APR 1 A 2015 AIR QUALITY GIVISION

MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY AIR QUALITY DIVISION

#### RENEWABLE OPERATING PERMIT REPORT CERTIFICATION

Authorized by 1994 P.A. 451, as amended. Failure to provide this information mey result to civil and/or criminal penalties,

Reports submitted pursuant to R 336.1213 (Rule 213), subrules (3)(c) and/or (4)(c), of Microgan's Renewable Operating Permit (ROP) program must be certified by a responsible official. Additional information regarding the reports and documentation listed below must be kept on file for at least 5 years, as specified in Rule 213(3)(b)(ii), and be made available to the pert timent of Environmental Quality, Air Quality Division upon request.

Generating Station
Source Address 16980 Wood St
AQD Source ID (SRN)         N5997         ROP No.         N5997-2013         ROP Section No.         01
Please check the appropriate box(es):
Annual Compliance Certification () suant to Rule 213(4)(c))
Reporting period (provide inclusive dates): From To
1. During the entire reporting period, this source was in compliance with ALL terms and conditions contained in the ROP, each term and condition of which is identified and included by this reference. The method(s) used to determine compliance is/are the method(s) specified in the ROP.
2. During the entire reporting period this source was in compliance with all terms and conditions contained in the ROP, each term and condition of which is identified and included by this reference, EXCEPT for the deviations identified on the enclosed deviation report(s). The method used to determine compliance for each term and condition is the method specified in the ROP, unless otherwise indicated and described on the enclosed deviation report(s).
Semi-Annual (or More Frequent) Report Certification (Pursuant to Rule 213(3)(c))
Reporting period (provide inclusive dates): From To 1. During the entire reporting period, ALL monitoring and associated recordkeeping requirements in the ROP were met and no deviations from these requirements or any other terms or conditions occurred.
$\square$ 2. During the entire repeting period, all monitoring and according regulation requirements in the POP were met and no
deviations from these requirements or any other terms or conditions occurred, <b>EXCEPT</b> for the deviations identified on the enclosed deviation report(s)
deviations from these requirements or any other terms or conditions occurred, <b>EXCEPT</b> for the deviations identified on the enclosed deviation report(s).
deviations from these requirements or any other terms or conditions occurred, <b>EXCEPT</b> for the deviations identified on the enclosed deviation report(s).
deviations from these requirements or any other terms or conditions occurred, EXCEPT for the deviations identified on the enclosed deviation report(s).           Image: Contract Con
2. During the entire reporting period, all monitoring and associated recordiceping requirements in the roor were met and no deviations from these requirements or any other terms or conditions occurred, <b>EXCEPT</b> for the deviations identified on the enclosed deviation report(s).      Other Report Certification     Reporting period (provide inclusive dates): From To
2. During the entire reporting period, all monitoring and associated recordicepting requirements in the roor were met and no deviations from these requirements or any other terms or conditions occurred, EXCEPT for the deviations identified on the enclosed deviation report(s).      Other Report Certification     Reporting period (provide inclusive dates): From To     Additional monitoring reports or other applicable documents required by the ROP are attached as described:
2. During the entire reporting period, all monitoring and associated record caping requirements in the roor were met and no deviations from these requirements or any other terms or conditions occurred, EXCEPT for the deviations identified on the enclosed deviation report(s).      Other Report Certification     Reporting period (provide inclusive dates): From To     Additional monitoring reports or other applicable documents required by the ROP are attached as described:     NSPS Test Report for landfill gas fired IC engines conducted March 5–6, 2019.
Image: Defining the entitle reporting period, all monitoring and associated record caping requirements in the roor watermet and no deviations from these requirements or any other terms or conditions occurred, EXCEPT for the deviations identified on the enclosed deviation report(s).         Image: Defining the entitle report of the deviation report(s).         Image: Defining the entitle report of the deviation report(s).         Image: Defining the entitle report of the deviation report(s).         Image: Defining the entitle report of the deviation report(s).         Image: Defining the entitle report of the deviation report(s).         Image: Defining the entitle report of the deviation report(s).         Image: Defining the entitle report of the deviation report(s).         Image: Defining the entitle report of the deviation report(s).         Image: Defining the entitle report of the deviation report(s).         Image: Defining the entitle report of the deviation report of the deviating the deviat report of the deviat report of the deviat
Image: Defining the entitle reporting period, all monitoring and associated record Repring requirements in the ROP were met and no deviations from these requirements or any other terms or conditions occurred, EXCEPT for the deviations identified on the enclosed deviation report(s).         Image: Defining period (provide inclusive dates):       From       To         Additional monitoring reports or other applicable documents required by the ROP are attached as described:       NSPS Test Report for landfill gas fired IC engines conducted March 5–6, 2019.         The testing was conducted in accordance with the approved Test Plan and the facility was operated in compliance with the permit conditions and at the maximum

I certify that, based on information and belief formed after reasonable inquiry, the statements and information in this report and the supporting enclosures are true, accurate and complete

Dan Zimmerman	Director of North America HSE & Compliance	(517) 896-4417
Name of Responsible Official (print or type)	Title	Phone Number
Dan/m >		4/8/19
Signature of Responsible Official		Date
* Photocopy ins form as needed.		EQP 5736 (Rev 11-04)



#### **Executive Summary**

#### ENERGY DEVELOPMENTS LANSING, LLC – WOOD STREET GENERATING STATION CAT® G3520C LANDFILL GAS FUELED IC ENGINE EMISSIONS RESULTS

Energy Developments Lansing, LLC – Wood Street Generating Station (EDL) contracted Impact Compliance & Testing, Inc. (ICT, formerly Derenzo Environmental Services), to conduct a performance demonstration for the determination of carbon monoxide (CO), and volatile organic compounds (VOC) emission rates from three (3) Caterpillar (CAT®) Model No. G35 7) landfill gas-fired reciprocating internal combustion engines and operated at the Lansing Wood Street Landfill in Lansing, Michigan.

Michigan Department of Environmental Quality Air Quality Division (MDEQ-AQD) Renewable Operating Permit No. MI-ROP-N5997-2013 requires that performance testing be performed on the CAT® G3520C engines within 180 days of startup and every 8,760 hours of operation (or every three years) in accordance with the provisions of 40 CFR Part 60 Subpart JJJJ (NSPS for spark ignition internal combustion engines). The performance testing was conducted on March 5 – 6, 2019. The following tables present the emissions results and operating data from the performance demonstration.

	CO Emission Rates		NOx Emission Rates		VOC Emission Rates
Emission Unit	(lb/hr)	(g/bhp-hr)	(lb/hr)	(g/bhp-hr)	(g/bhp-hr)
Engine No. 5	15.0	3.04	1.82	0.37	0.20
Engine No. 6	13.6	2.75	2.51	0.51	0.15
Engine No. 7	13.8	2.79	1.82	0.37	0.15
Permit Limit	16.23	3.30	4.92	1.0	1.0

lb/hr = pounds per hour, g/bhp-hr = grams per brake horse power-hour

	Generator	Engine	LFG	LFG CH₄	Exhaust
	Output	Output	Fuel Use	Content	Temp.
Emission Unit	(kŴ)	(bhp)	(lb/hr)	(%)	(°F)
Engine No. 5	1,607	2,242	2,323	52.9	821
Engine No. 6	1,605	2,240	2,271	53.1	842
Engine No. 7	1,609	2,245	2,342	53.0	848

lb/hr=pounds per hour, kW=kilowatt, bHp-hr=brake horse power hour, psi=pounds per square inch

The data above indicate that Engines 5, 6, and 7 were tested while the units operated within 10% of maximum capacity (1,600 kW) and are in compliance with the emission standards specified in 40 CFR 60.4233(e) and MDEQ-AQD ROP No. MI-ROP-N5997-2013.

39395 Schoolcraft Road • Livonia, MI 48150 • (734) 464-3880 • FAX (734) 464-4368 4180 Keller Road, Suite B • Holt, MI 48842 • (517) 268-0043 • FAX (517) 268-0089



#### AIR EMISSION TEST REPORT

Title

AIR EMISSION TEST REPORT FOR THE VERIFICATION OF AIR POLLUTANT EMISSIONS FROM LANDFILL GAS FUELED INTERNAL COMBUSTION ENGINES

Report Date March 26, 2019

Test Dates March 5 – 6, 2019

5

Facility Informa	tion
Name	Energy Developments Lansing, LLC - Wood Street Generating Station
Street Address	16980 Wood Road
City, County	Lansing, Ingham

Facility Peri	nit Information	n a ser a conservation a ser a conservation a ser a servation de la servation	tang ng pangan Matang pangang Matang pangang	
ROP No.:	MI-ROP-N5997-2013	Facility SRN :	N5997	•

Testing Contra	ctor
Company	Impact Compliance & Testing, Inc.
Mailing Address	39395 Schoolcraft Road Livonia, MI 48150
Phone	(734) 464-3880
Project No.	1901063

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
2.0	SOURCE AND SAMPLING LOCATION DESCRIPTION 2.1 General Process Description 2.2 Rated Capacities and Air Emission Controls 2.3 Sampling Locations	3 3 3 3 3 3
3.0	SUMMARY OF TEST RESULTS AND OPERATING CONDIT       S         3.1 Purpose and Objective of the Tests       S         3.2 Operating Conditions During the Compliance Tests       S         3.3 Summary of Air Pollutant Sampling Results       S	5 5 5 6
4.0	<ul> <li>SAMPLING AND ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES.</li> <li>4.1 Summary of Sampling Methods</li></ul>	8 8 9 9 10 10
5.0	QA/QC ACTIVITIES5.1 NOx Converter Efficiency Test5.2 Gas Divider Certification (USEPA Method 205)5.3 Instrumental Analyzer Interference Check5.4 Instrument Calibration and System Bias Checks5.5 Determination of Exhaust Gas Stratification	12 12 12 12 12 13
6.0	<ul> <li><b>RESULTS</b></li></ul>	15 15 15

# LIST OF TABLES

1

Tab	le	Page
3.1	Average operating conditions during the test periods	7
3.2	Average measured emission rates for each engine (three-test average	)
6.1	Measured exhaust gas conditions and NO <sub>x</sub> , CO, and VOC air pollutant emission rates for Engine No. 5 (EUENGINE1-S1)	17
6.2	Measured exhaust gas conditions and NO <sub>x</sub> , CO and VOC air pollutant emission rates for Engine No. 6 (EUENGINE2-S1)	
6.3	Measured exhaust gas conditions and NO <sub>x</sub> , CO and VOC air pollutant emission rates for Engine No. 7 (EUENGINE3-S1)	

### LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX 1	SAMPLING DIAGRAMS
APPENDIX 2	OPERATING RECORDS
APPENDIX 3	FLOWRATE CALCULATIONS AND DATA SHEETS
APPENDIX 4	CO2, O2, CO, NOX AND VOC CALCULATIONS
APPENDIX 5	INSTRUMENTAL ANALYZER RAW DATA
APPENDIX 6	QA/QC RECORDS



#### AIR EMISSION TEST REPORT FOR THE VERIFICATION OF AIR POLLUTANT EMISSIONS FROM LANDFILL GAS FUELED INTERNAL COMBUSTION ENGINES

#### ENERGY DEVELOPMENTS LANSING, LLC - WOOD STREET GENERATING STATION

#### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

Energy Developments Lansing, LLC – Wood Street Generating Station (EDL) (Facility SRN: N5997) owns and operates four (4) Caterpillar (CAT®) Model No. G3516 landfill gas (LFG) fueled reciprocating internal combustion engines (RICE) and three (3) CAT® Model No. G3520C LFG fueled RICE at the Lansing Wood Street Landfill in Lansing, Ingham County, Michigan. The CAT® Model No. G3516 engines are identified as Emission Unit ID: EUICE1-S1 – EUICE4-S1 (FGICE-S1) and the CAT® Model No. G3520C engines are identified as Emission Unit ID: EUICEENGINE1-S1 – EUICEENGINE3-S1 (FGICEENGINES-S1) in Renewable Operating Permit (ROP) No. MI-ROP-N5997-2013. EUICE1-S1 through EUICE4-S1 are also referred to as Engine Nos. 1 through 4, respectively and EUICEENGINE1-S1 through EUICEENGINE3-S1 are also referred to as Engine Nos. 5 through 7, respectively, in this report and by facility representatives.

Air emission compliance testing was performed to satisfy the following requirements contained in ROP No. MI-ROP-N5997-2013:

• Test air pollutant emissions for FGICEENGINES-S1 in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60 Subpart JJJJ.

The compliance testing was performed by Impact Compliance & Testing, Inc. (ICT, formerly Derenzo Environmental Services), a Michigan-based environmental consulting and testing company. ICT representatives Tyler Wilson and Clay Gaffey performed the field sampling and measurements March 5 - 6, 2019.

The exhaust gas sampling and analysis was performed using procedures specified in the Test Plan dated January 31, 2019 that was reviewed and approved by the Michigan Department of Environmental Quality Air Quality Division (MDEQ-AQD). MDEQ-AQD representatives Mr. Tom Gasloli and Ms. Michelle Luplow observed portions of the testing project.

Energy Developments Lansing, LLC – Wood Street Generating Station Air Emission Test Report

March 26, 2019 Page 3

#### 2.0 SOURCE AND SAMPLING LOCATION DESCRIPTION

#### 2.1 General Process Description

Landfill gas (LFG) containing methane is generated in the Lansing Wood Street Landfill from the anaerobic decomposition of disposed waste materials. The LFG is collected from both active and capped landfill cells using a system of wells (gas collection system). The collected LFG is transferred to the EDL Wood Street LFG power station facility where it is treated and used as fuel for the seven (7) RICE. Each RICE is connected to an electricity generator that produces electricity that is transferred to the local utility.

#### 2.2 Rated Capacities and Air Emission Controls

The CAT® Model No. G3520C RICE has a rated output of 2,233 brake-horsepower (bhp) and the connected generator has a rated electricity output of 1,600 kilowatts (kW). The engine is designed to fire low-pressure, lean fuel mixtures (e.g., LFG) and is equipped with an air-to-fuel ratio controller that monitors engine performance parameters and automatically adjusts the air-to-fuel ratio and ignition timing to maintain efficient fuel combustion.

The engine/generator sets are not equipped with add-on emission control devices. Air pollutant emissions are minimized through the proper operation of the gas treatment system and efficient fuel combustion in the engines.

The fuel consumption rate is regulated automatically to maintain the heat input rate required to support engine operations and is dependent on the fuel heat value (methane content) of the treated LFG.

#### 2.3 Sampling Locations

The RICE exhaust gas is directed through mufflers and is released to the atmosphere through dedicated vertical exhaust stacks with vertical release points. The three (3) CAT® Model G3520C RICE exhaust stacks are identical.

The exhaust stack sampling ports for the CAT® Model G3520C engines (Engine Nos. 5, 6 and 7) are located in individual exhaust stacks with an inner diameter of 13.5 inches. Each stack is equipped with two (2) sample ports, opposed 90°, that provide a sampling location greater than 120 inches (>8.9 duct diameters) upstream and greater than 120 inches (>8.9 duct diameters) upstream and greater than 120 inches (>8.9 duct diameters) upstream and satisfies the USEPA Method 1 criteria for a representative sample location.

Individual traverse points were determined in accordance with USEPA Method 1.

Appendix 1 provides diagrams of the emission test sampling locations.

Energy Developments Lansing, LLC – Wood Street Generating Station Air Emission Test Report

March 26, 2019 Page 4

# 3.0 SUMMARY OF TEST RESULTS AND OPERATING CONDITIONS

#### 3.1 Purpose and Objective of the Tests

The conditions of MI-ROP-N5997-2013 and 40 CFR Part 60 Subpart JJJJ require EDL to test each engine contained in FGICEENGINES-S1 for carbon monoxide (CO), nitrogen oxides (NOx) and volatile organic compounds (VOCs) every 8,760 hours of operation. Therefore, each engine contained in FGICEENGINES-S1 was sampled for CO, NO<sub>x</sub> and VOC emissions and exhaust gas oxygen (O<sub>2</sub>) and carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) content.

### **3.2** Operating Conditions During the Compliance Tests

The testing was performed while the EDL engine/generator sets were operated at maximum operating conditions (1,600 kW electricity output +/- 10%). EDL representatives provided the kW output in 15-minute increments for each test period. The EU-ICE3-S1 generator kW output ranged between 795 and 830 kW for each test period. The FGICEENGINES-S1 generator kW output ranged between 1,594 and 1,635 kW for each test period.

For the testing performed on FGICEENGINES-S1 fuel flowrate (pounds per hour), fuel methane content (%), fuel inlet pressure (psi) and the air to fuel ratio were recorded by EDL representatives in 15-minute increments for each test period. The FGICEENGINES-S1 fuel consumption rate ranged between 2,150 and 2,200 pph, fuel methane content ranged between 54.9 and 56.0%, fuel inlet pressure was 3.0 psi and the air to fuel ratio ranged from 8.1 to 8.7 during the test periods.

For the testing performed on EU-ICE3-S1 total plant fuel flowrate (scfm) and fuel methane content (%) were recorded by EDL representatives in 15-minute increments for each test period. The total plant fuel consumption rate ranged between 1,125 and 1,166 scfm and the fuel methane content ranged between 55.0 and 55.6% during the test periods.

Appendix 2 provides operating records provided by EDL representatives for the test periods.

Engine output (bhp) cannot be measured directly and was calculated based on the recorded electricity output, the calculated CAT® Model G3520C generator efficiency (96.1%), and the unit conversion factor for kW to horsepower (0.7457 kW/hp).

Engine output (bhp) = Electricity output (kW) / (0.961) / (0.7457 kW/hp)

The facility records fuel use rate in units of pounds per hour. To convert to units of standard cubic feet of gas consumed per minute (scfm) the following equation was used:

Fuel Use (scfm) = Fuel Use (pph) / LFG MW (lb/lb-mol) \* 385 scf LFG/lb-mol / 60 min/hr

A lower heating value of 909 Btu/ft<sup>3</sup> was used to calculate the LFG heating value.

Energy Developments Lansing, LLC – Wood Street Generating Station March 26, 2019 Air Emission Test Report

Page 5

 $V_{\rm TH}$ 

Table 3.1 presents a summary of the average engine operating conditions during the test periods.

#### 3.3 Summary of Air Pollutant Sampling Results

The gases exhausted from the sampled LFG fueled RICE (Engine Nos. 5, 6, and 7) were each sampled for three (3) one-hour test periods during the compliance testing performed March 5-6, 2019.

Table 3.2 presents the average measured CO, NO<sub>x</sub>, and VOC emission rates for the engines (average of the three test periods for each engine).

Test results for each one-hour sampling period and comparison to the permitted emission rates is presented in Section 6.0 of this report.

Engine Parameter	Engine No. 5	Engine No. 6	Engine No. 7
Generator output (kW)	1,607	1,605	1,609
Engine output (bhp)	2,242	2,240	2,245
Engine LFG fuel use (lb/hr)	2,323	2,271	2,342
LFG methane content (%)	52.9	53.1	. 53.0
LFG lower heating value (Btu/ft <sup>3</sup> )	481	483	482
Exhaust temperature (°F)	821	842	848

Table 3.1 Average engine operating conditions during the test periods

Table 3.2 Average measured emission rates for each engine (three-test average)

	CO En	nission Rates	NOx Emission Rates		VOC Emission Rates
Emission Unit	(lb/hr)	(g/bhp-hr)	(lb/hr)	(g/bhp-hr)	(g/bhp-hr)
Engine No. 5	15.0	3.04	1.82	0.37	0.20
Engine No. 6	13.6	2.75	2.51	0.51	0.15
Engine No. 7	13.8	2.79	1.82	0.37	0.15
Permit Limit	16.23	3.30	4.92	1.0	1.0

Energy Developments Lansing, LLC – Wood Street Generating Station Air Emission Test Report March 26, 2019 Page 6

÷.

#### 4.0 SAMPLING AND ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES

A test protocol for the air emission testing was reviewed and approved by the MDEQ. This section provides a summary of the sampling and analytical procedures that were used during the EDL testing periods.

and the second second

#### 4.1 Summary of Sampling Methods

USEPA Method 1	Exhaust gas velocity measurement locations were determined based on the physical stack arrangement and requirements in USEPA Method 1.
USEPA Method 2	Exhaust gas velocity pressure was determined using a Type-S Pitot tube connected to a red oil incline manometer; temperature was measured using a K-type thermocouple connected to the Pitot tube.
USEPA Method 3A	Exhaust gas $O_2$ and $CO_2$ content was determined using paramagnetic and infrared instrumental analyzer.
USEPA Method 4	Exhaust gas moisture was determined based on the water weight gain in chilled impingers.
USEPA Method 7E	Exhaust gas NOx concentration was determined using chemiluminescence instrumental analyzers.
USEPA Method 10	Exhaust gas CO concentration was measured using an infrared instrumental analyzer.
USEPA Method 25A / ALT-096	Exhaust gas VOC (as NMHC) concentration was determined using a flame ionization analyzer equipped with methane separation column.

#### 4.2 Exhaust Gas Velocity Determination (USEPA Method 2)

The RICE exhaust stack gas velocities and volumetric flow rates were determined using USEPA Method 2 once for each test. An S-type Pitot tube connected to a red-oil manometer was used to determine velocity pressure at each traverse point across the stack cross section. Gas temperature was measured using a K-type thermocouple mounted to the Pitot tube. The Pitot tube and connective tubing were leak-checked prior to the test event to verify the integrity of the measurement system.

The absence of significant cyclonic flow for the exhaust configuration was verified using an S-type Pitot tube and oil manometer. The Pitot tube was positioned at each velocity traverse point with the planes of the face openings of the Pitot tube perpendicular to the

Energy Developments Lansing, LLC – Wood Street Generating Station Marc Air Emission Test Report

March 26, 2019 Page 7

stack cross-sectional plane. The Pitot tube was then rotated to determine the null angle (rotational angle as measured from the perpendicular, or reference, position at which the differential pressure is equal to zero).

Appendix 3 provides exhaust gas flowrate calculations and field data sheets.

#### 4.3 Exhaust Gas Molecular Weight Determination (USEPA Method 3A)

 $CO_2$  and  $O_2$  content in the RICE exhaust gas stream was measured continuously throughout each test period in accordance with USEPA Method 3A. The  $CO_2$  content of the exhaust was monitored using a Servomex 1440D single beam single wavelength (SBSW) infrared gas analyzer. The  $O_2$  content of the exhaust was monitored using a Servomex 1440D gas analyzer that uses a paramagnetic sensor.

During each sampling period, a continuous sample of the IC engine exhaust gas stream was extracted from the stack using a stainless steel probe connected to a Teflon® heated sample line. The sampled gas was conditioned by removing moisture prior to being introduced to the analyzers; therefore, measurement of  $O_2$  and  $CO_2$  concentrations correspond to standard dry gas conditions. Instrument response data were recorded using an ESC Model 8816 data acquisition system that monitored the analog output of the instrumental analyzers continuously and logged data as one-minute averages.

Prior to, and at the conclusion of each test, the instruments were calibrated using upscale calibration and zero gas to determine analyzer calibration error and system bias (described in Section 5.0 of this document). Sampling times were recorded on field data sheets.

Appendix 4 provides  $O_2$  and  $CO_2$  calculation sheets. Raw instrument response data are provided in Appendix 5.

#### 4.4 Exhaust Gas Moisture Content (USEPA Method 4)

Moisture content of the RICE exhaust gas was determined in accordance with USEPA Method 4 using a chilled impinger sampling train. The moisture sampling was performed concurrently with the instrumental analyzer sampling. During each sampling period a gas sample was extracted at a constant rate from the source where moisture was removed from the sampled gas stream using impingers that were submersed in an ice bath. At the conclusion of each sampling period, the moisture gain in the impingers was determined gravimetrically by weighing each impinger to determine net weight gain.

#### 4.5 NO<sub>x</sub> and CO Concentration Measurements (USEPA Methods 7E and 10)

 $NO_X$  and CO pollutant concentrations in the RICE exhaust gas streams were determined using a Thermo Environmental Instruments, Inc. (TEI) Model 42c High Level chemiluminescence  $NO_X$  analyzer and a TEI Model 48i infrared CO analyzer.

Energy Developments Lansing, LLC – Wood Street Generating Station Air Emission Test Report March 26, 2019 Page 8

a state of the second sec

Throughout each test period, a continuous sample of the engine exhaust gas was extracted from the stack using the Teflon® heated sample line and gas conditioning system and delivered to the instrumental analyzers. Instrument response for each analyzer was recorded on an ESC Model 8816 data acquisition system that logged data as one-minute averages. Prior to, and at the conclusion of each test, the instruments were calibrated using upscale calibration and zero gas to determine analyzer calibration error and system bias.

Appendix 4 provides CO and NO<sub>X</sub> calculation sheets. Raw instrument response data are provided in Appendix 5.

#### 4.6 Measurement of Volatile Organic Compounds (USEPA Method 25A/ALT-096)

The VOC emission rate was determined by measuring the nonmethane hydrocarbon (NMHC) concentration in the engine exhaust gas. NMHC pollutant concentration was determined using a TEI Model 55i Methane / Nonmethane hydrocarbon analyzer. The TEI 55i analyzer contains an internal gas chromatograph column that separates methane from non-methane components. The concentration of NMHC in the sampled gas stream, after separation from methane, is determined relative to a propane standard using a flame ionization detector in accordance with USEPA Method 25A.

The USEPA Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards (OAQPS) has issued an alternate test method approving the use of the TEI 55i-series analyzer as an effective instrument for measuring NMOC from gas-fueled reciprocating internal combustion engines (RICE) in that it uses USEPA Method 25A and 18 (ALT-096).

Samples of the exhaust gas were delivered directly to the instrumental analyzer using the Teflon® heated sample line to prevent condensation. The sample to the NHMC analyzer was not conditioned to remove moisture. Therefore, VOC measurements correspond to standard conditions with no moisture correction (wet basis).

Prior to, and at the conclusion of each test, the instrument was calibrated using mid-range calibration (propane) and zero gas to determine analyzer calibration error and system bias (described in Section 5.0 of this document).

Appendix 4 provides VOC calculation sheets. Raw instrument response data for the NMHC analyzer is provided in Appendix 5.

#### 5.0 QA/QC ACTIVITIES

#### 5.1 NO<sub>x</sub> Converter Efficiency Test

The  $NO_2 - NO$  conversion efficiency of the Model 42c analyzer was verified prior to the testing program. A USEPA Protocol 1 certified concentration of  $NO_2$  was injected directly into the analyzer, following the initial three-point calibration, to verify the analyzer's conversion efficiency. The analyzer's  $NO_2 - NO$  converter uses a catalyst at high

Energy Developments Lansing, LLC – Wood Street Generating Station March 26, 2019 Air Emission Test Report Page 9

temperatures to convert the NO<sub>2</sub> to NO for measurement. The conversion efficiency of the analyzer is deemed acceptable if the measured NO<sub>2</sub> concentration is within 90% of the expected value.

The  $NO_2 - NO$  conversion efficiency test satisfied the USEPA Method 7E criteria (measured  $NO_2$  concentration was 97.9% of the expected value, i.e., within 10% of the expected value as required by Method 7E).

#### 5.2 Gas Divider Certification (USEPA Method 205)

A STEC Model SGD-710C 10-step gas divider was used to obtain appropriate calibration span gases. The ten-step STEC gas divider was NIST certified (within the last 12 months) with a primary flow standard in accordance with Method 205. When cut with an appropriate zero gas, the ten-step STEC gas divider delivered calibration gas values ranging from 0% to 100% (in 10% step increments) of the USEPA Protocol 1 calibration gas that was introduced into the system. The field evaluation procedures presented in Section 3.2 of Method 205 were followed prior to use of gas divider. The field evaluation yielded no errors greater than 2% of the triplicate measured average and no errors greater than 2% from the expected values.

#### 5.3 Instrumental Analyzer Interference Check

The instrumental analyzers used to measure  $NO_X$ , CO,  $O_2$  and  $CO_2$  have had an interference response test preformed prior to their use in the field, pursuant to the interference response test procedures specified in USEPA Method 7E. The appropriate interference test gases (i.e., gases that would be encountered in the exhaust gas stream) were introduced into each analyzer, separately and as a mixture with the analyte that each analyzer is designed to measure. All of analyzers exhibited a composite deviation of less than 2.5% of the span for all measured interferent gases. No major analytical components of the analyzers have been replaced since performing the original interference tests.

#### 5.4 Instrument Calibration and System Bias Checks

At the beginning of each day of the testing program, initial three-point instrument calibrations were performed for the  $NO_x$ , CO,  $CO_2$  and  $O_2$  analyzers by injecting calibration gas directly into the inlet sample port for each instrument. System bias checks were performed prior to and at the conclusion of each sampling period by introducing the upscale calibration gas and zero gas into the sampling system (at the base of the stainless steel sampling probe prior to the particulate filter and Teflon® heated sample line) and determining the instrument response against the initial instrument calibration readings.

At the beginning of each test day, appropriate high-range, mid-range, and low-range span gases followed by a zero gas were introduced to the NMHC analyzer, in series at a tee connection, which is installed between the sample probe and the particulate filter, through a poppet check valve. After each one-hour test period, mid-range and zero gases were re-

# RECEIVED

APR 18 2013

AIR QUALITY DIVISION

Energy Developments Lansing, LLC – Wood Street Generating Station March 26, 2019 Air Emission Test Report Page 10

introduced in series at the tee connection in the sampling system to check against the method's performance specifications for calibration drift and zero drift error.

The instruments were calibrated with USEPA Protocol 1 certified concentrations of  $CO_2$ ,  $O_2$ ,  $NO_x$ , and CO in nitrogen and zeroed using hydrocarbon free nitrogen. The NMHC (VOC) instrument was calibrated with USEPA Protocol 1 certified concentrations of propane in air and zeroed using hydrocarbon-free air. A STEC Model SGD-710C ten-step gas divider was used to obtain intermediate calibration gas concentrations as needed.

#### 5.5 Determination of Exhaust Gas Stratification

A stratification test was performed for each RICE exhaust stack. The stainless steel sample probe was positioned at sample points correlating to 16.7, 50.0 (centroid) and 83.3% of each stack diameter. Pollutant concentration data were recorded at each sample point for a minimum of twice the maximum system response time.

The recorded concentration data for each RICE exhaust stack indicated that the measured  $NO_x$ , CO,  $O_2$  and CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations did not vary by more than 5% of the mean across each stack diameter. Therefore, the RICE exhaust gas was considered to be unstratified and the compliance test sampling was performed at a single sampling location within the RICE exhaust stack.

#### 5.6 Meter Box Calibrations

The Nutech Model 2010 sampling console, which was used for exhaust gas moisture content sampling, was calibrated prior to and after the testing program. This calibration uses the critical orifice calibration technique presented in USEPA Method 5. The metering console calibration exhibited no data outside the acceptable ranges presented in USEPA Method 5.

The digital pyrometer in the Nutech metering consoles were calibrated using a NIST traceable Omega<sup>®</sup> Model CL 23A temperature calibrator.

Appendix 7 presents test equipment quality assurance data ( $NO_2 - NO$  conversion efficiency test data, instrument calibration and system bias check records, calibration gas and gas divider certifications, interference test results, meter box calibration records, Pitot tube calibration records, and stratification checks).

#### 6.0 <u>RESULTS</u>

#### 6.1 Test Results and Allowable Emission Limits

Engine operating data and air pollutant emission measurement results for each one-hour test period are presented in Tables 6.1 through 6.3.

Energy Developments Lansing, LLC – Wood Street Generating Station M Air Emission Test Report

March 26, 2019 Page 11

The measured air pollutant concentrations and emission rates for Engine Nos. 5 – 7 are less than the allowable limits specified in Permit to Install No. MI-ROP-N5997-2013 for Emission Unit Nos. EUICEENGINE1-S1 through EUICEENGINE3-S1:

- 4.92 lb/hr and 1.0 g/bhp-hr for NO<sub>X</sub>;
- 16.23 lb/hr and 3.3 g/bhp-hr for CO; and
- 1.0 g/bhp-hr for VOC.

#### 6.2 Variations from Normal Sampling Procedures or Operating Conditions

The testing for all pollutants was performed in accordance with USEPA methods and the approved test protocol. The engine-generator sets were operated within 10% of maximum output (1,600 kW generator output) and no variations from normal operating conditions occurred during the engine test periods.

During Test No. 3 performed on Engine No. 5, the braided line portion of the heated Teflon sample line froze due to below freezing weather conditions. ICT personnel paused the test to thaw out the braided line portion of the heated Teflon sample line each time it froze, and resumed the test once the sampling system had sufficient flow. Mr. Tom Gasloli of the MDEQ-AQD observed and agreed with this procedure.

Energy Developments Lansing, LLC – Wood Street Generating Station Air Emission Test Report

March 26, 2019 Page 12

.

.

₹,575°°

a minika sana sa

Table 6.1	Measured exhaust gas conditions and NO <sub>x</sub> , CO, and VOC air pollutant emission
	rates for Engine No. 5 (EUICEENGINE1-S1)

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Test No.	1	2	3	
Test date	3/5/19	3/5/19	3/5/19	Three Test
Test period (24-hr clock)	0825-0925	0946-1046	1106-1306	Average
Fuel flowrate (lb/hr)	2,297	2,345	2,328	2,323
Generator output (kW)	1,608	1,608	1,604	1,607
Engine output (bhp)	2,244	2,244	2,238	2,242
LFG methane content (%)	52.8	53.0	53.1	52.9
LFG heat content (Btu/scf)	480	482	483	481
Exhaust Gas Composition			<i></i>	
CO <sub>2</sub> content (% vol)	10.7	10.7	10.7	10.7
O <sub>2</sub> content (% vol)	9.12	9.14	9.13 .	9.13
Moisture (% vol)	9.9	11.3	11.6	10.9
Experiet area to parameters $(^{0}E)$	910	000	974	821
Exhaust gas flowrete (deefm)	4 580	1 103	4 631	1 571
Exhaust gas flowrate (uscilli)	4,505	4,490 5 064	5 241	т,071 Б 130
Exhaust gas nowrate (sciff)	5,092	5,004	5,241	0,102
Nitrogen Oxides				
NO <sub>x</sub> conc. (ppmvd)	58,0	54.4	54.6	55.7
NO <sub>x</sub> emissions (lb/hr)	1.91	1.75	1.81	1.82
Permitted emissions (lb/hr)	-	-	-	4.92
NO <sub>x</sub> emissions (g/bhp*hr)	0.39	0.35	0.37	0.37
Permitted emissions (g/bhp*hr)		-	-	1.0
<u>Carbon Monoxide</u>				
CO conc. (ppmvd)	757	749	751	752
CO emissions (lb/hr)	15.2	14.7	15.2	15.0
Permitted emissions (lb/hr)	-	-	-	16.23
CO emissions (g/bhp*hr)	3.06	2.97	3.08	3.04
Permitted emissions (g/bhp*hr)	-	-	-	3.30
Volatile Organic Compounds	22.0	00 F	20.0	00 E
VOC conc. (ppmV)	20.2	<u>∡</u> 0.0	20.0 0.21	20.0
VUC emissions (g/pnp"nr)	0.20	0.20	U.2 I	1.0
Permitted emissions (g/bhp"hr)	-	-		1.0

Energy Developments Lansing, LLC – Wood Street Generating Station March 26, 2019 Air Emission Test Report Page 13

W. C. Colonel

•

-

-2

Test No.	1	2	3	
Test date	3/5/19	3/5/19	3/5/19	Three Test
Test period (24-hr clock)	1343-1443	1500-1600	<u>1617-1717</u>	Average
Fuel flowrate (lb/hr)	2,266	2,269	2,278	2,271
Generator output (kW)	1,605	1,607	1,604	1,605
Engine output (bhp)	2,239	2,242	2,238	2,240
LFG methane content (%)	53.2	53.1	53.1	53.1
LFG heat content (Btu/scf)	484	483	483	483
Exhaust Gas Composition				· ·
CO <sub>2</sub> content (% vol)	11.1	11.1	11.1	11.1
$O_2$ content (% vol)	8.63	8.66	8.68	8.66
Moisture (% vol)	10.8	10.3	11.0	10.7
	1010	1010		
Exhaust gas temperature (°F)	842	842	842	842
Exhaust gas flowrate (dscfm)	4 345	4 398	4 226	4 323
Exhaust gas flowrate (scfm)	4 873	4 900	4 750	4 841
Exhaust gas now ate (sonn)	4,070	4,000	-,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	-,0+1
Nitrogen Ovides				
NO <sub>2</sub> conc. (ppm/d)	79.6	81.8	81 5	90 N
NO <sub>2</sub> emissions (lb/br)	2 48	2 58	2 47	2.51
Permitted emissions (lb/hr)	2.40	2.50	<u> </u>	1 92
NO., omissions (a/hhp*hr)	0.50	0.52	0.50	0.51
Bormitted omissions (g/bhp*hr)	0.50	0.52	0.00	1.0
Permitted emissions (group m)	-	-	-	1.0
Carbon Manavida				
	704	710		720
CO conc. (ppriva)	124	120	111	120
CO emissions (ib/m)	13.7	13.0	13.2	10.0
	-	-	-	16.23
CO emissions (g/bnp^nr)	2.78	2.79	2.68	2.75
Permitted emissions (g/bhp*hr)	-	-	-	3.30
Volatile Organic Compounds	60 d	~~~~	oo 7	
VOC conc. (ppmv)	22.1	22.9	22.7	22.6
VOC emissions (g/bhp*hr)	0.15	0.16	0.15	0.15
Permitted emissions (g/bhp*hr)	-	-	-	1.0

Table 6.2 Measured exhaust gas conditions and NO<sub>x</sub>, CO, and VOC air pollutant emission rates for Engine No. 6 (EUICEENGINE2-S1)

Energy Developments Lansing, LLC – Wood Street Generating Station Ma Air Emission Test Report

March 26, 2019 Page 14

A States

Test No.	1	2	3	
Test date	3/6/19	3/6/19	3/6/19	Three Test
Test period (24-hr clock)	0717-0817	0833-0933	0949-1049	Average
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Fuel flowrate (lb/hr)	2,342	2,341	2,342	2,342
Generator output (kW)	1,608	1,604	1,615	1,609
Engine output (bhp)	2,243	2,238	2,253	2,245
LFG methane content (%)	53.0	53.0	53.0	53.0
LFG heat content (Btu/scf)	482	482	482	482
Exhaust Gas Composition				
CO <sub>2</sub> content (% vol)	11.0	11.0	11.0	11.0
$O_2$ content (% vol)	8.80	8.79	8.78	8.79
Moisture (% vol)	12.7	11.0	11.0	11.6
Exhaust gas temperature (°F)	846	848	850	848
Exhaust gas flowrate (dscfm)	4.345	4.398	4.226	4.323
Exhaust gas flowrate (scfm)	4.873	4,900	4,750	4.841
	- <b>j</b>	.,	.,	.,
Nitrogen Oxides				
NO <sub>x</sub> conc. (ppmvd)	59.5	57.8	59.3	58.9
NO <sub>x</sub> emissions (lb/hr)	1.85	1.82	1.80	1.82
Permitted emissions (lb/hr)	_	_	_	4.92
NO <sub>x</sub> emissions (a/bhp*hr)	0.37	0.37	0.36	0.37
Permitted emissions (a/bhp*hr)	-		, •	1.0
(g)				
Carbon Monoxide				
CO conc. (ppmvd)	729	731	734	731
CO emissions (lb/hr)	13.8	14.0	13.5	13.8
Permitted emissions (lb/hr)	_	_	_	16.23
CO emissions (g/bhp*hr)	2.80	2.84	2.73	2.79
Permitted emissions (g/bhp*hr)			-	3.30
Volatile Organic Compounds				
VOC conc. (ppmv)	22.2	22.7	22.8	22.6
VOC emissions (g/bhp*hr)	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15
Permitted emissions (g/bhp*hr)	-	=	-	1.0

Table 6.3 Measured exhaust gas conditions and NO<sub>x</sub>, CO and VOC air pollutant emission rates for Engine No. 7 (EUICEENGINE3-S1)

-secondara and

.

.

.

#### APPENDIX 1

.

- Figure 1-A Process Flow Diagram
- Figure 1-B IC Engine Nos. 5 7 Sample Port Diagram



Impact Compliance & Testing, Inc.

