### DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY AIR QUALITY DIVISION ACTIVITY REPORT: On-site Inspection

ACTIVITI INEPORT. OII-Site Inspection	
	SRN / ID: N5848
HESTER HLS	DISTRICT: Warren
	COUNTY: OAKLAND
	ACTIVITY DATE: 05/09/2023
COMPLIANCE STATUS: Non Compliance	SOURCE CLASS: MINOR
	HESTER HLS COMPLIANCE STATUS: Non Compliance

On May 9, 2023, Michigan Department of Environment, Great Lakes, and Energy – Air Quality Division (EGLE-AQD) Staff Adam Bognar and Chukuemeka Oje conducted a targeted inspection of Prefix Corporation (the "facility" or "Prefix") located at 1400 S Livernois, Rochester Hills, MI 48309. The purpose of the inspection was to determine the facility's compliance with the requirements of the federal Clean Air Act; Part 55, Air Pollution Control, of the Natural Resources and Environmental Protection Act, 1994 PA 451, as amended (Act 451); Michigan Department of Environment, Great Lakes, and Energy-Air Quality Division (EGLE-AQD) Administrative Rules; and Permit to Install Nos. 20-22 and 18-22

<u>Contact: Kenneth J. Siuda, Corporate Compliance Manager</u> (248)-797-3885 ken.siuda@prefix.com

<u>Contact: Pete Romzick, Consultant</u> (248)-893-3422 Pete.romzick@ghd.com

I requested records electronically from Ken Siuda on May 8, 2023. Prefix's consultant, Pete Romzick provided me the requested records via email. I reviewed records from February 2022 (when operations began at this location) through April 2023. These records can be accessed on the AQD shared drive at the following address: S:\Air Quality Division\STAFF\Bognar, Adam\Inspection Documents\Prefix N5848 2023

Prefix initially submitted records which showed 220 tons of VOC and 80 tons of HAP emissions from the paint booths at the facility. I reached out to Prefix because I suspected this was an error. Prefix agreed that this was an error. According to Prefix, the reason for the error is that solvent usage was being counted in ounces when it should have been counted in gallons. This resulted in solvent usage emissions being 128x higher than actual.

I spoke with AQD district supervisor Joyce Zhu about this issue. At our discretion, AQD will not issue a violation notice for this non-compliance; however, to make sure that recordkeeping is being maintained correctly going forward, AQD is requiring that Prefix submit combined emissions records on August 1, 2023 and again on December 1, 2023.

We arrived at the facility at around 11 am.

# Inspection

There are approximately 240 employees operating Monday through Friday (sometimes Saturday) from 8 am to 5 pm. In general, this business includes engineering prototypes and designs for the automotive industry.

Equipment/processes at this facility include 4 downdraft coating booths, seven "prep decks" used for sanding, 10 CNC machines (not operating at this time), a plastic film thermoforming process, an adhesive/resin application area, a silicone mold making area, cold cleaners, several small sandblasting units, and various cutting/sawing/drilling operations.

# Permit to install No. 20-22 (general permit)

This permit was issued in February 2022 for the downdraft coating booths located at this facility. There are 4 coating booths and 8 "prep decks" used at this facility. Both the coating booths and prep decks are downdraft booths. The prep decks are used for sanding, cleaning, and sometimes applying primer paint. The prep decks can also be considered coating booths and are equipped with fabric filters for particulate control. The coating booths are labeled 1 through 4 and the prep decks are labeled A through H.

### **FG-COATING**

Section I – SC (Special Condition) 1&2: Limits VOC emissions from each coating line to 2000 lb/month and 10 ton/year. Prefix maintains records of the daily, monthly, and 12-month rolling VOC emissions from each coating booth. The amount of paint used is automatically logged by a software that communicates with the paint mixer scale. This software creates a daily emissions spreadsheet which is used to calculate monthly and 12-month rolling VOC emission totals.

Booth 1: VOC emissions were reported highest during the month of October 2022 at 876.6 lbs. The 12-month rolling period with the highest reported emissions was February 2023 at 3.58 tons.

Booth 2: VOC emissions were reported highest during the month of November 2022 at 537.6 lbs. The 12-month rolling period with the highest reported emissions was April 2023 at 1.25 tons.

Booth 3: VOC emissions were reported highest during the month of May 2022 at 993 lbs. The 12-month rolling period with the highest reported emissions was February 2023 at 2.4 tons.

Booth 4 has not been used since the facility began operating at this location based on the records I reviewed.

Booth A: VOC emissions were reported highest during the month of February 2023 at 40 lbs. The 12-month rolling period with the highest reported emissions was April 2023 at 0.06 tons.

Booth B: VOC emissions were reported highest during the month of March 2023 at 81 lbs. The 12-month rolling period with the highest reported emissions was April 2023 at 0.085 tons.

Booth C: VOC emissions were reported highest during the month of January 2023 at 37.2 lbs. The 12-month rolling period with the highest reported emissions was April 2023 at 0.0728 tons.

Booth D: VOC emissions were reported highest during the month of February 2022 at 102.6 lbs. The 12-month rolling period with the highest reported emissions was January 2023 at 0.0661 tons.

Booth E: VOC emissions were reported highest during the month of February 2022 at 102.6 lbs. This was the only month that VOC emissions were reported in this booth.

Booth F: VOC emissions were reported highest during the month of February 2022 at 102.6 lbs. This was the only month that VOC emissions were reported in this booth.

Booth G: VOC emissions were reported highest during the month of February 2022 at 102.6 lbs. The 12-month rolling period with the highest reported emissions was April 2023 at 0.0964 tons.

Booth H has not been used since the facility began operating at this location based on the records I reviewed.

Section III – SC 1: Requires Prefix to capture all purge/clean-up solvents and waste coatings, store them in closed containers, and dispose of them according to state/federal regulations. Prefix does not reclaim any waste materials currently. Any waste solvents and coatings are put into sealed drums located near each booth. These drums are hauled away by a hazardous waste disposal company.

Section IV – SC 1: Requires Prefix to equip each coating booth with HVLP spray applicators. Ken stated that all paint applicators at this Prefix location are HVLP. I did not check for pressure test caps during this inspection.

Section IV – SC 2: States that Prefix shall not operate any spray application unless the booth dry exhaust filters are installed, maintained, and operated in a satisfactory manner. I verified that filters were in place in all booths and prep decks. The filters appeared to fit snugly over the exhaust port. There was not excessive overspray on the filters. Ken stated that each booth filter is generally changed every week. Filter changes are tracked on a log near the booth.

Section V – SC 1: States that EPA Method 24 testing is required if requested by the AQD. EPA Method 24 tests for the VOC content of a coating/solvent. AQD is not requesting that Prefix perform any Method 24 testing at this time. Prefix maintains manufacturers information for all chemicals and coatings used at the facility. This manufacturers information includes VOC/HAP content.

Section VI – SC 3: Specifies recordkeeping requirements for FG-COATING. Prefix must keep records of the VOC content of each coating or solvent used, the gallons of each coating or solvent used, monthly VOC emission calculations based on the amount of coating or solvent used, and 12-month rolling VOC emission calculations based on monthly VOC data. I verified that these records are maintained. I did not review purchase orders and invoices for coatings during this inspection.

Section VI – SC 4: Requires Prefix to maintain a current manufacturer's listing of the chemical composition of each coating used at the facility. These records are maintained digitally at the facility in the form of technical, environmental, and safety datasheets.

Section VIII – SC 1: Specifies stack dimension requirements. I did not verify stack dimensions during this inspection.

Section IX – SC 1: States that the permittee shall not replace or modify any portion of FG-COATING unless they submit a Process Information form to AQD, continue to meet all permit requirements, and maintain records of the date and description of the modification.

Prefix submitted a process modification form on December 15, 2022 notifying AQD that they are installing an additional coating booth. This brought the total number of booths from 11 to 12.

### **FG-SOURCE**

Section I – Special Condition 1: Limits source-wide VOC emissions to 30 TPY based on a 12-month rolling basis. The records I reviewed show that Prefix complies with this limit. The 12-month period with the highest facility-wide emissions was February 2023 at 7.19 tons.

Section VI – Special Condition 1: States that Prefix shall keep facility-wide VOC mass emission calculations on a monthly and 12-month rolling basis. These records are maintained.

### Permit to Install No. 18-22

### FGFACILITY

Section I – SC 1,2: Limits HAP emissions to less than 9 tons per year for each individual HAP and 22.5 tons per year for aggregate HAPs. Prefix meets these emission limits based on the records I reviewed. Aggregate HAP emissions were highest during the 12-month period ending in March 2023 at 3.13 tons. The highest individual HAP emission during March 2023 was xylenes at 1.05 tons.

Section V – SC 1: States that Prefix must use manufacturer's formulation data to determine HAP content of any material used at the facility. Prefix maintains manufacturer's formulation data and uses this data to compute individual HAP emissions from each coating at the facility. AQD is not requesting EPA Method 311 testing at this time.

Section VI – SC 1,2: Specifies recordkeeping requirements for FGFACILITY. Prefix must keep records of the gallons/pounds of each HAP containing material used, the HAP content of that material, and any reclaimed HAP material.

Prefix maintains these records. Prefix maintains records of the amount of each HAP containing material used each month for each booth. These calculations include the purge/clean-up solvent used in each booth. These monthly booth spreadsheets are summed to calculate facility-wide emissions.

Prefix does not reclaim any HAP containing material at this facility.

### Sandblasters

There are several sandblaster units that are exhausted to a dedicated dust collection system on each unit. Based on my observations during this inspection, these units are exempt from the requirement to obtain a PTI pursuant to Rule 285(2)(I)(vi)(B).

## **CNC** Machines

There are 10 CNC machines along with various cutting/machining tools at this facility. These are used to cut plastic, steel, and aluminum. Four of the CNC machines are large enough to accommodate an entire vehicle. According to Ken, none of these CNC machines have operated since the facility began operation at this location. I observed that none of the machines are hooked up to exhaust ducting at this time. Prefix is in the process of setting up these machines and their dust collection system. All CNC machines at this facility will be exhausted to a common dust collector. This dust collector is already on-site, left over from the last owners of this building. Based on my observations during this inspection, these machines are exempt from the requirement to obtain a PTI pursuant to Rule 285(2)(I)(vi)(c).

## **Mold Making Process**

There is a silicone mold making process located at 1300 West Hamlin. This operation consists of pouring a silicon rubber compound into various small molds and letting it cure for 12-24 hours. There is a Part A and Part B (catalyst) to the mold mixture. The mixture is applied at a ratio of 10 parts Part A to 1 part Part B. During a previous inspection, I collected safety data sheets and technical data sheets for these compounds. The compounds contain no HAPs. Octamethylcyclotetrasiloxane is the only compound noted in the safety data sheets. Octamethylcyclotetrasiloxane has an initial threshold screening level (ITSL) of 75 micrograms/cubic meter (annual basis). During my previous inspection, Prefix submitted records for all of 2021 showing that the total amount of Part A and Part B purchased in 2021 was 1,320 gallons, or 10,584 lbs. The max concentration of octamethylcyclotetrasiloxane is 1% in the products. If all of the octamethylcyclotetrasiloxane in this material was volatilized and emitted a total of 105 pounds would be released to the environment. It is likely that much less than this will be emitted since the majority of it is intended to be bound up as part of the cured product. Based on my review of this usage data, emissions will be less than 1000 pounds per month and this process is exempt from Rule 201 requirements pursuant to Rule 290.

# Paint Kitchen + Cold Cleaner

There are 2 cold cleaners at this facility used to clean paint guns. The gun cleaner uses "Super 16 Paint Gun Cleaner". According to the certified product data sheet this gun cleaner contains 90% VOC and 61.1% HAPs by weight (48% toluene). This amounted to 420 lbs of VOC and 300 lbs of HAPs during all of 2021. These emissions are accounted for in the facility 12-month rolling VOC & HAP totals.

The gun cleaner was equipped with a tray for draining parts and had a freeboard ratio greater than 0.7. The unit is equipped with a cover which was closed during this inspection. The air/vapor interface of this cold cleaner was approximately 5 square feet. This cold cleaner appears to be exempt from Rule 201 requirements pursuant to Rule 281(2)(h) since it has an air/vapor interface less than 10 square feet. The paint kitchen area appeared to be well organized with no open containers of fresh/used coatings.

Proper operating instructions were not posted visibly near the cold cleaner. I provided Ken with stickers that display proper operating procedure for these cold cleaners. Ken stated that he would install them near each cold

cleaner. I spoke with AQD district supervisor Joyce Zhu about this issue. At our discretion, AQD will not issue a violation notice for this non-compliance.

## **Adhesive Application**

There is an adhesive application area used for various adhesive applications on automotive parts. The amount of adhesive used in this process is occasionally greater than 2 gallons/day.

The adhesive contains methylenediphenyl diisocyanate (MDI) at a 70% concentration. The majority of this compound will undergo a chemical reaction to form a polyurethane adhesive. Some amount of this compound will evaporate. Pete Romzick submitted a calculation sheet indicating that this process is exempt under Rule 290. MDI has an ITSL of 0.6 micrograms/cubic meter, which corresponds to a Rule 290 limit of 20 lbs/month. Based on my review of this calculation, this process appears to be exempt pursuant to Rule 290 (2)(a)(ii)(B). Total MDI emissions were reported highest during the month of March 2023 at 0.00000221 lbs.

The calculation was performed using a free-to-use software srom the American Chemistry Council which uses EPA AP-42 equations to estimate MDI emissions from adhesive application based on the process temperature, MDI concentration, area of coverage, operating time, and air flow speed.

## **Fiberglass Resin Application**

This process is used on carbon fiber parts to create a laminate finish. An operator paints this onto parts in a large room. Emissions are exhausted to the general in-plant environment. There is a two part epoxy used to achieve this laminate finish. I collected safety data sheets for this epoxy. Neither part of the epoxy has any HAPs. Both products state that they contain 0% volatiles. Records provided to me during a previous inspection show that a total of 28 gallons of resin were used in 2021. Based on the information I have on this process, this process is exempt from Rule 201 requirements pursuant to Rule 287(2)(a). I did not verify usage records during this inspection because there are no VOC's in these materials based on the data sheets I reviewed.

### **3D** Printers

There are four 3D printer type devices used for rapid prototyping purposes. These are essentially plastic extrusion units. Based on my observations during this inspection, these devices are exempt from Rule 201 requirements pursuant to Rule 286(2)(a).

### **Plastic Thermoforming**

There is one plastic thermoforming station used to form plastic parts using heat and applied pressure. There is a large hood for this process to catch any emissions and ventilate them outdoors. Based on my observations during this inspection, this device is exempt from Rule 201 requirements pursuant to Rule 286(2)(d).

### MAERS

This facility did not submit a 2022 MAERS report to AQD. This facility received an opt-out permit for HAPs on February 16, 2022. Operations at the facility began sometime in February 2022. AQD had this facility classified as a true minor source in our database (MACES). This is because the previous occupant of this facility was a true minor source. AQD likely never notified this facility of their requirement to submit MAERS. I updated MACES to show this source as a HAP opt-out under MAERS fee category E. In future years, this facility should receive a letter from EGLE-AQD reminding them to submit a MAERS report.

I left the facility at around 12:30 pm.

### **Compliance Determination**

This facility is not operating in compliance with Rule 201 requirements. The facility mis-reported emissions to AQD. Additionally, Prefix failed to install the proper operating procedures near their cold cleaners.

Prefix appears to be in compliance with all other AQD rules.

NAME<u>Adam Bognar</u>

DATE 8/8/2023

SUPERVISOR<u>K. Belly</u>