RECEIVED

MAR 21 2023

# AIR EMISSION TEST REPORT QUALITY DIVISION FOR THE VERIFICATION OF AIR POLLUTANT EMISSIONS FROM LANDFILL GAS FIRED ENGINE – GENERATOR SETS

# Prepared for: ENERGY DEVELOPMENTS Pinconning, LLC SRN N5985

ICT Project No.: 2300046 February 28, 2023



## **Report Certification**

#### AIR EMISSION TEST REPORT FOR THE VERIFICATION OF AIR POLLUTANT EMISSIONS FROM LANDFILL GAS FIRED ENGINE – GENERATOR SETS

#### Energy Developments Pinconning, LLC Pinconning, Michigan

The material and data in this document were prepared under the supervision and direction of the undersigned.

Impact Compliance and Testing, Inc.

and his

Andrew C. Eisenberg Project Manager



## **Table of Contents**

1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
2.0	<ul> <li>SUMMARY OF TEST RESULTS AND OPERATING CONDITIONS</li> <li>2.1 Purpose and Objective of the Tests</li> <li>2.2 Operating Conditions During the Compliance Tests</li> <li>2.3 Summary of Air Pollutant Sampling Results</li> </ul>	<b>2</b> 2 2 2
3.0	SOURCE AND SAMPLING LOCATION DESCRIPTION3.1 General Process Description3.2 Rated Capacities and Air Emission Controls3.3 Sampling Locations	<b>4</b> 4 4
4.0	<ul> <li>SAMPLING AND ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES.</li> <li>4.1 Summary of Sampling Methods</li></ul>	<b>5</b> 6 6 7 7
5.0	QA/QC ACTIVITIES.5.1 Flow Measurement Equipment	<b>8</b> 8 8 9 9 9 9
6.0	<b>RESULTS.</b> 6.1 Test Results and Allowable Emission Limits6.2 Variations from Normal Sampling Procedures or Operating Conditions	<b>11</b> 11 11



## **List of Tables**

2.1	Average operating conditions during the test periods
2.2	Average measured air pollutant concentrations for each engine (three-test average)3
6.1	Measured exhaust gas conditions and air pollutant emission rates for Engine No. 1 (EUICEENGINE1)12
6.2	Measured exhaust gas conditions and air pollutant emission rates for Engine No. 2 (EUICEENGINE2)

## List of Appendices

APPENDIX 1	SAMPLING DIAGRAMS
APPENDIX 2	OPERATING RECORDS
APPENDIX 3	FLOWRATE CALCULATIONS AND DATA SHEETS
APPENDIX 4	CO2, O2, CO, NOX, AND VOC CALCULATIONS
APPENDIX 5	INSTRUMENTAL ANALYZER RAW DATA
APPENDIX 6	QA/QC RECORDS



## **1.0 Introduction**

Energy Developments Pinconning, LLC (EDL) owns and operates landfill gas (LFG) fueled reciprocating internal combustion engine and electricity generator sets (RICE gensets) at the EDL facility in Pinconning, Bay County, Michigan. The RICE gensets are fueled by LFG that is recovered from the Whitefeather Landfill and treated prior to use.

The State of Michigan Department of Environment, Great Lakes and Energy – Air Quality Division (EGLE-AQD) has issued to EDL a Renewable Operating Permit (MI-ROP-N5985-2019) for operation of the renewable electricity generation facility, which consists of:

Two (2) Caterpillar (CAT®) Model No. G3520C RICE gensets identified as emission . units EUICEENGINE1 and EUICEENGINE2 (Flexible Group ID: FGICEENGINES)

Air emission compliance testing was performed pursuant to MI-ROP-N5985-2019 and the federal Standards of Performance for Stationary Spark Ignition Internal Combustion Engines (the SI-RICE NSPS; 40 CFR Part 60 Subpart JJJJ) which state:

1. ... The permittee shall conduct an initial performance test within 60 days after achieving the maximum production rate but not later than 180 days after initial startup of each engine in FGENGINES and subsequent performance testing every 8760 hours of operation or three years, whichever occurs first, to demonstrate compliance. The performance tests shall be conducted according to 40 CFR 60.4244...

The compliance testing presented in this report was performed by Impact Compliance & Testing, Inc. (ICT), a Michigan-based environmental consulting and testing company. ICT representatives Andrew Eisenberg and Max Fierro performed the field sampling and measurements February 21 & 24, 2023.

The engine emission performance tests consisted of triplicate, one-hour sampling periods for nitrogen oxides (NOx), carbon monoxide (CO), and volatile organic compounds (VOC, as non-methane hydrocarbons (NMHC or NMOC)). Exhaust gas velocity, moisture, oxygen  $(O_2)$  content, and carbon dioxide  $(CO_2)$  content were determined for each test period to calculate volumetric exhaust gas flowrate and pollutant mass emission rates.

The exhaust gas sampling and analysis was performed using procedures specified in the Stack Test Protocol dated January 5, 2023, that was reviewed and approved by EGLE-AQD. Mr. Daniel J. Droste of EGLE-AQD observed portions of the compliance testing.

Questions regarding this air emission test report should be directed to:

Andrew Eisenberg **Project Manager** Impact Compliance & Testing, Inc. 37660 Hills Tech Drive Farmington Hills, MI 48331 (734) 357-8406 Andrew.Eisenberg@ImpactCandT.com Rocky.Tondo@edlenergy.com

Rocky Tondo Head of Project Delivery and Technical Services **Energy Developments** P.O. Box 15217 Lansing, MI 48901 (330) 728-5266



## 2.0 Summary of Test Results and Operating Conditions

#### 2.1 Purpose and Objective of the Tests

Conditions of MI-ROP-N5985-2019 and 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart JJJJ, Standards of Performance for New Stationary Sources for Stationary Spark Ignition Internal Combustion Engines require EDL to test each engine in FGICEENGINES for CO, NOx, and VOC emissions. Engine Nos. 1 and 2 (Emission Units EUICEENGINE1 and EUICEENGINE2, respectively) were tested during this compliance test event.

#### 2.2 Operating Conditions During the Compliance Tests

The testing was performed while the EDL engine/generator sets were operated at maximum operating conditions (within 10% of 1,600-kilowatt (kW) electricity output). EDL representatives monitored and recorded generated power output (kW), fuel use (pounds per hour, lb/hr), fuel methane content (%), and inlet pressure (psi) at 15-minute increments for each test period.

Appendix 2 provides operating records provided by EDL representatives for the test periods.

Table 2.1 presents a summary of the average engine operating conditions during the test periods.

Average output, fuel consumption, fuel methane content, and inlet pressure for each RICE are presented in Table 2.1 and Tables 6.1-6.2.

#### 2.3 Summary of Air Pollutant Sampling Results

The gases exhausted from the sampled LFG fueled RICE (Engine Nos. 1 & 2 / EUICEENGINE1 & EUICEENGINE2) were each sampled for three (3) one-hour test periods during the compliance testing performed February 21 & 24, 2023.

Table 2.2 presents the average measured CO,  $NO_X$ , and VOC emission rates for each engine (average of the three test periods).

Test results for each one-hour sampling period and comparison to the permitted emission rates are presented in Section 6.0 of this report.



#### Table 2.1 Average engine operating conditions during the test periods

Engine Parameter	EUICEENGINE1 CAT® G3520C	EUICEENGINE2 CAT® G3520C
Generator output (kW)	1,605	1,611
Engine output (bhp)	2,240	2,249
Engine LFG fuel use (lb/hr)	2,230	2,423
LFG methane content (%)	54.6	52.7
Exhaust temperature (°F)	767	790
Inlet pressure (psi)	6.0	6.0

#### Table 2.2 Average measured emission rates for each engine (three-test average)

	со		NOx		VOC
Emission Unit	(lb/hr)	(g/bhp-hr)	(lb/hr)	(g/bhp-hr)	(g/bhp-hr)
EUICEENGINE1	11.78	2.4	2.55	0.52	0.12
EUICEENGINE2	11.79	2.4	2.50	0.50	0.13
Permit Limit	16.23	3.3	4.92	1.0	1.0



MAR 21 2023

AIR QUALITY DIVISION



## **3.0 Source and Sampling Location Description**

#### 3.1 General Process Description

EDL is permitted to operate two (2) RICE gensets (CAT® Model No. G3520C) at its facility. The units are fired exclusively with LFG that is recovered from the Whitefeather Landfill and treated prior to use.

#### 3.2 Rated Capacities and Air Emission Controls

The CAT® G3520C engine generator sets each have a rated design capacity of:

- Engine Power: 2,233 brake horsepower (bhp)
- Electricity Generation: 1,600 kW

Each engine is equipped with an electronic air-to-fuel ratio (AFR) controller that blends the appropriate ratio of combustion air and treated LFG fuel.

The RICE are not equipped with add-on emission control devices. The AFR controller maintains efficient fuel combustion, which minimizes air pollutant emissions. Exhaust gas is exhausted directly to atmosphere through noise mufflers and vertical exhaust stacks.

#### 3.3 Sampling Locations

Each RICE exhaust gas is directed through a muffler and is released to the atmosphere through a dedicated vertical exhaust stack with a vertical release point.

The exhaust stacks for Engine Nos. 1 & 2 / EUICEENGINE1 & EUICEENGINE2 are identical. The exhaust stack sampling ports are located after the muffler in the vertical exhaust stacks, each with an inner diameter of 13.75 inches. Each stack is equipped with two (2) sample ports, opposed 90°, that provide a sampling location at least 0.5 duct diameters upstream and at least 2.0 duct diameters downstream from any flow disturbance.

All sample port locations satisfy the USEPA Method 1 criteria for a representative sample location. Individual traverse points were determined in accordance with USEPA Method 1.

Appendix 1 provides a diagram of the emission test sampling locations with actual stack dimension measurements.



## 4.0 Sampling and Analytical Procedures

A Stack Test Protocol for the air emission testing was reviewed and approved by the EGLE-AQD. This section provides a summary of the sampling and analytical procedures that were used during the testing periods.

#### 4.1 Summary of Sampling Methods

USEPA Method 1	Exhaust gas velocity measurement locations were determined based on the physical stack arrangement and requirements in USEPA Method 1.
USEPA Method 2	Exhaust gas velocity pressure was determined using a Type-S Pitot tube connected to a red oil incline manometer; temperature was measured using a K-type thermocouple connected to the Pitot tube.
USEPA Method 3A	Exhaust gas O <sub>2</sub> and CO <sub>2</sub> content was determined using paramagnetic and infrared instrumental analyzers, respectively.
USEPA Method 4	Exhaust gas moisture was determined based on the water weight gain in chilled impingers.
USEPA Method 7E	Exhaust gas NOx concentration was determined using chemiluminescence instrumental analyzers.
USEPA Method 10	Exhaust gas CO concentration was measured using an infrared instrumental analyzer.
USEPA Method 25A / ALT-096	Exhaust gas VOC (as NMHC) concentration was determined using a flame ionization analyzer equipped with methane separation column.



#### 4.2 Exhaust Gas Velocity Determination (USEPA Method 2)

The RICE exhaust stack gas velocities and volumetric flow rates were determined using USEPA Method 2 once during each test period. An S-type Pitot tube connected to a red-oil manometer was used to determine velocity pressure at each traverse point across the stack cross section. Gas temperature was measured using a K-type thermocouple mounted to the Pitot tube. The Pitot tube and connective tubing were leak-checked periodically throughout the test periods to verify the integrity of the measurement system.

The absence of significant cyclonic flow at the sampling location was verified using an Stype Pitot tube and oil manometer. The Pitot tube was positioned at each velocity traverse point with the planes of the face openings of the Pitot tube perpendicular to the stack crosssectional plane. The Pitot tube was then rotated to determine the null angle (rotational angle as measured from the perpendicular, or reference, position at which the differential pressure is equal to zero).

Appendix 3 provides exhaust gas flowrate calculations and field data sheets.

#### 4.3 Exhaust Gas Molecular Weight Determination (USEPA Method 3A)

 $CO_2$  and  $O_2$  content in the RICE exhaust gas stream was measured continuously throughout each test period in accordance with USEPA Method 3A. The  $CO_2$  content of the exhaust was monitored using a Servomex 1440D infrared gas analyzer. The  $O_2$  content of the exhaust was monitored using a Servomex 1440D gas analyzer that uses a paramagnetic sensor.

During each sampling period, a continuous sample of the RICE exhaust gas stream was extracted from the stack using a stainless-steel probe connected to a Teflon® heated sample line. The sampled gas was conditioned by removing moisture prior to being introduced to the analyzers; therefore, measurement of O<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations correspond to standard dry gas conditions. Instrument response data were recorded using an ESC Model 8816 data acquisition system that monitored the analog output of the instrumental analyzers continuously and logged data as one-minute averages.

Prior to, and at the conclusion of each test, the instruments were calibrated using upscale calibration and zero gas to determine analyzer calibration error and system bias (described in Section 5.0 of this document). Sampling times were recorded on field data sheets.

Appendix 4 provides  $O_2$  and  $CO_2$  calculation sheets. Raw instrument response data are provided in Appendix 5.

#### 4.4 Exhaust Gas Moisture Determination (USEPA Method 4)

Moisture content of each RICE exhaust gas was determined in accordance with USEPA Method 4 using a chilled impinger sampling train. Exhaust gas moisture content measurements were performed concurrently with the instrumental analyzer sampling periods. At the conclusion of each sampling period the moisture gain in the impingers was determined gravimetrically by weighing each impinger to determine net weight gain.

Appendix 3 provides moisture calculations and data sheets.



#### 4.5 NO<sub>x</sub> and CO Concentration Measurements (USEPA Methods 7E and 10)

NO<sub>X</sub> and CO pollutant concentrations in the RICE exhaust gas streams were determined using a Thermo Environmental Instruments, Inc. (TEI) Model 42i High Level chemiluminescence NO<sub>X</sub> analyzer and a TEI Model 48i infrared CO analyzer.

Throughout each test period, a continuous sample of the engine exhaust gas was extracted from the stack using the Teflon® heated sample line and gas conditioning system and delivered to the instrumental analyzers. Instrument response for each analyzer was recorded on an ESC Model 8816 data acquisition system that logged data as one-minute averages. Prior to, and at the conclusion of each test, the instruments were calibrated using upscale calibration and zero gas to determine analyzer calibration error and system bias.

Appendix 4 provides CO and  $NO_X$  calculation sheets. Raw instrument response data are provided in Appendix 5.

#### 4.6 Measurement of Volatile Organic Compounds (USEPA Method 25A/ALT-096)

The VOC emission rate was determined by measuring the nonmethane hydrocarbon (NMHC or NMOC) concentration in the engine exhaust gas. NMHC pollutant concentration was determined using a TEI Model 55i Methane / Nonmethane hydrocarbon analyzer. The TEI 55i analyzer contains an internal gas chromatograph column that separates methane from non-methane components. The concentration of NMHC in the sampled gas stream, after separation from methane, is determined relative to a propane standard using a flame ionization detector in accordance with USEPA Method 25A.

The USEPA Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards (OAQPS) has issued an alternate test method approving the use of the TEI 55i-series analyzer as an effective instrument for measuring NMOC from gas-fueled RICE (ALT-096).

Samples of the exhaust gas were delivered directly to the instrumental analyzer using the Teflon® heated sample line to prevent condensation. The sample to the NHMC analyzer was not conditioned to remove moisture. Therefore, VOC measurements correspond to standard conditions with no moisture correction (wet basis).

Prior to, and at the conclusion of each test, the instrument was calibrated using mid-range calibration (propane) and zero gas to determine analyzer calibration error and system bias (described in Section 5.0 of this document).

Appendix 4 provides VOC calculation sheets. Raw instrument response data for the NMHC analyzer is provided in Appendix 5.



#### 5.1 Flow Measurement Equipment

Prior to arriving onsite, the instruments used during the source test to measure exhaust gas properties and velocity (Pitot tube and scale) were calibrated to specifications in the sampling methods.

The absence of cyclonic flow for each sampling location was verified using an S-type Pitot tube and oil manometer. The Pitot tube was positioned at each of the velocity traverse points with the planes of the face openings of the Pitot tube perpendicular to the stack cross-sectional plane. The Pitot tube was then rotated to determine the null angle (rotational angle as measured from the perpendicular, or reference, position at which the differential pressure is equal to zero).

#### 5.2 NO<sub>x</sub> Converter Efficiency Test

The  $NO_2 - NO$  conversion efficiency of the Model 42c analyzer was verified prior to the testing program. A USEPA Protocol 1 certified concentration of  $NO_2$  was injected directly into the analyzer, following the initial three-point calibration, to verify the analyzer's conversion efficiency. The analyzer's  $NO_2 - NO$  converter uses a catalyst at high temperatures to convert the  $NO_2$  to NO for measurement. The conversion efficiency of the analyzer is deemed acceptable if the measured  $NO_x$  concentration is within 10% of the expected value.

The  $NO_2 - NO$  conversion efficiency test satisfied the USEPA Method 7E criteria (measured  $NO_x$  concentration was 95.1% of the expected value).

#### 5.3 Gas Divider Certification (USEPA Method 205)

A STEC Model SGD-710C 10-step gas divider was used to obtain appropriate calibration span gases. The ten-step STEC gas divider was NIST certified (within the last 12 months) with a primary flow standard in accordance with Method 205. When cut with an appropriate zero gas, the ten-step STEC gas divider delivered calibration gas values ranging from 0% to 100% (in 10% step increments) of the USEPA Protocol 1 calibration gas that was introduced into the system. The field evaluation procedures presented in Section 3.2 of Method 205 were followed prior to use of gas divider. The field evaluation yielded no errors greater than 2% of the triplicate measured average and no errors greater than 2% from the expected values.

#### 5.4 Instrumental Analyzer Interference Check

The instrumental analyzers used to measure  $NO_X$ , CO,  $O_2$ , and  $CO_2$  have had an interference response test preformed prior to their use in the field, pursuant to the interference response test procedures specified in USEPA Method 7E. The appropriate interference test gases (i.e., gases that would be encountered in the exhaust gas stream) were introduced into each analyzer, separately and as a mixture with the analyte that each analyzer is designed to measure. All of analyzers exhibited a composite deviation of less than 2.5% of the span for all measured interferent gases. No major analytical components of the analyzers have been replaced since performing the original interference tests.



#### 5.5 Instrument Calibration and System Bias Checks

At the beginning of each day of the testing program, initial three-point instrument calibrations were performed for the  $NO_x$ , CO,  $CO_2$ , and  $O_2$  analyzers by injecting calibration gas directly into the inlet sample port for each instrument. System bias checks were performed prior to and at the conclusion of each sampling period by introducing the upscale calibration gas and zero gas into the sampling system (at the base of the stainless-steel sampling probe prior to the particulate filter and Teflon® heated sample line) and determining the instrument response against the initial instrument calibration readings.

At the beginning of each test day, appropriate high-range, mid-range, and low-range span gases followed by a zero gas were introduced to the NMHC analyzer, in series at a tee connection, which is installed between the sample probe and the particulate filter, through a poppet check valve. After each one-hour test period, mid-range and zero gases were re-introduced in series at the tee connection in the sampling system to check against the method's performance specifications for calibration drift and zero drift error.

The instruments were calibrated with USEPA Protocol 1 certified concentrations of  $CO_2$ ,  $O_2$ ,  $NO_x$ , and CO in nitrogen and zeroed using hydrocarbon free nitrogen. The NMHC (VOC) instrument was calibrated with USEPA Protocol 1 certified concentrations of propane in air and zeroed using hydrocarbon-free air. A STEC Model SGD-710C ten-step gas divider was used to obtain intermediate calibration gas concentrations as needed.

#### 5.6 Determination of Exhaust Gas Stratification

A stratification test was performed for each RICE exhaust stack. The stainless-steel sample probe was positioned at sample points correlating to 16.7, 50.0 (centroid), and 83.3% of the stack diameter. Pollutant concentration data were recorded at each sample point for a minimum of twice the maximum system response time.

The recorded concentration data for the RICE exhaust stacks indicated that the measured  $O_2$ ,  $CO_2$ , CO, and NOx concentrations did not vary by more than 5% of the mean across the stack diameter. Therefore, the RICE exhaust gas was considered to be unstratified and the compliance test sampling was performed at a single sampling location within each RICE exhaust stack.

#### 5.7 System Response Time

The response time of the sampling system was determined prior to the compliance test program by introducing upscale gas and zero gas, in series, into the sampling system using a tee connection at the base of the sample probe. The elapsed time for the analyzer to display a reading of 95% of the expected concentration was determined using a stopwatch.

Sampling periods did not commence until the sampling probe had been in place for at least twice the greatest system response time.

#### 5.8 Meter Box Calibrations

The dry gas meter sampling console used for moisture testing was calibrated prior to and after the testing program. This calibration uses the critical orifice calibration technique presented in USEPA Method 5. The metering console calibration exhibited no data outside the acceptable ranges presented in USEPA Method 5.



The digital pyrometer in the metering console was calibrated using a NIST traceable Omega® Model CL 23A temperature calibrator.

#### 5.9 Cyclonic Flow Check

The absence of cyclonic flow for each sampling location was verified using an S-type Pitot tube and oil manometer. The Pitot tube was positioned at multiple velocity traverse points with the planes of the face openings of the Pitot tube perpendicular to the stack cross-sectional plane. The Pitot tube was then rotated to determine the null angle (rotational angle as measured from the perpendicular, or reference, position at which the differential pressure is equal to zero).

Appendix 6 presents test equipment quality assurance data ( $NO_2 - NO$  conversion efficiency test data, instrument calibration and system bias check records, calibration gas certifications, interference test results, meter box calibration records, and field equipment calibration records).



#### 6.1 Test Results and Allowable Emission Limits

Engine operating data and air pollutant emission measurement results for each one-hour test period are presented in Tables 6.1 and 6.2.

EUICEENGINE1 and EUICEENGINE2 each have the following allowable emission limits specified in MI-ROP-N5985-2019:

- 16.23 lb/hr and 3.3 grams per brake horsepower hour (g/bhp-hr) for CO;
- 4.92 lb/hr and 1.0 g/bhp-hr for NOx; and
- 1.0 g/bhp-hr for VOC.

The measured air pollutant emission rates for EUICEENGINE1 and EUICEENGINE2 are less than the allowable limits specified in MI-ROP-N5985-2019.

#### 6.2 Variations from Normal Sampling Procedures or Operating Conditions

The testing for all pollutants was performed in accordance with USEPA methods and the approved Stack Test Protocol. The RICE gensets were operated within 10% of maximum output (1,600 kW generator output for CAT® G3520C RICE) and no variations from normal operating conditions occurred during the engine test periods.

The start of test run three (3) for EUICEENGINE1 was delayed by approximately four (4) hours because wind speeds exceeded the operating threshold for the aerial lift. Once the winds had died down, we began test run three (3). Because of delay for the high winds on February 21, 2023, testing of EUICEENGINE2 was postponed until February 24, 2023.



Test No. Test date	1 02/21/2023	2 02/21/2023	3 02/21/2023	Three Test
Test period (24-hr clock)	0830-0930	0948-1048	1515-1615	Average
Fuel flowrate (lb/hr)	2,203	2,213	2,274	2,230
Generator output (kW)	1,604	1,604	1,606	1,605
Engine output (bhp)	2,238	2,239	2,242	2,240
LFG methane content (%)	54.9	54.9	54.1	54.6
Inlet pressure (psi)	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0
Exhaust Gas Composition				
CO <sub>2</sub> content (% vol)	10.6	10.7	10.7	10.7
O <sub>2</sub> content (% vol)	9.07	8.90	8.89	8.95
Moisture (% vol)	11.5	10.8	11.0	11.1
Exhaust gas temperature (°F)	766	770	766	767
Exhaust gas flowrate (dscfm)	4,458	4,473	4,488	4,473
Exhaust gas flowrate (scfm)	5,036	5,017	5,045	5,033
Nitrogen Oxides	04.4	75.0	70.2	70 5
NO <sub>X</sub> conc. (ppmvd) NO <sub>X</sub> emissions (lb/hr)	84.1 2.69	75.0 2.41	79.3 2.55	79.5 2.55
Permit Limit (lb/hr)	2.03	-	-	4.92
NO <sub>X</sub> emissions (g/bhp-hr)	0.54	0.49	0.52	0.52
Permit Limit (g/bhp-hr)	-	-	-	1.0
CO conc. (ppmvd)	601	604	606	603
CO emissions (lb/hr)	11.69	11.79	11.86	11.78
Permit Limit (lb/hr)	-	-	-	16.23
CO emissions (g/bhp-hr)	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4
Permit Limit (g/bhp-hr)	-	-	-	3.3
Volotilo Organic Compoundo				
Volatile Organic Compounds NMHC conc. (ppmv)	17.4	17.7	17.7	17.6
VOC emissions (g/bhp-hr)	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12
Permit Limit (g/bhp-hr)	-	-	-	1.0

#### Table 6.1 Measured exhaust gas conditions and air pollutant emission rates for Engine No. 1 (EUICEENGINE1)



Test No. Test date Test period (24-hr clock)	1 02/24/2023 1120-1220	2 02/24/2023 1235-1335	3 02/24/2023 1349-1449	Three Test Average
Fuel flowrate (lb/hr) Generator output (kW) Engine output (bhp) LFG methane content (%) Inlet pressure (psi)	2,436 1,603 2,237 52.3 6.0	2,423 1,609 2,245 52.7 6.0	2,411 1,622 2,264 53.1 6.0	2,423 1,611 2,249 52.7 6.0
Exhaust Gas Composition CO <sub>2</sub> content (% vol) O <sub>2</sub> content (% vol) Moisture (% vol)	10.8 8.79 10.8	10.7 8.86 10.6	10.7 8.95 10.3	10.7 8.87 10.5
Exhaust gas temperature (ºF) Exhaust gas flowrate (dscfm) Exhaust gas flowrate (scfm)	789 4,578 5,130	786 4,512 5,045	794 4,489 5,002	790 4,526 5,059
Nitrogen Oxides NO <sub>x</sub> conc. (ppmvd) NO <sub>x</sub> emissions (lb/hr) <i>Permit Limit (lb/hr)</i> NO <sub>x</sub> emissions (g/bhp-hr) <i>Permit Limit (g/bhp-hr)</i>	79.5 2.61 - 0.53 -	77.4 2.50 - 0.51 -	73.9 2.38 - 0.48 -	76.9 2.50 4.92 0.50 1.0
Carbon Monoxide CO conc. (ppmvd) CO emissions (lb/hr) <i>Permit Limit (lb/hr)</i> CO emissions (g/bhp-hr) <i>Permit Limit (g/bhp-hr)</i>	598 11.94 - 2.4 -	607 11.96 - 2.4 -	586 11.47 2.3	597 11.79 <i>16.23</i> 2.4 3.3
Volatile Organic Compounds NMHC conc. (ppmv) VOC emissions (g/bhp-hr) Permit Limit (g/bhp-hr)	17.8 0.13 -	17.8 0.12 -	17.9 0.12 -	17.8 0.13 <i>1.0</i>

#### Table 6.2 Measured exhaust gas conditions and air pollutant emission rates for Engine No. 2 (EUICEENGINE2)



MAR 21 2023

#### AIR QUALITY DIVISION



#### **APPENDIX** 1

RICE Engine Sample Port Diagram



