EMISSIONS TEST REPORT

!

for

CARBON MONOXIDE (CO) DESTRUCTION EFFICIENCY 40 CFR Part 63 Subpart ZZZZ

EUENGINE1 and EURICE1-3

DTE-Gas Willow Compressor Station Ypsilanti, Michigan

March 29-April 4, 2023

Prepared By Environmental Management & Safety Ecology, Monitoring, and Remediation Group DTE Corporate Services, LLC 7940 Livernois Ave. G4-S Detroit, MI 48210





CONTENTS

<u>Sect</u>	<u>ion</u>		Page
EXE	CUTIVE	SUMMARY	iv
1.0	INT	RODUCTION	1
2.0	SOL	URCE DESCRIPTION	1
3.0	SAN	MPLING AND ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES	2
3,1	OX	YGEN (USEPA METHOD 3A)	2
	3.1.1	Sampling Method	2
	3.1.2	Sampling Train Calibration	
	3.1.3	Quality Control and Assurance	3
	3.1.4	Data Reduction	
3.2	CAF	RBON MONOXIDE (USEPA METHOD 10)	3
	3.2.1	Sampling Method	
	3.2.2	Sampling Train Calibration	3
	3.2.3	Quality Control and Assurance	4
	3.2.4	Data Reduction	4
4.0	OPI	ERATING PARAMETERS	4
5.0	DIS	CUSSION OF RESULTS	4
6.0	CER	RTIFICATION STATEMENT	

RESULTS TABLES

Table	No. 1	EUENGINE1 (Unit	1100)	Emission	Testing Results
Table	No. 2	EURICE1 (Unit	2300)	Emission	Testing Results
Table	No. 3	EURICE2 (Unit	2200)	Emission	Testing Results
Table	No. 4	EURICE3 (Unit	2100)	Emission	Testing Results



FIGURES

- 1 Sampling Locations EUENGINE1
- 2 Sampling Locations EURICE1-3
- 3 EPA Method 3A/10

APPENDICES

- A EGLE Test Plan and Approval Letter
- B Raw Analyzer Data
- C Equipment and Analyzer Calibration Data
- D Operational Data
- E Example Calculations



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

DTE Energy's Environmental Management and Safety (EM&S), Ecology, Monitoring, and Remediation Group performed emissions testing at the DTE-Gas Willow Compressor Station, located in Ypsilanti, Michigan. The fieldwork performed March 29-April 4, 2023, was conducted to satisfy requirements of the Michigan Renewable Operating Permit MI-ROP-N7421-2022 and 40 CFR Part 63 Subpart ZZZZ. Emission tests were performed on Engine 1100 (EUENGINE1) and Engines 2100-2300 (EURICE1-3) for carbon monoxide (CO). Carbon monoxide (CO-DE) destruction efficiency testing was performed on each engine.

A summary of results of the emissions testing are highlighted below:

CO DE Emissions Test Results Willow Run Compressor Station – EUENGINE1 & EURICE1-3 Ypsilanti, Michigan March 29-April 4, 2023

EURICE1-3	Load (BHp)	BHp (%)	Carbon Monoxide (gram/B-Hp)	Carbon Monoxide (DE)
EUENGINE1	4,580	96.7	0.02	98.7%
EURICE1	2,325	93.0	0.01	99.6%
EURICE2	2,330	9 3.2	0.01	99.5%
EURICE3	4,670	93.4	0.01	99.3%
Permit Limit			2.0	>93%



1.0 INTRODUCTION

DTE Energy's Environmental Management and Safety (EM&S), Ecology, Monitoring, and Remediation Group, performed emissions testing at the DTE-Gas Willow Compressor Station, located in Ypsilanti, Michigan. The fieldwork performed March 29-April 4, 2023, was conducted to satisfy requirements of the Michigan Renewable Operating Permit MI-ROP-N7421-2022 and 40 CFR Part 63 Subpart ZZZZ. Emission tests were performed on EUENGINE1 and EURICE1-3 for carbon monoxide (CO). Carbon monoxide (CO-DE) destruction efficiency testing was performed on each engine.

Testing was performed pursuant to Title 40, *Code of Federal Regulations*, Part 60, Appendix A (40 CFR §60 App. A), Methods 3A and 10.

The fieldwork was performed in accordance with EPA Reference Methods and EMR's Intent to Test¹, Test Plan Submittal. The following EM&S Field Services personnel participated in the testing program: Mr. Mark Westerberg, Senior Environmental Specialist and Mr. Mark Grigereit, Principal Engineer, and Mr. Fred Meinecke, Environmental Specialist. Mr. Westerberg was the project leader. Mr. Andrew Riley with the Air Quality Division of the Michigan Department of Environment, Great Lakes, and Energy (EGLE) approved the Test Plan² and witnessed portions or the testing

2.0 SOURCE DESCRIPTION

The Willow Compressor Station located at 3020 East Michigan Avenue, Ypsilanti, Michigan, employs the use of four natural gas fired reciprocating internal combustion engines, denoted as EUENGINE1 and EURICE1-3 in ROP-N7421-2022. EUENGINE1 is nominally rated at 4,735 HP EURICE1-2 are nominally rated at 2,500 HP. EURICE3 is nominally rated at 5,000 HP. The engines generate line pressure assisting the transmission of natural gas throughout the pipeline transmission system in SE Michigan.

The emissions from each engine are exhausted through a catalyst bed and to the atmosphere through individual exhaust stacks. The composition of the emissions depends both upon the speed of the engine and the torque delivered to the compressor. Ambient atmospheric conditions, as it affects the density of air, limit the speed and torque at which the engines can effectively operate.

¹ EGLE, Test Plan, Submitted March 8, 2023. (Attached-Appendix A)

² EGLE, Approval Letter, Received March 17, 2023. (Attached-Appendix A)



During the emissions testing each engine was operated within 10% of its highest achievable load.

A schematic representation of the engine exhaust and sampling locations are presented in Figures 1 and 2.

3.0 SAMPLING AND ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES

DTE Energy obtained emissions measurements in accordance with procedures specified in the USEPA *Standards of Performance for New Stationary Sources*. The sampling and analytical methods used in the testing program are indicated in the table below

Sampling Method	Parameter	Analysis
USEPA Method 3A	Oxygen	Instrumental Analyzer Method
USEPA Method 10	Carbon Monoxide (CO)	NDIR

3.1 OXYGEN (USEPA METHOD 3A)

3.1.1 Sampling Method

Oxygen (O₂) emissions were evaluated using USEPA Method 3A, "Gas Analysis for Carbon Dioxide, Oxygen, Excess Air, and Dry Molecular Weight (Instrumental Analyzer Method)". The analyzer utilizes a paramagnetic sensor. Testing was performed simultaneously with the gaseous emissions testing.

The EPA Method 3A sampling system (Figure 3) consisted of the following:

- (1) Single-point sampling probe
- (2) Heated Teflon[™] sampling line
- (3) MAK[®] gas conditioner with particulate filter
- (4) Flexible unheated Teflon[™] sampling line
- (5) Servomax 1400 O₂/CO₂ gas analyzer
- (6) Appropriate USEPA Protocol 1 calibration gases
- (7) Data Acquisition System



3.1.2 Sampling Train Calibration

The O_2 analyzer was calibrated according to procedures outlined in USEPA Methods 3A and 7E. Zero, span, and mid-range calibration gases were introduced directly into the analyzer to verify the instruments linearity. A zero and mid-range span gas was then introduced through the entire sampling system to determine sampling system blas at the completion of each test.

3.1.3 Quality Control and Assurance

All sampling and analytical equipment was calibrated according to the guidelines referenced in Methods 3A and 7E. Calibration gases were EPA Protocol 1 gases, and the concentrations were within the acceptable ranges (40-60% mid-range and span) specified in Method 7E. Calibration gas certification sheets are in Appendix C.

3.1.4 Data Reduction

Data collected during the emissions testing was recorded at 10-second intervals and averaged in 1-minute increments. The O_2 emissions were recorded in percent (%). The 1-minute readings collected during the testing can be found in Appendix B.

3.2 CARBON MONOXIDE (USEPA METHOD 10)

3.2.1 Sampling Method

Carbon monoxide (CO) emissions at the catalyst inlet and exhaust on EUENGINE1 and EURICE1-3 were evaluated using USEPA Method 10, "Determination of Carbon Monoxide Emissions from Stationary Sources". The CO analyzer utilizes an NDIR detector. Triplicate 60-minute tests were performed on each EURICE exhaust.

The EPA Method 10 sampling system (Figure 3) consisted of the following:

- (1) Stainless-steel sample probe
- (2) Heated Teflon[™] sampling line
- (3) MAK[®] gas conditioner with particulate filter
- (4) Flexible unheated Teflon[™] sampling line
- (5) TECO 48i NDIR CO gas analyzer
- (6) Appropriate USEPA Protocol 1 calibration gases
- (7) Data Acquisition System.

3.2.2 Sampling Train Calibration

The CO sampling trains were calibrated per procedures outlined in USEPA Method 10. Zero, span, and mid-range calibration gases were introduced directly into the analyzer to verify the instruments linearity. A zero and mid-range span gas was then introduced through the entire sampling system to determine sampling system bias.

3

RECEIVED

APR 25 2023



3.2.3 Quality Control and Assurance

All sampling and analytical equipment was calibrated per the guidelines referenced in Method 10. Calibration gases were EPA Protocol 1 gases, and the concentrations were within the acceptable ranges (40-60% mid-range and span). Calibration gas certification sheets are in Appendix C.

3.2.4 Data Reduction

Data collected during the emissions testing was recorded at 10-second intervals and averaged in 1-minute increments. The CO emissions were recorded in parts per million (ppm). The 1-minute readings collected can be found in Appendix B.

Emissions calculations are based on calculations located in USEPA Method 10, and 19 and can be found in Appendix E. The CO emissions data collected during the testing was calculated as grams per brake horsepower-hour (g/BHp-Hr).

4.0 OPERATING PARAMETERS

For each test period, operators took screenshots of the process collection software. Once at the beginning and once at the end of a test period. Process data includes fuel flow (100scf/hr), catalyst pre and post temperature ($^{\circ}F$), pressure drop across the catalyst ("H₂O), Brake-HP, and torque.

Operational data is in Appendix D.

5.0 DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

The Results of the CO testing for EUENGINE1 and EURICE 1-3 are presented in Tables 1-4. The CO emissions are presented in parts per million (ppm) and grams per brake horsepower-hour (g/Bhp-Hr). Process data presented includes the Unit load in percent (%), as brake horsepower-hour (Brake-Hp), and Heat Input in Million British Thermal Unit per hour (MMBtu/hr) for each test.

The results of the testing indicate that EUENGINE1 and EURICE1-3 meet the emissions limits established in Michigan Renewable Operating Permit MI-ROP-N7421-2022 and 40 CFR Part 63 Subpart ZZZZ.



6.0 **CERTIFICATION STATEMENT**

"I certify that I believe the information provided in this document is true, accurate, and complete. Results of testing are based on the good faith application of sound professional judgment, using techniques, factors, or standards approved by the Local, State, or Federal Governing body, or generally accepted in the trade."

Mr. Mark Grigereit, QSTI

This report prepared by: <u>Mr. Mark Grigerent, QSTI</u> Principal Engineer, Ecology, Monitoring, and Remediation Group **Environmental Management and Safety**

DTE Energy Corporate Services, LLC

This report reviewed by: <u>Mul D Unit</u> Mr. Mark Westerberg, QSTI Senior Env. Specialist, Ecology, Monitoring, and Remediation Group **Environmental Management and Safety** DTE Energy Corporate Services, LLC



RESULTS TABLES



TABLE NO. 1 CO EMISSIONS TEST RESULTS DTE Gas - Willow Run Compressor Station EUEngine1 (Engine 1100) March 29, 2023

Test	TestTime	Unit Load (% of rated HP) ²	Engine Speed (RPM)	Engine Torque (Brake-hp)	Fuel Flow (100 SCFH)	Heat Input (MMBtu/hr)	O ₂ <u>Inlet</u> (%, dry) ¹	O ₂ <u>Outlet</u> (%, dry) ¹	CO <u>iniet</u> (ppmvd @ 15% O ₂)	CO <u>Outlet</u> (ppmvd @ 15% O ₂)	CO <u>Destruction</u>
1 2 3	8:56-9:56 9:12-10:12 10:30-11:30 Three Test Average:	96.4% 97.9% <u>95.9%</u> 96.7%	990 990 <u>991</u> <i>590</i>	4,564 4,635 <u>4,542</u> 4,580	285.1 286.0 <u>281.3</u> 284.1	30.6 30.7 <u>30.2</u> <i>30.5</i>	11.8 11.8 <u>11.8</u> 11.8	11.9 12.0 <u>12.0</u> 11.9	255.7 263.4 <u>265.0</u> 261.4	2.4 3.9 <u>3.6</u> 3.3	99 .1% 98.5% <u>98.6%</u> 98.7%
		Permit Limit :									

¹corrected for analyzer drift as per USEPA Method 7E

² calculated as actual average horse power divided by 4,735(nominal rated horsepower)



TABLE NO. 2 CO EMISSIONS TEST RESULTS DTE Gas - Willow Run Compressor Station EURICE1 (Engine 2300) March 30, 2023

Test	Test Time	Unit Load (% of rated HP) ²	Engine Speed (RPM)	Engine Torque (Brake-hp)	Fuel Flow (100 SCFH)	Heat Input (MM8tu/hr)	O ₂ <u>iniet</u> (%, dry) ¹	O ₂ <u>Outlet</u> (%, dry) ¹	CO <u>Inlet</u> (ppmvd @ 15% O ₂)	CO <u>Outlet</u> (ppmvd @ 15% 0-)	CO Destruction
1	9:25-10:25	92%	1000	2,307	145.5	15.6	11.5	11.2	151.1	0.7	99.6%
2	10:36-11:36	93%	1000	2,317	147.0	15.8	11.6	11.3	158.7	0.6	99.6%
3	11:48-12:48	<u>94%</u>	<u>1001</u>	<u>2,350</u>	<u>148.5</u>	<u>16.0</u>	<u>11.5</u>	<u>11.3</u>	<u>152.7</u>	<u>0.7</u>	<u>99.6%</u>
	Three Test Average:	93%	1001	2,325	147.0	15.8	11.5	11.3	154.1	0.7	99.6%
Permit Limit :										>93%	

.....

⁺corrected for analyzer drift as per USEPA Method 7E

² calculated as actual average horse power divided by 2,500 (nominal rated horsepower)



TABLE NO. 3 CO EMISSIONS TEST RESULTS DTE Gas - Willow Run Compressor Station EURICE2 (Engine 2200) April 4, 2023

Test	Test Time	Unit Load (% of rated HP) ²	Engine Speed (RPM)	Engine Torque (Brake-hp)	Fuel Flow (100 SCFH)	Heat Input (MMBtu/hr)	O ₂ <u>inlet</u> (%, dry) ¹	O ₂ <u>Outlet</u> (%, dry) ¹	CO <u>Inlet</u> (ppmvd @ 15% O ₂)	CO <u>Outlet</u> (ppmvd @ 15% O ₂)	CO Destruction	
1	07:22-08:22	90%	999	2,262	160.0	17.1	11.3	11.3	144.8	0.7	99.5%	
2	8:35-9:35	94%	993	2,349	164.9	17.6	11.3	11.3	141.9	0.8	99.5%	
3	9:53-10:53	<u>95%</u>	<u>992</u>	<u>2,379</u>	<u>167.1</u>	<u>17.9</u>	<u>11.3</u>	<u>11.2</u>	<u>143.3</u>	<u>0.8</u>	<u>99.5%</u>	
	Three Test Average:	93%	995	2,330	164.0	17.5	11.3	11.3	143.3	0.7	99.5%	
						Permit Limit :						

¹corrected for analyzer drift as per USEPA Method 7E

² calculated as actual average horse power divided by 2,500 (nominal rated horsepower)



TABLE NO. 4 CO EMISSIONS TEST RESULTS DTE Gas - Willow Run Compressor Station EURICE3 (Engine 2100) April 3, 2023

Test	Test Time	Unit Load (% of rated HP) ²	Engine Speed (RPM)	Engine Torque (Brake-hp)	Fuel Flow (100 SCFH)	Heat Input (MMBtu/hr)	O ₂ <u>Inlet</u> (%, dry) ¹	O ₂ <u>Outlet</u> (%, dry) ¹	CO <u>inlet</u> (ppmvd @ 15% O ₂)	CO <u>Outlet</u> (ppmvd @ 15% O ₂)	CO <u>Destruction</u>	
1	07:55-08:55	91%	999	4,571	315.7	33.8	11.1	11.1	147.4	1.0	99.3%	
2	9:12-10:12	93%	999	4,647	320.5	34.3	11.2	11.1	149.5	1.0	99.3%	
3	10:29-11:29	<u>96%</u>	<u>999</u>	<u>4,793</u>	<u>329.6</u>	<u>35.2</u>	<u>11.2</u>	<u>11.1</u>	150.7	1.1	<u>99.2%</u>	
	Three Test Average:	93%	999	4,670	321.9	34,4	11.1	11.1	149.2	1.0	99.3%	
						Permît Limit :						

.

a sea a sea a sea da contra con contra pagina contra paga na paga a sea anga sea anga sea sea sea da sea baga b

¹corrected for analyzer drift as per USEPA Method 7E

² calculated as actual average horse power divided by 5,000(nominal rated horsepower)



FIGURES



AIR QUALITY DIVISION







