

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
AIR QUALITY DIVISION
ACTIVITY REPORT: Self Initiated Inspection

N620539439

FACILITY: Enervest, NORTH CHARLTON 31		SRN / ID: N6205
LOCATION: SE NE NW SEC 31 T31N R01W, CHARLTON TWP		DISTRICT: Gaylord
CITY: CHARLTON TWP		COUNTY: OTSEGO
CONTACT:		ACTIVITY DATE: 04/14/2017
STAFF: Bill Rogers	COMPLIANCE STATUS: Compliance	SOURCE CLASS: SM OPT OUT
SUBJECT: Inspection in response to a permit void request.		
RESOLVED COMPLAINTS:		

On April 14, 2016, I checked the North Charlton 31 facility in response to a request from Enervest to void its permit, PI 30-97C.

In my opinion voiding the permit is appropriate, if the company wishes to do so:

- PI 30-97C is for a compressor engine and a glycol dehydrator.
- The engine and its shed have been removed.
- The dehydrator has not been removed. It was not operating at the time of my inspection. Presumably it could operate. However, it is a dehydrator at a facility that processes only Antrim formation gas, and is therefore exempt from the requirement to obtain a Permit to Install under an exemption, Rule 288(b)(ii).

The dehydrator is, however, still subject to Federal MACT standards. If Enervest should operate this dehydrator at this site they would still be required to show exemption from, or compliance with, the emission control requirements of the National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants, 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart HH.

Comments:

When I arrived on site I saw that the compressor engine and its shed were gone, except for the concrete slab. The facility still contained the following:

One small shed to the side of the facility site, perhaps 15 feet by 15, which at one time contained a small (automotive engine sized) booster compressor. The shed still had pipes and what appeared to be small pressure vessels inside, but there was no engine running.

One 400 barrel sized tank labeled brine tank, one smaller sized tank labeled "combustible," inside a berm.

One glycol dehydrator with "Wenco Flame Arrested Burner" rated at 75,000 BTU/hr according to its builder's plate. The dehydrator was silent and cold to the touch, so it could not have been operating at the time of the inspection.

There were no stained soils or other evidence of recent spills or leaks.

I concluded that voiding the permit is appropriate. I will advise Permit Section. I will also advise Enervest that they will still have to keep the records they have been keeping to show compliance with MACT HH, if they decide to operate the dehydrator at this site.

NAME William J Rogers L.

DATE 4/20/17

SUPERVISOR SN