DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY AIR QUALITY DIVISION ACTIVITY REPORT: On-site Inspection

N720271038	•	
FACILITY: Tribar Technologies Inc (Plant 3)		SRN / ID: N7202
LOCATION: 29883 Beck Road, WIXOM		DISTRICT: Warren
CITY: WIXOM		COUNTY: OAKLAND
CONTACT: Alexandria Muench, Environmental Manager		ACTIVITY DATE: 12/12/2023
STAFF: Mark Dziadosz	COMPLIANCE STATUS: Non Compliance	SOURCE CLASS: SM OPT OUT
SUBJECT: FY 24 inspection		
RESOLVED COMPLAINTS:		

On Tuesday December 12, 2023, I, Michigan Department of Environment Great Lakes and Energy, Air Quality Division staff Mark Dziadosz, conducted an announced scheduled inspection of Tribar Plant 3, Inc (N7202), located at 29895 Beck Road, Wixom, MI 48393. The purpose of this inspection was to determine the facility's compliance with the Federal Clean Air Act Part 55, Air Pollution Control, of the Natural Resources and Environmental Protection Act of 1994, PA 451, as amended, Michigan's Air Pollution Control Rules, and Permit to Install (PTI) No. 243-02.

I arrived at the facility at 9:00 AM and met with Alex Muench, Environmental Manager. Also present was Scott Venman of Barr Engineering, Jack Gifford, and Tim Wakefield, Operations Manager. Prior to the inspection, records were requested electronically. Upon arrival, Alex and I reviewed the records and discussed operations. I was then taken on a tour of the facility.

Tribar Plant 3, Inc is a plastic parts coating company. Plant #3 operates 20 hours a day, Monday through Friday. This plant has approximately 40 employees. The main process that takes place at the facility is an automatic coating line that uses robotic arms to paint the plastic parts. The plastic parts (automobile and non-automobile parts) coating line is equipped with a non-fugitive enclosure and controlled by a zeolite concentrator and a catalytic oxidizer. Inside the enclosure is waterwash system which is essentially a wall of flowing water that captures any coatings that do not make it onto the part and flows down and out of the enclosure. This water is then treated before being released into the sanitary sewer. The coating line consists of a prime booth, two topcoat (basecoat/clearcoat) booths, two flash off tunnels, and a natural gas fired bake oven. Also included in the emission unit are purge and cleanup activities.

Facility Inspection

I was shown the coating storage area. The coatings appeared to be properly and satisfactorily stored in a well-ventilated room. Next, I was shown the coating line. Most of the painting is done by robots inside the non-fugitive enclosure, but sometimes manual painting or maintenance on the robots is done. The non-fugitive enclosure's pressure difference appeared to be operational. The pressure gauges showed that the enclosure was at a lower pressure than the general plant atmosphere. The waterwash system appeared to be functioning as well. The final part of the inspection was to check the zeolite concentrator and catalytic oxidizer. The temperature of the oxidizer at the time of inspection was 702 °F. The temperature of the zeolite concentrator was approximately 360 °F.

Compliance

Any documents provided by Tribar Plant 3 can be found in: S:\Air Quality Division\Staff\Mark Dziadosz\N7202 Tribar Plant 3 FY24 Inspection or the facility plant file.

Special Conditions PTI No. 243-02

1.1 A VOC emission limit for EU-COATINGLINE of 19.4 tons/year. In the spreadsheets received, a control efficiency of 97.5% was used. However, due to the malfunction of the concentrator in February through April 2022, a revised calculation spreadsheet was requested during the inspection in RY 2022 and again in 2023. The revised spreadsheet was received on 1/31/2024. The facility's VOC emissions from December 2022 to November 2023 was 4.21 tons. From December 2022 to February 2023, the rolling average was 14.31 tons, this includes a 0% control efficiency from March 24, 2022, until April 10, 2022, due to the concentrator malfunctioning. A 40% destruction efficiency was used in the calculations during periods of concentrator malfunction, per EPA guidance.

1.2 All waste coatings, thinners, catalysts, cleanup solvents, and purge solvents shall be captured and stored in closed containers and shall be disposed of in an acceptable manner in compliance with all applicable rules and regulations. All waste VOC containing products appear to be properly captured and disposed of using waste treatment companies. ERG, Webb, and US Ecology transport the hazardous waste.

1.3 The facility shall not operate EU-COATINGLINE unless the approved preventative maintenance plan, or an alternate plan approved by the AQD, is implemented and maintained. The facility has a preventative maintenance plan that has been implemented since September 2004, a copy is within the AQD records. The facility appears to be following and abiding by the requirements of the plan.

Equipment

1.4 The facility shall not operate any spray booth portion of EU-COATINGLINE unless its respective waterwash particulate control system is installed and operating in a satisfactory manner. During the inspection the facility's waterwash system appeared to be installed and operating properly. 1.5 The facility shall equip and maintain each spray booth portion of EU-COATINGLINE with high volume low pressure (HVLP) applicators or equivalent technology with comparable transfer efficiency. For HVLP applicators, the facility shall keep test caps available for pressure testing. Tribar confirmed that each spray applicator is HVLP.

1.6 The facility shall not operate any portion of EU-COATINGLINE unless the non-fugitive enclosure is installed, maintained and operated in a satisfactory manner. Satisfactory operation requires that the non-fugitive enclosure is operating at a pressure lower than all adjacent areas, so that air flows into the non-fugitive enclosure through all natural draft openings (NDOs). NDO is defined as any opening that is not connected to a duct in which a fan or blower is installed. During my inspection, the non-fugitive enclosure appeared to be installed and operating properly. The facility has pressure monitors installed to ensure that the pressure difference between the enclosure and the plant is maintained and correct.

1.7 The facility shall not operate any portion of EU-COATINGLINE unless the zeolite concentrator and the catalytic oxidizer are both installed, maintained and operated in a satisfactory manner. Satisfactory operation of the zeolite concentrator and the catalytic oxidizer includes a minimum overall VOC control efficiency (combined adsorption and destruction efficiency) of 90.25 percent (by weight), a minimum catalyst bed inlet temperature of 550°F or the temperature documented during the most recent acceptable compliance test (whichever is greater), and a maximum space velocity in the catalytic oxidizer of 40,125 inverse hours. During the stack test, the minimum catalyst bed temperature was 699°F. The combined adsorption of the zeolite concentrator and the destruction efficiency of the catalytic oxidizer were determined during compliance testing in June 2004 as 97.7% and 98.8% respectively.

On February 28, 2022, the AQD was notified the catalyst inlet/outlet temperature differential on EUCOATINGLINE dropped below acceptable monitoring levels. Originally, repairs were scheduled to be completed in March 2022, however, the repairs were not competed until April 10, 2022. I notified Travis Drewery and Ryan O'Keefe on March 24, 2022, via e-mail, that it was a Violation of PTI #243-02 to continue operating EUCOATINGLINE without proper operation of the zeolite concentrator and catalytic oxidizer. A Violation Notice was not sent since the issue was caught internally by Tribar. However, the records indicate a 97.7% adsorption efficiency was used throughout the March 2022 recordkeeping even though the control was not working properly. A revised calculation spreadsheet was requested and received on January 31, 2024.

Starting in February 2023 and continuing through December 2023 (the end of the time period reviewed) the Munters Temperature Check Sheets show a

low temperature differential between the catalyst bed inlet and outlet (< 20 ° F, sometimes negative). During the stack test on 6/16/2004, the temperature differential was approximately 75°F. During routine operation, the catalyst bed outlet temperature should be 50°F to 200°F higher than the inlet temperature, because the oxidation reactions are exothermic. The low temperature differential indicates an issue with the catalyst. According to Tribar the catalyst and concentrator are serviced every year in December. A VN will be issued due to the catalytic oxidizer not being operated in a satisfactory manner.

Testing

1.8 The VOC content, water content, and density of any coatings, thinners, catalysts, cleanup solvents, and purge solvents as applied and as received shall be determined using federal Reference Test Method 24. Upon prior approval by the AQD District Supervisor, the VOC content may be determined from manufacturer's formulation data. If the Method 24 and the formulation values should differ, then the Method 24 results shall be used to determine compliance. The facility follows these guidelines when determining the VOC content of the products they use. The facility was told to perform Method 24 analysis on the most used paint within 6 months unless they can provide an up-to-date Method 24 analysis.

1.9 Within 180 days after commencement of trial operation, verification of the capture efficiency of the non-fugitive enclosure and the overall VOC control efficiency (combined adsorption and destruction efficiency) of the zeolite concentrator and the catalytic oxidizer, by testing at owner's expense, in accordance with Department requirements is required. No less than 60 days prior to testing, a complete test plan shall be submitted to the AQD. The final plan must be approved by the AQD prior to testing. Verification of adsorption efficiency and destruction efficiency includes the submittal of a complete report of the test results to the AQD within 60 days following the last date of the test. The combined adsorption of the zeolite concentrator and the destruction efficiency catalytic oxidizer were determined during compliance testing in June 2004 as 97.7% and 98.8% respectively. The capture efficiency of the non-fugitive enclosure was determined to be 100%.

Monitoring

1.10 The facility shall install, calibrate, maintain and operate in a satisfactory manner a temperature monitoring device at the inlet to and the outlet from the catalyst bed of the catalytic oxidizer to monitor and record the temperature on a continuous basis. The catalytic oxidizer was equipped with a temperature monitor device that appeared to be operating properly. The inlet temperature at the time of inspection was approximately 702 °F and the outlet was approximately 706 °F.

1.11 The facility shall develop a periodic monitoring plan for the carbon adsorption unit or Zeolite concentrator consisting of appropriate monitoring data determined during the initial compliance testing. The facility has a satisfactory operation and maintenance plan for the zeolite concentrator. The facility monitors the inlet and outlet temperatures of the concentrator approximately every 4 hours.

1.12 During the initial performance test and semi-annually thereafter, the facility shall verify that the direction of air flow at each natural draft opening (NDO) of the non-fugitive enclosure for EU-COATINGLINE is into the nonfugitive enclosure. The verification of the direction of air flow at the NDOs shall be conducted using the smoke tube test method, or an alternate method. The facility shall submit a notice of the anticipated test date to the District Office no later than two weeks prior to the test date, and a complete stack test report shall be submitted to the District Supervisor within 30 days after the completion of the testing. All test methods, plans, and procedures shall be approved by the AQD prior to testing. After two consecutive tests demonstrate that the direction of air flow at all NDOs is into the non-fugitive enclosure, the facility may request that the monitoring schedule be revised to a less frequent time period as approved by the District Supervisor. Compliance test results show that the direction of the air flow at the NDOs were into the booths. Daily air flow readings are done by the paint techs. The readings were done electronically; however, the facility will use paper copies to document the daily air flow readings. The daily airflow readings reflect the fact that the airflows are into the booths.

Recordkeeping / Reporting / Notification

1.13 The facility shall maintain a current listing from the manufacturer of the chemical composition of each coatings, thinners, catalysts, cleanup solvents, and purge solvents, including the weight percent of each component. The data may consist of Material Safety Data Sheets, manufacturer's formulation data, or both. The facility maintains the SDS for the coatings that are used in the facility in electronic format. Due to the large number of coatings, I only reviewed the 5 most used coatings and thinners. The SDS for these coatings contains the required information.

1.14 The facility shall keep the following information on a monthly basis for EU-COATINGLINE:

a) Gallons (with water) of each coating, thinner, and catalyst used.

b) VOC content (with water) of each coating, thinner, and catalyst used.

c) VOC mass emission calculations determining the monthly emission rate in tons per calendar month.

d) VOC mass emission calculations determining the annual emission rate in tons per 12-month rolling time period as determined at the end of each

calendar month. e) Hours of operation.

The facility keeps records of the information stated above.

1.15 The facility shall keep the following information on a monthly basis for the use of purge and clean-up solvents associated with EU-COATINGLINE:

a) Gallons of each solvent used and reclaimed.

b) VOC content, in pounds per gallon, of each solvent used.

c) VOC mass emission calculations determining the monthly emission rate in tons per calendar month.

d) VOC mass emission calculations determining the annual emission rate in tons per 12-month rolling time period as determined at the end of each calendar month.

The facility keeps records of the information stated above.

1.16 The facility shall keep records of the inlet temperature to and the outlet temperature from the catalyst bed the catalytic oxidizer. On a monthly basis, the facility shall review these temperature records and prepare a list showing the date, time, and duration of all temperature deviations. If the temperature falls below 550°F or the temperature documented during the most recent acceptable compliance test (whichever is greater), a deviation is deemed to have occurred. The facility monitors and records the temperatures of the oxidizer daily. The minimum observed temperature during the compliance test was 684°F. A catalyst inlet temperature of 683°F was observed on 5/12/23 during the first hour of operation. A VN will not be issued.

1.17 The facility shall keep records of all verifications of the direction of air flow at the non-fugitive enclosure natural draft openings. The facility keeps daily air flow readings records.

1.18 The exhaust gases shall be discharged unobstructed vertically upwards to the ambient air. The stack SVCONC must have parameters of 36 in max diameter, and at minimum 60 ft above ground level. The stack SVCATOX must have parameters of 30 in max diameter, and at minimum 60 ft above ground level. Stack parameters not confirmed during this inspection, but stacks appeared to be discharging unobstructed vertically.

Conclusion

Based on the information gathered during the inspection, Tribar Plant 3 appears to be operating out of compliance with the Federal Clean Air Act; Article II, Part 55, Air Pollution Control of Natural Resources and

Environmental Protection Act, 1994 Public Act 451, as amended, and PTI No. 243-02. Specifically, per SC 1.7, the catalytic oxidizer, known as the control device, is not being operated in a satisfactory manner due to the catalyst bed inlet/outlet temperature differential being too low.

NAME Marga

DATE 03/12/2024 SUPERVISOR Joyce A