### EMISSION COMPLIANCE TEST FOR THE CATERPILLAR, G3516B, UNIT #EUGENERATOR2, SERIAL #PBR00192 PREPARED FOR MICHIGAN TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY AT THE MICHIGAN TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY CAMPUS HOUGHTON, MICHIGAN SEPTEMBER 7, 2023

Prepared and Reviewed by:

Darin Grimes Sr. Testing Solutions Specialist

1, Waht Mondel

Kaleb Dodd Staff Technician certify that this testing was conducted and this report was created in conformance with the requirements of ASTM D7036

Dany Piercey

Gary Piercey Report Writer (this page intentionally left blank)

#### **Table of Contents**

1.0	INTRODUCTION	
1.1	TEST PURPOSE AND OBJECTIVES	
1.2	SUMMARY OF TEST PROGRAM	
1.2	.1 Participating Organizations	
1.2	.2 Industry	
1.2	.3 Air Permit and Federal Requirements	
1.2	.4 Plant Location	
1.2		
1.2		
1.2	.8 Date of Emission Test	
1.2		
1.3	KEY PERSONNEL	
2.0	SUMMARY OF TEST RESULTS	
	SOURCE OPERATION	
3.1	PROCESS DESCRIPTION	
3.2	SAMPLING LOCATION	
4.0	SAMPLING AND ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES	
4.1	TEST METHODS	
4.2	INSTRUMENT CONFIGURATION AND OPERATIONS FOR GAS ANALYSIS	

## APPENDICES

Appendix A	Test Results and Calculations	

- Appendix B Emission Data Records
- Appendix C Calibration Gas Certifications
- Appendix D Quality Assurance and Quality Control Data

#### Emissions Compliance Test Caterpillar, G3516B, Unit #EUGENERATOR2, Serial #PBR00192 Michigan Technical University Michigan Technical University Campus Houghton, Michigan September 7, 2023

#### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

Air Hygiene International, Inc. (Air Hygiene) has completed the Emissions Compliance Test for carbon monoxide (CO) and oxygen (O<sub>2</sub>) from the exhaust of the Caterpillar, G3516B, Unit #EUGENERATOR2, Serial #PBR00192 for Michigan Technical University at the Michigan Technical University Campus in Houghton, Michigan. This report details the background, results, process description, and the sampling/analysis methodology of the stack sampling survey conducted on September 7, 2023.

#### 1.1 TEST PURPOSE AND OBJECTIVES

The purpose of the test was to conduct a compliance emission test to document levels of selected pollutants with the unit operating at the maximum rated capacity, or as near as practicable. The information will be used to confirm compliance with the Michigan Department of Environment, Great Lakes, & Energy Permit (Michigan EGLE), United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), and 40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 63 Subpart ZZZZ requirements. The specific objective was to determine the emission concentration of CO and O<sub>2</sub> from the exhaust of Michigan Technical University's Caterpillar, G3516B, Unit #EUGENERATOR2, Serial #PBR00192.

#### 1.2 SUMMARY OF TEST PROGRAM

The following list details pertinent information related to this specific project:

- 1.2.1 Participating Organizations
  - Michigan Department of Environment, Great Lakes, & Energy Permit (Michigan EGLE)
  - Michigan Technical University
  - Air Hygiene
- 1.2.2 Industry
  - Natural Gas Transport and Processing
- 1.2.3 Air Permit and Federal Requirements
  - Permit to Install: 91-04A
  - 40 CFR 63 Subpart ZZZZ
- 1.2.4 Plant Location
  - Michigan Technical University Campus in Houghton, Michigan
    1400 Townsend Dr, Houghton, MI 49931
- 1.2.5 Equipment Tested
  - Caterpillar, G3516B, Unit #EUGENERATOR2, Serial #PBR00192
  - End of Test Engine Run Time Hours: 828

- 1.2.6 Emission Points
  - Exhaust from the Caterpillar, G3516B, Unit #EUGENERATOR2, Serial #PBR00192
  - For all gases, one sample point in the exhaust duct from the Caterpillar, G3516B, Unit #EUGENERATOR2, Serial #PBR00192
- 1.2.7 Emission Parameters Measured
  - CO
  - O<sub>2</sub>
- 1.2.8 Date of Emission Test

• September 7, 2023

- 1.2.9 Federal and State Certifications
  - Stack Testing Accreditation Council AETB Certificate No. 3796.02
  - International Standard ISO/IEC 17025:2005 Certificate No. 3796.01

#### 1.3 KEY PERSONNEL

Michigan Technical University:	Dave Krings (dwkrings@mtu.edu)	906-487-2829
Air Hygiene:	Kaleb Dodd (kdodd@airhygiene.com)	918-307-8865
Air Hygiene:	Trevor Thompson	918-307-8865

#### 2.0 SUMMARY OF TEST RESULTS

Results from the sampling conducted on Michigan Technical University's Caterpillar, G3516B, Unit #EUGENERATOR2, Serial #PBR00192 located at the Michigan Technical University Campus on September 7, 2023 are summarized in the following table and relate only to the items tested.

The results of all measured pollutant emissions were below the required limits. All testing was performed without any real or apparent errors. All testing was conducted according to the approved testing protocol.

## TABLE 2.1: ENGINE EMISSIONS REPORT MICHIGAN TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY CATERPILLAR , 3516B, UNIT #EU GENERATOR 2, SERIAL #PBR00192

Test Period:		Qtr 3 - 2023		Manufacturing		Air Permit Number:	91-04A
Location	ocation: Michigan Technical University campus or Rebuild Date		Unit Number:	EU GENERATOR			
Date:		September 7, 2023		03/03/06		Suction Pressure (psi):	-
Project N	umber:	mtu-23-houghton.mi-eng#1		_		Discharge Pressure (psi):	
Engine M	anufacturer:	caterpillar 3516B		Federal		Stack Exhaust Temperature (°F):	-
Engine M	odel:			Regulatory		Rated Horsepower (hpr):	2,250
Engine S	erial Number:	PBR00192		Citation		Brake Horsepower (bhp):	2,250
Analyzer	Manufacturers:	TECO(CO), SERV(O2)		Subpart ZZZZ		Engine Fuel Flow (SCFH):	
Analyzer	Model Numbers:	48 series, 1440				Specific Gravity:	
Date Ana	lyzers Calibrated:	September 7, 2023		§63.6620		Fuel Heating Value [HHV] (Btu/SCF):	
Test Res	ults and Calculations:	Appendix A		]		BSFC (Btu/hp*hr):	
Emission Data Records: Calibration Gas Certifications: Quality Assurance and QC Data: Fuel Analysis Records:		Appendix B				Annual Hours Allowed to Operate:	8,760
		Appendix C		]		Engine Speed (rpm):	1,800
		Appendix D		1		Air Manifold Temp (°F):	-
		Appendix E		]		Air Manifold Pressure (psi):	
Ambient Temperature (°F):		53.0		1		End of Test (operating hours):	828
Barometric Pressure (in. Hg):		29.41				Available Horsepower (hpa):	2,250
Relative I	Humidity (%):	85				Rated Engine Speed (rpm):	1,800
		Emission Test Result	ts			Rated Manifold Pressure (in. Hg (abs)):	
F	Pollutant (units)	Stack Test Results	State Limits	Federal Limits	Passing	Engine Load (%):	100
O <sub>2</sub>	(%)	9.84				Differential Pressure (in H <sub>2</sub> O):	-
CO	(ppmvd)	13.20				-Brake horsepower based on available horsepow	ver multiplied by
CO	(ppmvd@15%O <sub>2</sub> )	7.05		47.00	YES	load.	
All tes and 19	ting conducted according	to United States Environmental Pr	rotection Agency (E	EPA), Methods: 1,	3a, 10	Tested By: Air Hygiene International, Inc. Tester(s) / Test Unit(s): KD/TT/216	

3

mtu-23-houghton.mi-eng#1\_U2\_rpt

#### 3.0 SOURCE OPERATION

#### 3.1 PROCESS DESCRIPTION

The unit tested was a Caterpillar, G3516B, Unit #EUGENERATOR2, Serial #PBR00192. The engine is rated at 2,250 horsepower. The engine emissions are vented to the atmosphere through an exhaust system extending from the engine. The lean burn engine uses an oxidation catalyst between the engine and the exhaust stack.

#### 3.2 SAMPLING LOCATION

The probe extended at least 0.5 stack diameters from the exit to the atmosphere and at least 2.0 stack diameters from the upstream disturbance. All exhaust samples for gaseous emissions were continuously drawn from the exhaust system at the sample ports from a single point.

#### 4.0 SAMPLING AND ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES

#### 4.1 TEST METHODS

The emission test on the Caterpillar, G3516B, Unit #EUGENERATOR2, Serial #PBR00192 at the Michigan Technical University Campus was performed following United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) methods described by the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). Table 4.1 outlines the specific methods performed on September 7, 2023.

Pollutant or Parameter	Sampling Method	Analysis Method
Sample Point Location	EPA Method 1	Equal Area Method
Oxygen	EPA Method 3A	Paramagnetic Cell
Carbon Monoxide	EPA Method 10	Nondispersive Infrared Analyzer
Stack Flow Rate	EPA Method 19	Dry Oxygen F Factor

TABLE 4.1 SUMMARY OF SAMPLING METHODS

#### 4.2 INSTRUMENT CONFIGURATION AND OPERATIONS FOR GAS ANALYSIS

The sampling and analysis procedures used during these tests conform with the methods outlined in the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Title 40, Part 60, Appendix A, Methods 1, 3A, 10, and 19.

Figure 4.1 depicts the sample system used for the real-time gas analyzer tests. The gas sample was continuously pulled through the probe and transported, via heat-traced Teflon® tubing, to a stainless-steel minimum-contact condenser designed to dry the sample. Transportation of the sample, through Teflon® tubing, continued into the sample manifold within the mobile laboratory via a stainless steel/Teflon® diaphragm pump. From the manifold,

the sample was partitioned to the real-time analyzers through rotameters that controlled the flow rate of the sample.

Figure 4.1 shows that the sample system was also equipped with a separate path through which a calibration gas could be delivered to the probe and back through the entire sampling system. This allowed for convenient performance of system bias checks as required by the testing methods.

All instruments were housed in a climate controlled, trailer-mounted mobile laboratory. Gaseous calibration standards were provided in aluminum cylinders with the concentrations certified by the vendor. EPA Protocol No. 1 was used to determine the cylinder concentrations where applicable (i.e., NOx calibration gases).

Table 4.2 provides a description of the analyzers used for the instrument portion of the tests. All data from the continuous monitoring instruments were recorded on a Logic Beach Portable Data Logging System which retrieves calibrated electronic data from each instrument every one second and reports an average of the collected data every 30 seconds.


Three test runs of approximately 60 minutes each were conducted on the Caterpillar, G3516B, Unit #EUGENERATOR2, Serial #PBR00192 with the unit operating at the maximum rated capacity, or as near as practicable for CO and O<sub>2</sub>.

The stack gas analysis for  $O_2$  and concentrations was performed in accordance with procedures set forth in EPA Method 3A. The  $O_2$  analyzer uses a paramagnetic cell detector.

CO emission concentrations were quantified in accordance with procedures set forth in EPA Method 10. A continuous nondispersive infrared (NDIR) analyzer was used for this purpose.

Parameter	Manufacturer and Model	Range	Sensitivity	Detection Principle
со	THERMO 48 series	User may select up to 10,000 ppm	0.1 ppm	Infrared absorption, gas filter correlation detector, microprocessor-based linearization.
O <sub>2</sub>	SERVOMEX 1440	0-25%	0.1%	Paramagnetic cell, inherently linear.

#### TABLE 4.2 ANALYTICAL INSTRUMENTATION



## APPENDIX A

# TEST RESULTS AND CALCULATIONS