velocity pressure at each traverse point across the stack cross section. Gas temperature was measured using a K-type thermocouple mounted to the Pitot tube. The Pitot tube and connective tubing were leak-checked periodically throughout the test periods to verify the integrity of the measurement system.

The absence of significant cyclonic flow at the sampling location was verified using an S-type Pitot tube and oil manometer. The Pitot tube was positioned at each velocity traverse point with the planes of the face openings of the Pitot tube perpendicular to the stack cross-sectional plane. The Pitot tube was then rotated to determine the null angle (rotational angle as measured from the perpendicular, or reference, position at which the differential pressure is equal to zero).

Appendix 3 provides exhaust gas flowrate calculations and field data sheets.

4.3 Exhaust Gas Molecular Weight Determination (USEPA Method 3A)

CO_2 and O_2 content in the RICE exhaust gas stream was measured continuously throughout each test period in accordance with USEPA Method 3A. The CO_2 content of the exhaust was monitored using a M&C GenTwo infrared gas analyzer. The O_2 content of the exhaust was monitored using a M&C GenTwo gas analyzer that uses a paramagnetic sensor.

During each sampling period, a continuous sample of the RICE exhaust gas stream was extracted from the stack using a stainless-steel probe connected to a Teflon® heated sample line. The sampled gas was conditioned by removing moisture prior to being introduced to the analyzers; therefore, measurement of O_2 and CO_2 concentrations correspond to standard dry gas conditions. Instrument response data were recorded using an ESC Model 8816 data acquisition system that monitored the analog output of the instrumental analyzers continuously and logged data as one-minute averages.

Prior to, and at the conclusion of each test, the instruments were calibrated using upscale calibration and zero gas to determine analyzer calibration error and system bias (described in Section 5.0 of this document). Sampling times were recorded on field data sheets.

Appendix 4 provides O_2 and CO_2 calculation sheets. Raw instrument response data are provided in Appendix 5.

4.4 Exhaust Gas Moisture Content (USEPA Method 4)

The moisture content of the RICE exhaust gas was determined in accordance with USEPA Method 4 using a chilled impinger sampling train. The moisture sampling was performed concurrently with the instrumental analyzer sampling. During each sampling period a gas sample was extracted at a constant rate from the source where moisture was removed from the sampled gas stream using impingers that were submersed in an ice bath. At the conclusion of each sampling period, the moisture gain in the impingers was determined gravimetrically by weighing each impinger to determine net weight gain. Moisture sampling was performed from a single centroid location.

4.5 NO_X and CO Concentration Measurements (USEPA Methods 7E and 10)

NO_X and CO pollutant concentrations in the RICE exhaust gas streams were determined using a Thermo Environmental Instruments, Inc. (TEI) Model 42i High Level chemiluminescence NO_X analyzer and a TEI Model 48i infrared CO analyzer.

Throughout each test period, a continuous sample of the engine exhaust gas was extracted from the stack using the Teflon® heated sample line and gas conditioning system and delivered to the instrumental analyzers. Instrument response for each analyzer was recorded on an ESC Model 8816 data acquisition system that logged data as one-minute averages. Prior to, and at the conclusion of each test, the instruments were calibrated using upscale calibration and zero gas to determine analyzer calibration error and system bias.

Appendix 4 provides CO and NO_X calculation sheets. Raw instrument response data are provided in Appendix 5.

4.6 Measurement of Volatile Organic Compounds (USEPA Method 25A/ALT-096)

The VOC emission rate was determined by measuring the nonmethane hydrocarbon (NMHC) concentration in the engine exhaust gas. NMHC pollutant concentration was determined using a TEI Model 55i Methane / Nonmethane hydrocarbon analyzer. The TEI 55i analyzer contains an internal gas chromatograph column that separates methane from non-methane components. The concentration of NMHC in the sampled gas stream, after separation from methane, is determined relative to a propane standard using a flame ionization detector in accordance with USEPA Method 25A.

The USEPA Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards (OAQPS) has issued an alternate test method approving the use of the TEI 55i-series analyzer as an effective instrument for measuring NMOC from gas-fueled RICE (ALT-096).

Samples of the exhaust gas were delivered directly to the instrumental analyzer using the Teflon® heated sample line to prevent condensation. The sample to the NHMC analyzer was not conditioned to remove moisture. Therefore, VOC measurements correspond to standard conditions with no moisture correction (wet basis).

Prior to, and at the conclusion of each test, the instrument was calibrated using mid-range calibration (propane) and zero gas to determine analyzer calibration error and system bias (described in Section 5.0 of this document).

Appendix 4 provides VOC calculation sheets. Raw instrument response data for the NMHC analyzer is provided in Appendix 5.

5.0 QA/QC Activities

5.1 Flow Measurement Equipment

Prior to arriving onsite, the instruments used during the source test to measure exhaust gas properties and velocity (barometer and Pitot tube) were calibrated to specifications in the sampling methods.

The absence of cyclonic flow for each sampling location was verified using an S-type Pitot tube and oil manometer. The Pitot tube was positioned at each of the velocity traverse points with the planes of the face openings of the Pitot tube perpendicular to the stack cross-sectional plane. The Pitot tube was then rotated to determine the null angle (rotational angle as measured from the perpendicular, or reference, position at which the differential pressure is equal to zero).

5.2 NO_X Converter Efficiency Test

The NO_2 – NO conversion efficiency of the Model 42i analyzer was verified prior to the testing program. A USEPA Protocol 1 certified concentration of NO_2 was injected directly into the analyzer, following the initial three-point calibration, to verify the analyzer's conversion efficiency. The analyzer's NO_2 – NO converter uses a catalyst at high temperatures to convert the NO_2 to NO for measurement. The conversion efficiency of the analyzer is deemed acceptable if the measured NO_x concentration is within 10% of the expected value.

The NO_2 – NO conversion efficiency test satisfied the USEPA Method 7E criteria (measured NO_X concentration was 99.7% of the expected value).

5.3 Gas Divider Certification (USEPA Method 205)

A STEC Model SGD-710C 10-step gas divider was used to obtain appropriate calibration span gases. The ten-step STEC gas divider was NIST certified (within the last 12 months) with a primary flow standard in accordance with Method 205. When cut with an appropriate zero gas, the ten-step STEC gas divider delivered calibration gas values ranging from 0% to 100% (in 10% step increments) of the USEPA Protocol 1 calibration gas that was introduced into the system. The field evaluation procedures presented in Section 3.2 of Method 205 were followed prior to use of gas divider. The field evaluation yielded no errors greater than 2% of the triplicate measured average and no errors greater than 2% from the expected values.

5.4 Instrumental Analyzer Interference Check

The instrumental analyzers used to measure NO_X, CO, O_2, and CO_2 have had an interference response test preformed prior to their use in the field, pursuant to the interference response test procedures specified in USEPA Method 7E. The appropriate interference test gases (i.e., gases that would be encountered in the exhaust gas stream) were introduced into each analyzer, separately and as a mixture with the analyte that each analyzer is designed to measure. All of analyzers exhibited a composite deviation of less than 2.5% of the span for all measured interferent gases. No major analytical components of the analyzers have been replaced since performing the original interference tests.

5.5 Instrument Calibration and System Bias Checks

At the beginning of each day of the testing program, initial three-point instrument calibrations were performed for the NO_X, CO, CO_2, and O_2 analyzers by injecting calibration gas directly into the inlet sample port for each instrument. System bias checks were performed prior to and at the conclusion of each sampling period by introducing the upscale calibration gas and zero gas into the sampling system (at the base of the stainless-steel sampling probe prior to the particulate filter and Teflon® heated sample line) and determining the instrument response against the initial instrument calibration readings.

At the beginning of each test day, appropriate high-range, mid-range, and low-range span gases followed by a zero gas were introduced to the NMHC analyzer, in series at a tee connection, which is installed between the sample probe and the particulate filter, through a poppet check valve. After each one-hour test period, mid-range and zero gases were re-introduced in series at the tee connection in the sampling system to check against the method's performance specifications for calibration drift and zero drift error.

The instruments were calibrated with USEPA Protocol 1 certified concentrations of CO_2, O_2, NO_X, and CO in nitrogen and zeroed using hydrocarbon free nitrogen. The NMHC (VOC) instrument was calibrated with USEPA Protocol 1 certified concentrations of propane in air and zeroed using hydrocarbon-free air. A STEC Model SGD-710C ten-step gas divider was used to obtain intermediate calibration gas concentrations as needed.

5.6 Determination of Exhaust Gas Stratification

A stratification test was performed for each RICE exhaust stack. The stainless-steel sample probe was positioned at sample points correlating to 16.7, 50.0 (centroid), and 83.3% of the stack diameter. Pollutant concentration data were recorded at each sample point for a minimum of twice the maximum system response time.

The recorded concentration data for the RICE exhaust stacks indicated that the measured CO, NO_X, O_2, and CO_2 concentrations did not vary by more than 5% of the mean across the stack diameter. Therefore, the RICE exhaust gas was considered to be unstratified and the compliance test sampling was performed at a single sampling location within each RICE exhaust stack.

5.7 Meter Box Calibrations

The dry gas metering console, which was used for exhaust gas moisture content sampling, was calibrated prior to and after the testing program. This calibration uses the critical orifice calibration technique presented in USEPA Method 5. The metering console calibration exhibited no data outside the acceptable ranges presented in USEPA Method 5.

The digital pyrometer in the Clean Air metering console was calibrated using a NIST traceable Omega® Model CL 23A temperature calibrator.

Appendix 6 presents test equipment quality assurance data (NO_2 – NO conversion efficiency test data, instrument calibration and system bias check records, calibration gas and gas divider certifications, interference test results, meter box calibration records, stratification checks).

6.0 Results

6.1 Test Results and Allowable Emission Limits

Engine operating data and air pollutant emission measurement results for each one-hour test period are presented in Tables 6.1 – 6.4.

FGENGINES/FGRICENSPS has the following allowable emission limits specified for each engine in MI-ROP-N5432-2022:

- 16.3 lb/hr and 5.0 g/bhp-hr for CO;
- 3.0 lb/hr and 3.0 g/bhp-hr for NOx; and
- 1.0 g/bhp-hr for VOC (does not include CHOH emissions).

The measured air pollutant concentrations and emission rates for EUENGINE1 – EUENGINE3 are less than the allowable limits specified in MI-ROP-N5432-2022.

6.2 Variations from Normal Sampling Procedures or Operating Conditions

The testing for all pollutants was performed in accordance with USEPA methods and the approved test protocol. The engine-generator sets were operated within 10% of maximum output (1,600 kW generator output for CAT® G3520C RICE) and no variations from normal operating conditions occurred during the engine test periods.

Due to the small diameter of the sample ports and the relatively large diameter of the heated sample probe, exhaust flowrate measurements could not be performed during sampling on both sample ports to measure both cross-sectional axes. Therefore, a total of four (4) exhaust flowrate measurements were performed for each engine. The measurements were performed pre/post to the 60-minute sampling periods.

Table 6.1 Measured exhaust gas conditions and air pollutant emission rates for Engine No. 1 (EUENGINE1)

Test No. Test date Test period (24-hr clock)	1 12/19/2023 1240-1340	2 12/19/2023 1408-1508	3 12/19/2023 1531-1631	Three Test Average
Fuel flowrate (scfm)	561	557	552	557
Generator output (kW)	1,583	1,596	1,583	1,587
Engine output (bhp-hr)	2,218	2,236	2,219	2,224
LFG methane content (%)	52.9	52.9	52.9	52.9
Exhaust Gas Composition				
CO_2 content (% vol)	10.9	10.8	10.9	10.9
O_2 content (% vol)	9.28	9.30	9.28	9.29
Moisture (% vol)	11.0	10.4	10.9	10.8
Exhaust gas flowrate (dscfm)	4,650	4,637	4,603	4,630
Exhaust gas flowrate (scfm)	5,208	5,192	5,168	5,189
Nitrogen Oxides				
NO_X conc. (ppmvd)	30.0	30.7	30.2	30.3
NO_X emissions (lb/hr)	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Permit Limit (lb/hr)	-	-	-	*3.0*
NO_X emissions (g/bhp-hr)	0.20	0.21	0.21	0.2
Permit Limit (g/bhp-hr)	-	-	-	*3.0*
Carbon Monoxide				
CO conc. (ppmvd)	715	716	716	716
CO emissions (lb/hr)	14.5	14.5	14.4	14.5
Permit Limit (lb/hr)	-	-	-	*16.3*
CO emissions (g/bhp-hr)	3.0	2.9	2.9	3.0
Permit Limit (g/bhp-hr)	-	-	-	*5.0*
Volatile Organic Compounds				
NMHC conc. (ppmv)	29.7	29.6	29.3	29.5
VOC emissions (g/bhp-hr)	0.22	0.21	0.21	0.21
Permit Limit (g/bhp-hr)	-	-	-	*1.0*

Table 6.2 Measured exhaust gas conditions and air pollutant emission rates for Engine No. 2 (EUENGINE2)

Test No. Test date Test period (24-hr clock)	1 12/20/2023 0748-0848	2 12/20/2023 0906-1006	3 12/20/2023 1026-1126	Three Test Average
Fuel flowrate (scfm)	615	617	621	618
Generator output (kW)	1,588	1,622	1,615	1,608
Engine output (bhp-hr)	2,225	2,273	2,263	2,253
LFG methane content (%)	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2
Exhaust Gas Composition				
CO_2 content (% vol)	11.4	11.4	11.4	11.4
O_2 content (% vol)	8.67	8.69	8.68	8.68
Moisture (% vol)	11.4	11.0	11.0	11.1
Exhaust gas flowrate (dscfm)	4,487	4,520	4,530	4,510
Exhaust gas flowrate (scfm)	5,042	5,077	5,088	5,069
Nitrogen Oxides				
NO_X conc. (ppmvd)	42.4	42.1	43.0	42.5
NO_X emissions (lb/hr)	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4
Permit Limit (lb/hr)	-	-	-	*3.0*
NO_X emissions (g/bhp-hr)	0.28	0.27	0.28	0.28
Permit Limit (g/bhp-hr)	-	-	-	*3.0*
Carbon Monoxide				
CO conc. (ppmvd)	658	652	649	653
CO emissions (lb/hr)	12.9	12.9	12.8	12.9
Permit Limit (lb/hr)	-	-	-	*16.3*
CO emissions (g/bhp-hr)	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.6
Permit Limit (g/bhp-hr)	-	-	-	*5.0*
Volatile Organic Compounds				
NMHC conc. (ppmv)	21.0	20.8	20.9	20.9
VOC emissions (g/bhp-hr)	0.15	0.14	0.15	0.15
Permit Limit (g/bhp-hr)	-	-	-	*1.0*

Table 6.3 Measured exhaust gas conditions and air pollutant emission rates for Engine No. 3 (EUENGINE3)

Test No. Test date Test period (24-hr clock)	1 12/19/2023 0820-0920	2 12/19/2023 0950-1050	3 12/19/2023 1110-1210	Three Test Average
Fuel flowrate (scfm)	527	528	525	527
Generator output (kW)	1,571	1,583	1,575	1,576
Engine output (bhp-hr)	2,201	2,219	2,207	2,209
LFG methane content (%)	52.9	52.9	52.9	52.9
Exhaust Gas Composition				
CO_2 content (% vol)	11.4	11.3	11.3	11.4
O_2 content (% vol)	8.68	8.72	8.73	8.71
Moisture (% vol)	10.6	11.7	11.4	11.2
Exhaust gas flowrate (dscfm)	4,505	4,345	4,264	4,372
Exhaust gas flowrate (scfm)	5,072	4,913	4,812	4,932
Nitrogen Oxides				
NO_X conc. (ppmvd)	45.2	45.4	44.6	45.1
NO_X emissions (lb/hr)	1.5	1.4	1.4	1.4
Permit Limit (lb/hr)	-	-	-	*3.0*
NO_X emissions (g/bhp-hr)	0.30	0.29	0.28	0.29
Permit Limit (g/bhp-hr)	-	-	-	*3.0*
Carbon Monoxide				
CO conc. (ppmvd)	811	808	809	809
CO emissions (lb/hr)	16.0	15.3	15.1	15.4
Permit Limit (lb/hr)	-	-	-	*16.3*
CO emissions (g/bhp-hr)	3.3	3.1	3.1	3.2
Permit Limit (g/bhp-hr)	-	-	-	*5.0*
Volatile Organic Compounds				
NMHC conc. (ppmv)	21.2	21.2	20.9	21.1
VOC emissions (g/bhp-hr)	0.15	0.15	0.14	0.15
Permit Limit (g/bhp-hr)	-	-	-	*1.0*

APPENDIX 1

- RICE Engine Sample Port Diagram

EUENGINE1,
EUENGINE2, &
EUENGINE3



Exhaust Stack Cross-Section



Velocity sample locations as measured from stack wall

Pt. #	in.
1	0.57
2	1.90
3	3.85
4	9.15
5	11.10
6	12.43

10/02/2023	**NANR SBL-LLC** **Exhaust Sample Location, CAT® G3520C RICE**		
	Scale None	Sheet 1 of 1	IMPACT COMPLIANCE & TESTING