DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY AIR QUALITY DIVISION ACTIVITY REPORT: On-site Inspection

N796160955		
FACILITY: VCP Michigan - Chester 10		SRN / ID: N7961
LOCATION: SE NW SEC 10 T30N R02W CHESTER 10 CPF, CHESTER TWP		DISTRICT: Cadillac
CITY: CHESTER TWP		COUNTY: OTSEGO
CONTACT: Thomas Darden , President		ACTIVITY DATE: 11/09/2021
STAFF: Rob Dickman	COMPLIANCE STATUS: Compliance	SOURCE CLASS: SM OPT OUT
SUBJECT: Scheduled inspection of this opt out source.		
RESOLVED COMPLAINTS:		

The VCP Michigan, LLC, Chester 10, is a natural gas central processing facility (CPF) located in North Chester Township, Otsego County. This facility is permitted to extract sweet natural gas from low-pressure Antrim formation wells flow to the facility via buried flowlines. Upon reaching the facility, the gas directed through a triethylene glycol dehydrator for moisture removal. Following dehydration, natural gas is directed to a sales pipeline.

I performed an inspection on this source with respect to Permit to Install (PTI) 7-08. The inspection consists of an onsite inspection of equipment and a review of records required by the PTI. The onsite inspection was performed on November 9, 2021. Upon arrival on site for the inspection, no odors were noted downwind and no visible emissions from any point were noted. At the time of this inspection, the facility was not in operation. The compressor engine had been removed along with one of the aboveground storage tanks (AST) mentioned in previous inspections. A glycol dehydrator was on site but appeared to have not operated recently. Also on site was one 400 bbl aboveground storage tank (AST).

Required records for the facility were requested on October 29, 2021. On November 24, 2021 I received notification from Jeremy Lewandowski of ETC, a consulting company representing VCP, that no equipment on this site had operated in the last 12 months and no records have been kept for that time.

The facility can be considered in compliance with their air permitting only because they have not operated. It is recommended that the facility be inspected again next year to determine if any further changes have been made to it that may impact either their air permitting or compliance.

NAME_Roal Sichman

DATE _____ SUPERVISOR_