Consulting and Testing

# AIR EMISSION TEST REPORT

RECEIVED

DEC 2 7 2016

AIR QUALITY DIV.

Title

### AIR EMISSION TEST REPORT FOR THE VERIFICATION OF AIR POLLUTANT EMISSIONS FROM LANDFILL GAS FUELED INTERNAL COMBUSTION ENGINES

Report Date December 15, 2016

Test Date(s) November 29, 2016

Facility Informa	tion
Name Street Address	Sumpter Energy Associates Pine Tree Acres Landfill 36450 29-Mile Rd.
City, County SRN	Lenox Township, Macomb

Facility Permit Information	
Renewable Operating Permit No.	MI-ROP-N8004-2013
Emission Unit ID:	EUICENGINE8 & EUICENGINE9

Testing Contrac	tor
Company	Derenzo Environmental Services
Mailing Address	39395 Schoolcraft Road Livonia, MI 48150
Phone	(734) 464-3880
Project No.	1601020

39395 Schoolcraft Road • Livonia, MI 48150 • (734) 464-3880 • FAX (734) 464-4368 4180 Keller Road, Suite B • Holt, MI 48842 • (517) 268-0043 • FAX (517) 268-0089



RECEIVED

DEC 2 7 2016

AIR QUALITY DIV.

#### RENEWABLE OPERATING PERMIT REPORT CERTIFICATION

MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY AIR QUALITY DIVISION

Authorized by 1994 P.A. 451, as amended. Failure to provide this information may result in civil and/or criminal penalties.

Reports submitted pursuant to R 336.1213 (Rule 213), subrules (3)(c) and/or (4)(c), of Michigan's Renewable Operating Permit (ROP) program must be certified by a responsible official. Additional information regarding the reports and documentation listed below must be kept on file for at least 5 years, as specified in Rule 213(3)(b)(ii), and be made available to the Department of Environmental Quality, Air Quality Division upon request. Source Name Sumpter Energy Associates-Pine Tree Acres Landfill County Macomb Source Address 36600 29 Mile Road City Lenox AQD Source ID (SRN) N8004 ROP No. MI-ROP-N8004-**ROP** Section No. 2013 Please check the appropriate box(es): Annual Compliance Certification (Pursuant to Rule 213(4)(c)) Reporting period (provide inclusive dates): From То 1 During the entire reporting period this source was in compliance with ALL terms and conditions contained in the ROP, each term and condition of which is identified and included by this reference. The method(s) used to determine compliance is/are the method(s) specified in the ROP. 2. During the entire reporting period this source was in compliance with all terms and conditions contained in the ROP, each term and condition of which is identified and included by this reference, EXCEPT for the deviations identified on the enclosed deviation report(s). The method used to determine compliance for each term and condition is the method specified in the ROP. unless otherwise indicated and described on the enclosed deviation report(s). Semi-Annual (or More Frequent) Report Certification (Pursuant to Rule 213(3)(c)) Reporting period (provide inclusive dates): From То 1. During the entire reporting period, ALL monitoring and associated recordkeeping requirements in the ROP were met and no deviations from these requirements or any other terms or conditions occurred. 2. During the entire reporting period, all monitoring and associated recordkeeping requirements in the ROP were met and no deviations from these requirements or any other terms or conditions occurred, EXCEPT for the deviations identified on the enclosed deviation report(s). Other Report Certification Reporting period (provide inclusive dates): From To Additional monitoring reports or other applicable documents required by the ROP are attached as described: Emission verification Test Report for LFG-fired IC engines (EUICENGINE8-9) in MI-ROP-N8004-2013. The testing was conducted in accordance with the Test Plan dated October 24, 2016 and the facility was operated in compliance with the permit conditions or at the maximum routine operating conditions for the facility.

I certify that, based on information and belief formed after reasonable inquiry, the statements and information in this report and the supporting enclosures are true, accurate and complete

Dennis Plaster	Vice President o	f Operations 585-948-8580
Name of Responsible Officien (print or type)	Title	Phone Number
and the		12/15/16
Signature of Responsible Official		∕ Øate

\* Photocopy this form as needed.

Consulting and Testing

# AIR EMISSION TEST REPORT FOR THE VERIFICATION OF AIR POLLUTANT EMISSIONS FROM LANDFILL GAS FUELED INTERNAL COMBUSTION ENGINES

### SUMPTER ENERGY ASSOCIATES, PINE TREE ACRES LANDFILL

# 1.0 INTRODUCTION

Sumpter Energy Associates (SEA) operates two landfill gas (LFG) to energy facilities at the Pine Tree Acres (PTA) Landfill in Lenox Township, Macomb County, Michigan. The two Sumpter Energy facilities, referred to as SEA Phase I and SEA Phase II, have been issued Renewable Operating Permit (ROP) No. MI-ROP-N8004-2013 by the Michigan Department of Environmental Quality – Air Quality Division (MDEQ-AQD).

The SEA Phase II facility consists of (2) Caterpillar (CAT®) Model G3520C LFG-fueled reciprocating internal combustion engines (RICE) and electricity generator sets that are identified in ROP No. MI-ROP-N8004-2013 as Emission Unit ID: EUICENGINE8 and EUICENGINE9 (Flexible Group ID: FGICENGINE2).

Air emission compliance testing was performed pursuant to Special Condition No. V.1. of ROP No. MI-ROP-N8004-3013, which states:

Except as provided in 40 CFR 60.4243(b), the permittee shall conduct an initial performance test for each engine in FGICENGINE2 within one year after startup of the engine and every 8760 hours of operation (as determined through the use of a non-resettable hour meter) or three years, whichever occurs first, to demonstrate compliance with the emission limits in 40 CFR 60.4233(e)...

The compliance testing was performed by Derenzo Environmental Services (DES), a Michiganbased environmental consulting and testing company. DES representatives Tyler Wilson and Blake Beddow performed the field sampling and measurements November 29, 2016.

The exhaust gas sampling and analysis was performed using procedures specified in the Test Plan that was reviewed and approved by the MDEQ-AQD in the November 18, 2016 test plan approval letter. MDEQ-AQD representatives Mr. Mark Dziadosz and Mr. Iranna Konanahalli observed portions of the testing project.

Sumpter Energy Associates, PTA Phase II Air Emission Test Report December 15, 2016 Page 4

Questions regarding this emission test report should be directed to:

Blake Beddow Environmental Consultant Derenzo Environmental Services 39395 Schoolcraft Road Livonia, MI 48150 Ph: (734) 464-3880 bbeddow@derenzo.com Ms. Emily Zambuto Manager of Environmental Programs Aria Energy 2999 Judge Road Oakfield, New York 14125-9771 Ph: (585) 948-8580 ezambuto@ariaenergy.com

Sumpter Energy Associates, PTA Phase II Air Emission Test Report December 15, 2016 Page 5

#### **Report Certification**

This test report was prepared by Derenzo Environmental Services based on field sampling data collected by Derenzo Environmental Services. Facility process data were collected and provided by Sumpter Energy employees or representatives. This test report has been reviewed by Sumpter Energy representatives and approved for submittal to the Michigan Department of Environmental Quality.

I certify that the testing was conducted in accordance with the approved test plan unless otherwise specified in this report. I believe the information provided in this report and its attachments are true, accurate, and complete.

**Report Prepared By:** 

Blake Beddow Environmental Consultant Derenzo Environmental Services

Reviewed By:

Tyler J. Wilson Livonia Office Supervisor Derenzo Environmental Services

I certify that the facility operating conditions were in compliance with permit requirements or were at the maximum routine operating conditions for the facility. Based on information and belief formed after reasonable inquiry, the statements and information in this report are true, accurate and complete.

**Responsible Official Certification:** 

Dennis Plaster Vice President of Operations Aria Energy

Sumpter Energy Associates, PTA Phase II Air Emission Test Report December 15, 2016 Page 6

### 2.0 SOURCE AND SAMPLING LOCATION DESCRIPTION

### 2.1 General Process Description

Landfill gas (LFG) containing methane is generated in the Pine Tree Acres Landfill from the anaerobic decomposition of disposed waste materials. The LFG is collected from both active and capped landfill cells using a system of wells (gas collection system). The collected LFG is transferred to the SEA-PTA LFG to energy facility where it is treated and used as fuel for the two (2) RICE. Each RICE is connected to an electricity generator that produces electricity that is transferred to the local utility.

#### 2.2 Rated Capacities and Air Emission Controls

The CAT® Model No. 3520C RICE generator set has a rated output of 2,242 brake-horsepower (bhp) and the connected generator has a rated electricity output of 1,600 kilowatts (kW). The engine is designed to fire low-pressure, lean fuel mixtures (e.g., LFG) and employs lean-burn technology for efficient fuel combustion and to minimize emissions. The engine is also equipped with an air-to-fuel ratio controller that monitors engine performance parameters and automatically adjusts the air-to-fuel ratio and ignition timing to maintain efficient fuel combustion. Exhaust gas is released directly to atmosphere through a noise muffler and vertical exhaust stack.

The engine/generator sets are not equipped with add-on emission control devices. Air pollutant emissions are minimized through the proper operation of the gas treatment system and efficient fuel combustion in the engines.

### 2.3 Sampling Locations

The RICE exhaust gas is directed through mufflers and is released to the atmosphere through dedicated vertical exhaust stacks with vertical release points. The two (2) CAT® Model 3520C RICE exhaust stacks are identical.

The exhaust stack sampling ports for the CAT® Model 3520C engines (EUICENGINE8 and EUICENGINE9) are located in individual exhaust stacks with an inner diameter of 15.0 inches. Each stack is equipped with two (2) sample ports, opposed 90°, that provide a sampling location 66.0 inches (4.4 duct diameters) upstream and 144.0 inches (9.6 duct diameters) downstream from any flow disturbance and satisfies the USEPA Method 1 criteria for a representative sample location.

Individual traverse points were determined in accordance with USEPA Method 1.

Appendix A provides diagrams of the emission test sampling locations.

Sumpter Energy Associates, PTA Phase II Air Emission Test Report

# 3.0 SUMMARY OF TEST RESULTS AND OPERATING CONDITIONS

# 3.1 **Purpose and Objective of the Tests**

The conditions of ROP No. MI-ROP-N8004-2013 require SEA to test each RICE (EUICENGINE8 and EUICENGINE9) for carbon monoxide (CO), nitrogen oxides (NOx) and volatile organic compounds (VOC) emissions within 180 days after issuance of the ROP (the permit was issued December 9, 2013) and every 8,760 hours of operation. Measurements were performed for each RICE exhaust to determine CO, NO<sub>X</sub> and VOC (as non-methane hydrocarbons (NMHC)) concentrations, diluent gas content (oxygen and carbon dioxide) and volumetric flowrate.

# 3.2 Operating Conditions During the Compliance Tests

The testing was performed while the engine/generator sets were operated within at least 10% of maximum rated capacity of 1,600 kW electricity output. SEA representatives provided kW output data at 15-minute intervals for each test period. The RICE generator kW output ranged between 1,486 and 1,591 kW during the test periods (92% of maximum capacity or greater).

Fuel flowrate (cubic feet per minute) and fuel methane content (%) were also recorded by SEA representatives in 15-minute intervals for each test period. The RICE fuel consumption rate ranged between 517 and 551 scfm and fuel methane content ranged between 51,1 and 52.6% during the test periods. A lower heating value of 910 Btu/scf was used to calculate the LFG heating value (Btu/scf LHV) based on the methane content.

Appendix B provides operating records provided by SEA representatives for the test periods.

Engine output (bhp) cannot be measured directly and was calculated based on the recorded electricity output, the calculated CAT® Model 3520C generator efficiency (95.7%), and the unit conversion factor for kW to horsepower (0.7457 kW/hp).

Engine output (bhp) = Electricity output (kW) / (0.957) / (0.7457 kW/hp)

Table 3.1 presents a summary of the average engine operating conditions during the test periods.

Sumpter Energy Associates, PTA Phase II Air Emission Test Report December 15, 2016 Page 8

# 3.3 Summary of Air Pollutant Sampling Results

The gases exhausted from the two (2) LFG fueled RICE generator sets were each sampled for three (3) one-hour test periods during the compliance testing performed November 29, 2016.

Table 3.2 presents the average measured CO,  $NO_X$  and VOC emission rates for the engines (average of the three test periods for each engine) and applicable emission limits.

Results of the engine performance tests demonstrate compliance with emission limits specified in ROP No. MI-ROP-N8004-2013. Test results for each one hour sampling period are presented in Section 6.0 of this report.

	Gen.	Engine	Fuel	LFG CH <sub>4</sub>	LFG Btu	Exhaust
Emission Unit	Output	Output	Use	Content	Content .	Temp.
	(kW)	(bHp)	(scfm)	(%)	(Btu/scf)	(°F)
EUICENGINE8	1,550	2,172	547	51.6	470	888
EUICENGINE9	1,546	2,167	530	52.2	475	849

 Table 3.1
 Average engine operating conditions during the test periods

 Table 3.2
 Average measured emission rates for each LFG-fueled RICE generator set (three-test average)

-	CO Emis	ssion Rates	NOx Emission Rates		VOC Emission Rates	
Emission Unit	(lb/hr)	(g/bhp-hr)	(1b/hr)	(g/bhp-hr)	(lb/hr)	(g/bhp-hr)
EUICENGINE8	13.9	2.89	1.72	0.36	0.92	0.19
EUICENGINE9	14.1	2.95	1.84	0.39	0.87	0.18
Emission Limit	16.3	3.3	3.0	0.6	-	1.0

Sumpter Energy Associates, PTA Phase II Air Emission Test Report December 15, 2016 Page 9

### 4.0 SAMPLING AND ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES

A protocol for the air emission testing was reviewed and approved by the MDEQ-AQD. This section provides a summary of the sampling and analytical procedures that were used during the testing periods.

#### 4.1 Summary of Sampling Methods

USEPA Method 1	Exhaust gas velocity measurement locations were determined based on the physical stack arrangement and requirements in USEPA Method 1
USEPA Method 2	Exhaust gas velocity pressure was determined using a Type-S Pitot tube connected to a red oil incline manometer; temperature was measured using a K-type thermocouple connected to the Pitot tube.
USEPA Method 3A	Exhaust gas $O_2$ and $CO_2$ content was determined using paramagnetic and infrared instrumental analyzers, respectively.
USEPA Method 4	Exhaust gas moisture was determined based on the water weight gain in chilled impingers.
USEPA Method 7E	Exhaust gas NOx concentration was determined using a chemiluminescence instrumental analyzer.
USEPA Method 10	Exhaust gas CO concentration was measured using an NDIR instrumental analyzer.
USEPA Method 25A /ALT-096	Exhaust gas VOC (as NMHC) concentration was determined using a flame ionization analyzer equipped with an internal methane separation GC column.

#### 4.2 Exhaust Gas Velocity Determination (USEPA Methods 1 and 2)

The RICE exhaust stack gas velocity and volumetric flow rate was determined using USEPA Method 2 prior to and after each test. An S-type Pitot tube connected to a red-oil manometer was used to determine velocity pressure at each traverse point across the stack cross section. Gas temperature was measured using a K-type thermocouple mounted to the Pitot tube. The Pitot tube and connective tubing were periodically leak-checked to verify the integrity of the measurement system.

The absence of significant cyclonic flow for the exhaust configuration was verified using an Stype Pitot tube and oil manometer. The Pitot tube was positioned at each velocity traverse point with the planes of the face openings of the Pitot tube perpendicular to the stack cross-sectional plane. The Pitot tube was then rotated to determine the null angle (rotational angle as measured from the perpendicular, or reference, position at which the differential pressure is equal to zero).

Appendix C provides exhaust gas flowrate calculations and field data sheets.

Sumpter Energy Associates, PTA Phase II Air Emission Test Report December 15, 2016 Page 10

.

# 4.3 Exhaust Gas Molecular Weight Determination (USEPA Method 3A)

 $CO_2$  and  $O_2$  content in the RICE exhaust gas stream was measured continuously throughout each test period in accordance with USEPA Method 3A. The exhaust gas  $CO_2$  content was monitored using a Servomex 1440D single beam single wavelength (SBSW) infrared gas analyzer. The exhaust gas  $O_2$  content was monitored using a paramagnetic sensor within the Servomex 1440D gas analyzer.

During each sampling period, a continuous sample of the RICE exhaust gas stream was extracted from the stack using a stainless steel probe connected to a Teflon® heated sample line. The sampled gas was conditioned by removing moisture prior to being introduced to the analyzers; therefore, measurement of  $O_2$  and  $CO_2$  concentrations correspond to standard dry gas conditions. Instrument response data were recorded using an ESC Model 8816 data acquisition system that monitored the analog output of the instrumental analyzers continuously and logged data as one-minute averages.

Prior to, and at the conclusion of each test, the instruments were calibrated using upscale calibration and zero gas to determine analyzer calibration error and system bias (described in Section 5.0 of this document). Sampling times were recorded on field data sheets.

Appendix D provides  $O_2$  and  $CO_2$  calculation sheets. Raw instrument response data are provided in Appendix E.

# 4.4 Exhaust Gas Moisture Content (USEPA Method 4)

Moisture content of the RICE exhaust gas was determined in accordance with USEPA Method 4 using a chilled impinger sampling train. The moisture sampling was performed concurrently with the instrumental analyzer sampling. During each sampling period a gas sample was extracted at a constant rate from the source where moisture was removed from the sampled gas stream using impingers that were submersed in an ice bath. At the conclusion of each sampling period, the moisture gain in the impingers was determined gravimetrically by weighing each impinger to determine net weight gain.

#### 4.5 NO<sub>x</sub> and CO Concentration Measurements (USEPA Methods 7E and 10)

 $NO_X$  and CO pollutant concentrations in the RICE exhaust gas streams were determined using a Thermo Environmental Instruments, Inc. (TEI) Model 42c High Level chemiluminescence  $NO_X$  analyzer and a TEI Model 48i infrared CO analyzer.

Throughout each test period, a continuous sample of the engine exhaust gas was extracted from the stack using the heated sample line and gas conditioning system described previously in this section. Prior to, and at the conclusion of each test, the instruments were calibrated using upscale calibration and zero gas to determine analyzer calibration error and system bias.

Appendix D provides CO and  $NO_X$  calculation sheets. Raw instrument response data are provided in Appendix E.

Sumpter Energy Associates, PTA Phase II Air Emission Test Report

### 4.6 Measurement of Volatile Organic Compounds (USEPA Methods 25A and ALT-096)

The VOC emission rate was determined by measuring the nonmethane hydrocarbon (NMHC) concentration in the engine exhaust gas. NMHC pollutant concentration was determined using a TEI Model 55i Methane / Nonmethane hydrocarbon analyzer. The TEI 55i analyzer contains an internal gas chromatograph column that separates methane from non-methane components. The concentration of NMHC in the sampled gas stream, after separation from methane, is determined relative to a propane standard using a flame ionization detector in accordance with USEPA Method 25A.

The USEPA Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards (OAQPS) has issued several alternate test methods approving the use of the TEI 55-series analyzer as an effective instrument for measuring NMOC from gas-fueled reciprocating internal combustion engines (RICE) in that it uses USEPA Method 25A and 18 (ALT-066, ALT-078 and ALT-096).

Samples of the exhaust gas were delivered directly to the instrumental analyzer using the Teflon® heated sample line to prevent condensation. The sample to the NHMC analyzer was not conditioned to remove moisture. Therefore, VOC measurements correspond to standard conditions with no moisture correction (wet basis).

Prior to, and at the conclusion of each test, the instrument was calibrated using mid-range calibration (propane) and zero gas to determine analyzer calibration error and system bias.

Appendix D provides VOC calculation sheets. Raw instrument response data for the NMHC analyzer is provided in Appendix E.

# 5.0 QA/QC ACTIVITIES

#### 5.1 NO<sub>x</sub> Converter Efficiency Test

The  $NO_2 - NO$  conversion efficiency of the Model 42c analyzer was verified prior to the testing program. A USEPA Protocol 1 certified concentration of  $NO_2$  was injected directly into the analyzer, following the initial three-point calibration, to verify the analyzer's conversion efficiency. The analyzer's  $NO_2 - NO$  converter uses a catalyst at high temperatures to convert the  $NO_2$  to NO for measurement. The conversion efficiency of the analyzer is deemed acceptable if the measured  $NO_2$  concentration is greater than or equal to 90% of the expected value.

The  $NO_2 - NO$  conversion efficiency test satisfied the USEPA Method 7E criteria (measured  $NO_2$  concentration was 94% of the expected value, i.e., greater than 90% of the expected value as required by Method 7E).

Sumpter Energy Associates, PTA Phase II Air Emission Test Report

December 15, 2016 Page 12

### 5.2 Sampling System Response Time Determination

The response time of the sampling system was determined prior to the compliance test program by introducing upscale gas and zero gas, in series, into the sampling system using a tee connection at the base of the sample probe. The elapsed time for the analyzer to display a reading of 95% of the expected concentration was determined using a stopwatch.

The TEI Model 42c analyzer exhibited the longest system response time at 140 seconds. Results of the response time determinations were recorded on field data sheets. For each test period, test data were collected once the sample probe was in position for at least twice the maximum system response time.

### 5.3 Gas Divider Certification (USEPA Method 205)

A STEC Model SGD-710C 10-step gas divider was used to obtain appropriate calibration span gases. The ten-step STEC gas divider was NIST certified (within the last 12 months) with a primary flow standard in accordance with Method 205. When cut with an appropriate zero gas, the ten-step STEC gas divider delivered calibration gas values ranging from 0% to 100% (in 10% step increments) of the USEPA Protocol 1 calibration gas that was introduced into the system. The field evaluation procedures presented in Section 3.2 of Method 205 were followed prior to use of gas divider. The field evaluation yielded no errors greater than 2% of the triplicate measured average and no errors greater than 2% from the expected values.

#### 5.4 Instrumental Analyzer Interference Check

The instrumental analyzers used to measure  $NO_X$ , CO,  $O_2$  and  $CO_2$  have had an interference response test preformed prior to their use in the field pursuant to the interference response test procedures specified in USEPA Method 7E. The appropriate interference test gases (i.e., gases that would be encountered in the exhaust gas stream) were introduced into each analyzer, separately and as a mixture with the analyte that each analyzer is designed to measure. All of analyzers exhibited a composite deviation of less than 2.5% of the span for all measured interferent gases. No major analytical components of the analyzers have been replaced since performing the original interference tests.

# 5.5 Instrument Calibration and System Bias Checks

At the beginning of each day of the testing program, initial three-point instrument calibrations were performed for the  $NO_x$ , CO,  $CO_2$  and  $O_2$  analyzers by injecting calibration gas directly into the inlet sample port for each instrument. System bias checks were performed prior to and at the conclusion of each sampling period by introducing the upscale calibration gas and zero gas into the sampling system (at the base of the stainless steel sampling probe prior to the particulate filter and Teflon® heated sample line) and determining the instrument response against the initial instrument calibration readings.

At the beginning of each test day, appropriate high-range, mid-range, and low-range span gases followed by a zero gas were introduced to the NMHC analyzer, in series at a tee connection, which is installed between the sample probe and the particulate filter, through a poppet check

Sumpter Energy Associates, PTA Phase II Air Emission Test Report December 15, 2016 Page 13

valve. After each one hour test period, mid-range and zero gases were re-introduced in series at the tee connection in the sampling system to check against the method's performance specifications for calibration drift and zero drift error.

The instruments were calibrated with USEPA Protocol 1 certified concentrations of  $CO_2$ ,  $O_2$ ,  $NO_x$ , and CO in nitrogen and zeroed using hydrocarbon free nitrogen. The NMHC (VOC) instrument was calibrated with USEPA Protocol 1 certified concentrations of propane in air and zeroed using hydrocarbon-free air. A STEC Model SGD-710C ten-step gas divider was used to obtain intermediate calibration gas concentrations as needed.

#### 5.6 Determination of Exhaust Gas Stratification

A stratification test was performed for each of the two (2) identical RICE exhaust stacks. The stainless steel sample probe was positioned at sample points correlating to 16.7, 50.0 (centroid) and 83.3% of the stack diameter. Pollutant concentration data were recorded at each sample point for a minimum of twice the maximum system response time.

The recorded concentration data for both RICE exhaust stacks indicate that the measured CO,  $O_2$  and CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations did not vary by more than 5% of the mean across the stack diameter. Therefore, the RICE exhaust gas was considered to be unstratified and the compliance test sampling was performed at a single sampling location within each RICE exhaust stack.

# 5.7 Meter Box Calibrations

The Nutech Model 2010 sampling console, which was used for exhaust gas moisture content sampling, was calibrated prior to and after the testing program. This calibration uses the critical orifice calibration technique presented in USEPA Method 5. The metering console calibration exhibited no data outside the acceptable ranges presented in USEPA Method 5.

The digital pyrometer in the Nutech metering consoles were calibrated using a NIST traceable Omega<sup>®</sup> Model CL 23A temperature calibrator.

Appendix F presents test equipment quality assurance data ( $NO_2 - NO$  conversion efficiency test data, instrument calibration and system bias check records, calibration gas and gas divider certifications, interference test results, meter box calibration records, stratification checks, cyclonic flow determinations sheets, Pitot tube and probe assembly calibration records).

Sumpter Energy Associates, PTA Phase II Air Emission Test Report December 15, 2016 Page 14

# 6.0 <u>RESULTS</u>

### 6.1 Test Results and Allowable Emission Limits

Engine operating data and air pollutant emission measurement results for each one hour test period are presented in Tables 6.1 through 6.2. The serial number (SN) for each RICE is presented at the top of each table.

The measured average air pollutant concentrations and emission rates for Engine Nos. 8 through 9 (EUICENGINE8 and EUICENGINE9) are less than the allowable limits specified in ROP No. MI-ROP-N8004-2013 for the engines:

- 3.3 grams per brake-horsepower hour (g/bhp-hr) CO;
- 16.3 pounds per hour (lbs/hr) CO;
- 0.6 g/bhp-hr NO<sub>x</sub>;
- 3.0 lb/hr NO<sub>x</sub>; and
- 1.0 g/bhp-hr VOC.

#### 6.2 Variations from Normal Sampling Procedures or Operating Conditions

The testing for all pollutants was performed in accordance with the approved test protocol.

The engine-generator sets were operated within 10% of maximum output, and one variation from normal operating conditions of the RICE occurred. During Test No. 1 on EUICENGINE9 the emergency stop fuel shut-off was accidently pressed by an SEA employee while recording hand held emissions monitoring data. The RICE shut down at 9:15 AM, and was back to +/- 10% of full load (1600 kW) by 9:35 AM. MDEQ-AQD representative Mr. Mark Dziadosz allowed Test No. 1 for EUICENGINE9 to be paused for the period of engine shut down (9:15 – 9:35 AM).

Sumpter Energy Associates, PTA Phase II Air Emission Test Report December 15, 2016 Page 15

.

.

Test No.	1	2	3	
Test date	11/29/16	11/29/16	11/29/16	<sup>·</sup> Three Test
Test period (24-hr clock)	1325 - 1425	1455 - 1555	1625 - 1725	Average
Fuel flowrate (scfm)	545	547	548	547
Generator output (kW)	1,556	1,548	1,546	1,550
Engine output (bhp)	2,181	2,169	2,167	2,172
LFG methane content (%)	51.8	51.7	51.3	51.6
LFG LHV heat content (Btu/scf)	<b>47</b> 1	471	467	470
Exhaust Gas Composition				
$CO_2$ content (% vol)	11.3	11.4	11.4	11.3
O <sub>2</sub> content (% vol)	8.64	8,54	8.55	8.58
Moisture (% vol)	11.8	12.2	12.2	12.1
	-			
Exhaust gas temperature (°F)	891	886	885	888
Exhaust gas flowrate (dscfm)	4.196	4.217	4.041	4.151
Exhaust gas flowrate (scfm)	4.800	4.801	4.591	4.731
<b>3</b>	- ,	- ,		
Nitroge <u>n Oxides</u>				
NO <sub>x</sub> conc. (ppmvd)	57,4	57.4	56.2	57.0
NO <sub>x</sub> emissions (g/bhp*hr)	0.36	0.36	0.35	0.36
NO <sub>x</sub> emissions (lb/hr)	1.74	1.73	1.68	1.72
Carbon Monoxide				
CO conc. (ppmvd)	745	758	761	755
CO emissions (g/bhp*hr)	2.86	2,91	2.90	2.89
CO emissions (lb/hr)	13.8	13.9	13.9	13.9
Volatile Organic Compounds				
VOC conc. (ppmv)	28.1	27.9	27.9	28.0
VOC emissions (g/bhp*hr)	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19
VOC emissions (lb/hr)	0.93	0.92	0.91	0.92

Table 6.1	Measured exhaust gas conditions and NO <sub>x</sub> , CO and VOC air pollutant emission rates
	PTA Landfill Engine No. 8 (EUICENGINE8), SN: GZJ00422

Sumpter Energy Associates, PTA Phase II Air Emission Test Report December 15, 2016 Page 16

.

Test No.	1	2	3	
Test date	11/29/16	11/29/16	11/29/16	Three Test
Test period (24-hr clock)	0840 - 1000	1025 - 1125	1155 - 1255	Average
Fuel flowrate (scfm)	526	532	533	530
Generator output (kW)	1,547	1,545	1,546	1,546
Engine output (bhp)	2,181	2,169	2,167	2,167
LFG methane content (%)	52,5	51.9	52.1	52.2
LFG LHV heat content (Btu/scf)	478	472	474	475
Exhaust Gas Composition				·
CO <sub>2</sub> content (% vol)	11.3	11.3	11.3	11.3
$O_2$ content (% vol)	8.62	8.57	8.58	8.59
Moisture (% vol)	12.8	12.3	12.0	12.4
Exhaust gas temperature (°F)	864	831	851	· 849
Exhaust gas flowrate (dscfm)	4,196	4,217	4,041	4,151
Exhaust gas flowrate (scfm)	4,800	4,801	4,591	4,731
Nitrogon Ovidoo				
NO come (mmud)	62.2	62.2	60.2	61.0
NO <sub>X</sub> conc. (ppnivd)	02.2	03.5	00.3	01.9
$NO_X$ emissions (g/bip fir)	0.39	0.40	0.37	0.39
$NO_X$ emissions (10/nr)	1.87	1.91	1,75	1.84
Carbon Monoxide				
CO conc. (ppmyd)	777	786	773	779
CO emissions (g/bhp*hr)	2.98	3.03	2.86	2.95
CO emissions (lb/hr)	14.2	14.5	13.6	14.1
		- 110		
Volatile Organic Compounds				
VOC conc. (ppmv)	27.2	26.7	26.5	26.8
VOC emissions (g/bhp*hr)	0.19	0.18	0.17	0.18
VOC emissions (lb/hr)	0.90	0.88	0.84	0.87

Table 6.2Measured exhaust gas conditions and NOx, CO and VOC air pollutant emission rates<br/>PTA Landfill Engine No. 9 (EUICENGINE9), SN: GZJ00199