COMPLIANCE TEST REPORT
BRIDGMAN COMPRESSOR STATION
ENGINE UNIT EUBG0009

Prepared for:

TransCanada's ANR Pipeline Company
Bridgman, MI

Prepared by:

EQM

Environmental Quality Management, Inc.
1280 Arrowhead Court
Suite 2
Crown Point, IN 46307
(219) 661-9900
www.eqm.com

PN: 050614.0063

June 2017

PREFACE

I, Karl Mast, do hereby certify that the source emissions testing conducted at TransCanada in Bridgman, MI was performed in accordance with the procedures set forth by the United States Environmental Protection Agency, and that the data and results submitted within this report are an exact representation of the testing.

Karl Mast
Test Supervisor

I, Karl Mast, do hereby attest that all work on this project was performed under my direct supervision, and that this report accurately and authentically presents the source emissions testing conducted at ANR's Bridgman Compressor Station in Bridgman, MI.

Karl Mast
Test Supervisor

SUMMARY

The compliance emissions testing was performed on Engine EUBG009 in fulfillment of Michigan Department of Environmental Quality, Air Quality Division, permit no. MI-ROP-N5575-2013. The testing was performed utilizing USEPA Methods 1-4, 3A and 7E, at the Exhaust Stack sampling location. The results of the testing are detailed in the following tables.

Engine EUBG009 NO_x Emission Test Results	
Run No.	**NOx Emissions (g/bhp-hr)**
1	5.17
2	5.77
3	7.06
Average	6.00
Emission Limit	6.600

CONTENTS

Preface ii

Summary iii

1 Introduction 1
2 Test Results Summary 2
3 Facility and Process Conditions 6
4 Test Procedures 9
5 Quality Assurance Procedures 12
6 Conclusions 13

TABLES

1 Test Results Summary 2
2 Engine Operating & Ambient Conditions -Test Results 3
3 Emissions Concentrations, Calculated Mass Emissions 4
4 Calculated Emissions Concentrations, Calculated Flows, & Fuel Flow Measurements 5
5 Engine Rated Information 6
7 Engine EUBG009 Production Data 6
8 Engine EUBG009 Torque Operating Data 7

FIGURES

1 Engine E-1008 Flow Schematic8

APPENDICES

A – Field Test Data
B – Process Operating Data
C – Gas Certifications
D – Correspondence

1. INTRODUCTION

This report presents the results of the source emissions testing conducted by Environmental Quality Management, Inc. (EQM) for TransCanada's ANR (ANR) Bridgman Compressor Station, near Bridgman, MI. In fulfillment of Michigan Department of Environmental Quality, Air Quality Division, permit no. MI-ROP-N5575-2013, the testing was performed utilizing USEPA Methods 1-4, 3A and 7E, at the Exhaust Stack sampling location.

To ensure that compliance with the emission limits is maintained, the the Air Compliance Team of TransCanada's US Pipelines contracted Environmental Quality Management, Inc. (EQM) to perform source emissions testing on Engine EUBG009. The primary purpose of this testing program was to conduct emissions testing of the internal reciprocating Engine EUBG009, with an emission limit of 6.6 g/bhp/hr of NO_x at 100 percent torque.

EQM's responsibility was to conduct the compliance testing for the NOx emissions rates and perform data reduction for conformance evaluation. ANR's responsibility was to maintain process operating parameters and to assist in providing process operating data per compliance test requirements.

The following report provides information pertaining to TransCanada's process operations, and Compliance testing. The Compliance testing conducted on Engine EUBG009 was performed on May 16, 2017, from 9:47 A.M. to 1:36 P.M.

The following requirements were specific for the testing program:

1. Equipment calibrations performed and calibration data provided.
2. Three (3) one (1) -hour, minimum, NOx, and O_2 test runs performed at the Engine EUBG009 pursuant to EPA Reference methods as described in 40 CFR, Part 60, Appendix A.
3. Process manufacturing operations maintained at 100% of capacities and production and fuel consumption rates recorded during the emissions testing periods.
4. All testing and analyses performed in accordance with current EPA test methodologies and analytical procedures for NOx, and O_2, emissions determinations.

The testing program was approved by and/or coordinated with Roy Cannon, TransCanada's ANR Pipeline Company. The emission testing was managed by Karl Mast, Manager Emissions Measurement, EQM and was performed by Zach Hill, Testing Team Leader, EQM, and Jeff Cavanaugh, Test Technician, EQM. The emission testing was observed by Tom Gasoli, MDEQ.

2. TEST RESULTS SUMMARY

The compliance testing was performed on Engine EUBG009 in fulfillment of Michigan Department of Environmental Quality, Air Quality Division, permit no. MI-ROP-N5575-2013. A summary of the test results is given below:

Table 1. Test Results Summary-Test Results-Engine EUBG009

Engine EUBG009 NO_x Emission Test Results	
Run No.	**NOx Emissions (g/bhp-hr)**
1	5.17
2	5.77
3	7.06
Average	6.00
Emission Limit	6.600

Based on the information provided above, the Engine EUBG009 met the acceptance criteria during the course of the testing. A complete list of performance parameters for each test run that was performed at the stack sampling locations can be found in Table 2-4.

Table 2. Engine Operating & Ambient Conditions-Engine EUBG009

Run	1	2	3	Averages
Date	05/16/17	05/16/17	05/16/17	
Engine Operating Conditions	HS-HT	HS-HT	HS-HT	Averages
Unit Horsepower from Control Panel	10,370.0	10,291.0	10,577.0	10,412.7
Unit Speed	333.0	332.0	331.0	332.0
Turbo RPM	6,285.0	8,366.0	7,654.0	7,435.0
P. Cyl. Exhaust Temperature Average (^{O}F)	727.7	732.5	731.7	730.6
Air Manifold Pressure ("Hg)	19.2	18.6	19.5	19.1
Air Manifold Pressure (PSI)	9.4	9.1	9.6	9.4
Air Manifold Temperature (^{O}F)	108.9	109.2	109.5	109.2
Jacket Water Inlet Temperature (^{O}F)	154.9	155.0	155.0	155.0
Jacket Water Outlet Temperature (^{O}F)	165.0	165.0	166.0	165.3
Lube Oil Inlet Temperature (^{O}F)	145.0	145.1	145.2	145.1
Lube Oil Outlet Temperature (^{O}F)	157.0	158.0	159.0	158.0
Compressor Suction Pressure (PSIG)	616.0	612.0	600.0	609.3
Compressor Suction Temperature (^{o}F)	56.1	56.1	54.9	55.7
Compressor Discharge Pressure (PSIG)	773.0	769.0	763.0	768.3
Compressor Discharge Temperature (^{o}F)	91.1	91.1	91.6	91.3
Compressor Flow (MMSCF/D)	935.1	921.7	903.6	920.1
Fuel Torque (%) (from panel)	79.0	79.0	80.9	79.6
% Load	86.4	85.8	88.1	86.8
% Torque	89.5	89.1	91.9	90.2
Heat Rate (BTU/HP-hr)	6,345.5	6,360.5	6,350.0	6,352.0
Ambient Conditions				
Ambient Temperature (^{o}F)	80.60	84.10	87.20	83.97
Barometric Pressure (psi)	14.62	14.61	14.60	14.61
Ambient Relative Humidity (%)	57.00	51.00	47.00	51.67
Absolute Humidity (grains/LB)	186.61	187.14	190.67	188.14

Table 3. Emissions Concentrations & Calculated Mass Emissions-Engine EUBG009

Run	1	2	3	Averages
Date	05/16/17	05/16/17	05/16/17	
Emissions Concentrations & Calculated Mass Emissions				
NO_x ppm (BIAS Corrected)	531.96	600.79	744.06	625.60
NO_X g/BHP-HR	5.17	5.77	7.06	6.00
NO_X LB/HR	118.23	130.80	164.62	137.88
NO_X (ppm @ 15% O_2)	441.43	490.95	602.19	511.52
NO_X (ppm @ 15% O_2, ISO)	872.21	962.21	1181.67	1005.36
NOx LB/MMBTU	1.62	1.81	2.22	1.88
CO ppm (BIAS Corrected)	233.80	234.66	228.81	232.42
CO g/BHP-HR	1.38	1.37	1.32	1.36
CO LB/HR	31.63	31.10	30.81	31.18
CO LB/MMBTU **	0.43	0.43	0.41	0.43
CO (ppm @ 15% O_2)	194.01	191.76	185.18	190.32
CO (ppm @ 15% O_2, ISO)	383.34	375.82	363.38	374.18
% O_2 (BIAS Corrected)	13.79	13.68	13.61	13.69

Table 4. Calculated Emissions Concentrations/Calculated Flows/Fuel Flow Measurements-Engine EUBG009

Run	1	2	3	Averages
Date	05/16/17	05/16/17	05/16/17	
Calculated Emissions Concentrations				
% CO_2 (Wet) *	3.62	3.67	3.69	3.66
%CO_2 (Dry) *	4.07	4.12	4.15	4.11
% H_2O *	10.95	11.04	11.15	11.05
% O_2 (Wet) *	12.28	12.17	12.09	12.18
% N_2 + CO (Wet) *	73.15	73.13	73.07	73.12
Calculated Flows				
Fuel Flow - (SCFM)	1136.50	1130.50	1160.00	1142.33
Fuel Flow - (SCFH)	68,190	67,830	69,600	68,540
Fuel Flow (LB/HR)	3,113.7	3,096.8	3,176.5	3,129
Exhaust Flow (LB/HR)	117,988.6	115,591.1	117,391.5	116,990
Exhaust Flow (WSCFM)	32,364.2	31,799.5	32,378.5	32,181
Air Flow (WSCFM)	29,859	29,253	29,730	29,614
Exhaust Flow Method 19 (wscfm)	30,960	30,328	30,820	30,703
Exhaust Flow Method 19 (lbm/min)	1,432	1,403	1,426	1,420
Exhaust Flow Carbon Balance (lbm/min)	2,399.60	2,352.18	2,391.43	2,381
Air flow Beshouri (scfm)	31,219.40	30,602.47	31,113.05	30,978
BSAC, #/BHP-hr	13.12	12.95	12.81	13
Fuel Flow Measurements				
Fuel Flow From Screen(MSCFH)	68.19	67.83	69.60	68.54
Fuel Flow (SCFH) From Fuel Orifice	68,377	68,004	69,754	68,712
Fuel Gas Differential Pressure ("H_2O)	25.00	24.7	26.1	25
Fuel Gas Static Pressure (PSIG)	469.40	469.4	468.6	469
Fuel Gas Temperature (°F)	80.10	79.6	80.7	80
** BASED ON FUEL SPECIFIC DRY F-FACTOR CALCULATION	Run 1	Run 2	Run 3	
* BASED ON CARBON BALANCE (STOICH. + O2) - A/F IS TOTAL MASS RATIO				

3. FACILITY AND PROCESS DESCRIPTION

TransCanada's ANR Bridgman Compressor Station (ANR) is located in Bridgman, MI and operates a natural gas fired compressor station. The plant is located at 3372 Browntown Road, Bridgman, MI, which is located in Berrien County.

The Clark TCVC-20M is a two stroke lean burn natural gas fired internal combustion reciprocating engine driving gas compressors. The energy released during the combustion process drives integral reciprocating gas compressors, thus raising the pressure of the incoming natural gas to inject or withdraw natural gas from a natural gas storage field.

The following table provide a summary of the production rates for the Engine EUBG009 during the test:

Table 5. Engine EUBG009 Rated Information

		Rated					
Company:	ANR	RPM:	345		Fuel Orifice Dia.:	1.5	in.
Station:	Bridgeman, MI	Bore ":	19		Fuel Tube Dia.:	3.068	in.
Unit:	1209	Stroke ":	19		AGA UDHV :	1,069	btu/dscf
Turbine Type:	Clark TCVC-20M	BHP:	12,000		AGA LDHV :	966	btu/dscf
Date:	16-May-17	BMEP psi:	135				
		Heat Rate Btu/HP-hr	6850		CH_4 Count:	2.1098	

Table 6. Engine EUBG009 Production Data (Horse Power)

Engine EUBG009 Production Data (HP)	
Run No.	**Horse Power**
1	10,370
2	10,291
3	10,577
Average	10,413

Table 7. Engine EUBG009 Torque Operating Conditions (Percent)

Engine EUBG009 Torque (%)	
Run No.	Percent
1	89.5
2	89.1
3	91.9
Average	90.2

Figure 1. Engine EUBG009-Flow Schematic



4. TEST PROCEDURES

EQM and EQM's affiliates and subcontractors use current U.S. EPA accepted testing methodologies in their Air Quality Programs as listed in the U.S. Code of Federal Regulations, Title 40, Part 60, Appendix A. For this testing program, the following specific methodologies were utilized:

- U.S EPA Method 1 – Sample and Velocity Traverses for Stationary Sources
- U.S EPA Method 2 – Determination of Stack Gas Velocity and Volumetric Flow Rate (Type S Pitot Tube)
- U.S. EPA Method 3A – Determination of Oxygen and Carbon Dioxide Concentrations in Emissions From Stationary Sources (Instrumental Analyzer Procedure)
- U.S EPA Method 4 – Determination of Moisture Content in Stack Gases
- U.S. EPA Method 7E – Determination of Nitrogen Oxides Emissions From Stationary Sources (Instrumental Analyzer Procedure)

USEPA Methods 3A and 7E were performed at the Exhaust Stack sampling location by continuously extracting a gas sample from the stack through a single point stainless steel sample probe. The extracted sample was pulled through a series of filters to remove any particulate matter. Directly after the probe, the sample was conditioned by a series of refrigeration dryers to remove moisture from the gas stream. After the refrigeration dryers, the sample was transported through a Teflon® line to the analyzers. The flow of the stack gas sample was regulated at a constant rate to minimize drift.

At the start of the day, each monitor was checked for calibration error by introducing zero, mid-range and high-range EPA Protocol 1 gases to the measurement system at a point upstream of the analyzers. In this report, the calibration error test is referred to as instrument calibration. The gas was injected into the sampling valve located at the outlet of the sampling probe. The bias test was conducted before and after each consecutive test run by introducing zero and upscale calibration gases for each monitor. The upscale calibration gases used for each monitor were the high calibration gases.

Measurement System Performance Specifications were as follows:

- Analyzer Calibration Error - Less than +/- 2% of the span of the zero, mid-range and high-range calibration gases.
- Sampling System Bias - Less than +/-5% of the span for the zero, mid-range and high-range calibration gases.
- Zero Drift - Less than +/-3% of the span over the period of each test run.

- Calibration Drift - Less than +/-3% of the span over the period of each set of runs.

Calculations that were used in this testing event for the Engine EUBG009 are as follows:

Calibration Correction

$$C_{GAS} = (C_R - C_O)\frac{C_{MA}}{C_M - C_O}$$

Where:

C_{GAS}:	Corrected flue gas concentration (ppmvd)
C_R:	Flue gas concentration (ppmvd)
C_O:	Average of initial and final zero checks (ppmvd)
C_M:	Average of initial and final span checks (ppmvd)
C_{MA}:	Actual concentration of span gas (ppmvd)

EPA F-Factor

$$F_d = \frac{\left[\left(3.64 \cdot H_{Wt\%} \cdot 100\right) + \left(1.53 \cdot C_{Wt\%} \cdot 100\right)\right]}{\frac{GCV}{\rho_{FuelGas}}} \cdot 10^6 + \frac{\left[\left(0.14 \cdot N_{2Wt\%} \cdot 100\right) - \left(0.46 \cdot O_{2Wt\%} \cdot 100\right)\right]}{\frac{GCV}{\rho_{FuelGas}}} \cdot 10^6$$

Where:

F_d:	Fuel specific F-factor, dscf/MMBtu
$H_{Wt\%}$:	Hydrogen weight percent
$C_{Wt\%}$:	Carbon weight percent

$N_{2Wt\%}$: Nitrogen weight percent
$O_{2Wt\%}$: Oxygen weight percent
GCV: Heating value of the fuel, BTU/dscf
$\rho_{Fuel\ Gas}$: Density of the fuel gas, lb/scf

Mass Emissions Calculations g/bhr/hr

$$NOx_{\frac{g}{bhp-hr}} = C_d \times F_d \times \frac{20.9}{20.9 - \%O_2} \times Q_h \times \frac{GCV}{10^6} \times \frac{453.6}{Bhp}$$

Where:

C_d: Pollutant concentration, lb/scf
F_d: Fuel specific F-factor, dscf/MMBtu
Q_h: Fuel flow, scf/hr
$\%O_2$: Oxygen concentration in percent, measured on a dry basis
GCV: Upper dry heating value of fuel, Btu/dscf

5. QUALITY ASSURANCE PROCEDURES

Each reference method presented in the U.S. Code of Federal Regulations details the instrument calibration requirements, sample recovery and analysis, data reduction and verification, types of equipment required, and the appropriate sampling and analytical procedures to ensure maximum performance and accuracy. EQM and EQM's affiliates and subcontractors adhere to the guidelines for quality control set forth by the United States Environmental Protection Agency. These procedures are outlined in the following documents:

- Code of Federal Regulations, Title 40, Part 51
- Code of Federal Regulations, Title 40, Part 60
- Quality Assurance Handbook, Volume 1, EPA 600/9-76-005
- Quality Assurance Handbook, Volume 2, EPA 600/4-77-027a
- Quality Assurance Handbook, Volume 3, EPA 600/4-77-027b

6. CONCLUSIONS

An Emissions Test was conducted on the internal combustion reciprocating Engine EUBG009 at TransCanada's ANR Pipeline Company's Sandwich Compressor Station located in Bridgman, Michigan. The testing was conducted on May 16, 2017.

During the course of the testing, the Engine EUBG009 conformed to the requirements of Code Of Federal Regulations, Title 40, Part 60, Appendix A, National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Stationary Reciprocating Internal Combustion Engines.

The usefulness and/or significance of the emissions values presented in this document as they relate to the compliance status of the Engine EUBG009 emissions shall be determined by others.

For additional information pertaining to the testing program see Appendix E of this report.