

Hot Mix Asphalt Plant Emissions Test Report

RECEIVED

AUG 1 8 2017

Prepared for:

AIR QUALITY DIV. **Bacco Construction Company**

> Iron Mountain Plant N3676 North US-2 Iron Mountain, Michigan 49801

> > Project No. 17-5037.00 August 17, 2017

BT Environmental Consulting, Inc. 4949 Fernlee Avenue Royal Oak, Michigan 48073 (248) 548-8070



1. Introduction

BT Environmental Consulting, Inc. (BTEC) wasn retained by Bacco Construction Company (BCC) to evaluate particulate matter (PM) emission rates and exhaust gas opacity from a hot mix asphalt (EUHMAPLANT) plant at the BCC facility located in Iron Mountain, Michigan. The emission test program was conducted on June 23, 2017. The purpose of this report is to document the results of the test program.

AQD has published a guidance document entitled "Format for Submittal of Source Emission Test Plans and Reports" (December 2013). The following is a summary of the emissions test program and results in the format suggested by the aforementioned document.

1.a Identification, Location, and Dates of Test

Sampling and analysis for the emission test program was conducted on June 23, 2017 at the BCC facility located off U.S. Route 2, approximately 6 miles west of Crystal Falls, Michigan. The test program included evaluation of PM and opacity emissions from a single baghouse exhaust.

1.b Purpose of Testing

Permit to Install number 4-17 limits PM emissions from EUHMAPLANT to not more than 0.03 pound per ton of HMA paving material produced and 0.04 grams per dry standard cubic foot. Exhaust gas opacity is limited to not more than 20%

1.c Source Description

The test source is a hot mix asphalt (HMA) portable facility that includes aggregate conveyors, a counter flow drum mixer, and a fabric filter dust collector.

1.d Test Program Contacts

Names, addresses, and telephone numbers of the contacts for information regarding the test and the test report, and names and affiliations of all personnel involved in conducting the testing.

The contact for the source and test report is:

Mr. Kyle Fortier Project Superintendent Bacco Construction Company N3676 North US-2 Iron Mountain, Michigan 49801 (906) 282-1002



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

BT Environmental Consulting, Inc. (BTEC) wasn retained by Bacco Construction Company (BCC) to evaluate particulate matter (PM) emission rates and exhaust gas opacity from a hot mix asphalt (EUHMAPLANT) plant at the BCC facility located in Iron Mountain, Michigan. The emission test program was conducted on June 23, 2017.

Testing consisted of triplicate 60-minute test runs for PM, and triplicate 60-minute test runs for opacity not conducted concurrently with PM. The emissions test program was required by MDEQ Air Quality Division Permit to Install number 4-17. The results of the emission test program are summarized by Table I.

Table IOverall Emission SummaryTest Date: June 23rd, 2017

| Baghouse Exhaust | | | | | |
|------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Pollutant | Emission Limit | Average Emission Rate | | | |
| РМ | 0.03 lb/ton of HMA paving material | 0.003 lb/ton of HMA paving material | | | |
| | 0.04 grams per dscf | 0.0002 grams per dscf | | | |
| Opacity | 20% | 0% | | | |

RECEIVED

AUG 1 8 2017 AIR QUALITY DIVISION

BTEC Project No. 17-5037.00 August 17, 2017



Names and affiliations for personnel who were present during the testing program are summarized by Table 1.

| Test Personnel | | | | | |
|--|---|----------------|--|--|--|
| Name and Title | Affiliation | Telephone | | | |
| Mr. Kyle Fortier Project Superintendent | Bacco Construction Company N3676 North US-2 Iron Mountain, Michigan 49801 | (906) 282-1002 | | | |
| Mr. Eric Dufek Plant Operator | Bacco Construction Company N3676 North US-2 Iron Mountain, Michigan 49801 | (906) 282-0711 | | | |
| Mr. Brandon Chase Senior Environmental Engineer | BTEC 4949 Fernlee Royal Oak, MI 48073 | (248) 548-8070 | | | |
| Mr. Steve Smith Project Manager | BTEC 4949 Fernlee Royal Oak, MI 48073 | (248) 744-9133 | | | |
| Mr. Joseph Scanlan | MDEQ Air Quality Division | (906) 458-6405 | | | |

| Table 1 |
|----------------|
| Test Personnel |

2. Summary of Results

Sections 2.a through 2.d summarize the results of the emissions compliance test program.

2.a Operating Data

Process data monitored during the emissions test program included HMA production rate, baghouse pressure drop, virgin aggregate feed rate, RAP feed rate, fuel oil type burned, product temperature, and drum temperature. Process data is included in Appendix F.

2.b Applicable Permit

The applicable permit for this emissions test program is Permit to Install number 4-17.

2.c Results

The overall results of the emission test program are summarized by Table 2 (see Section 5.a), and detailed results are provided in Table 3. Emissions from the baghouse exhaust were below the corresponding limits for PM and opacity.



3. Source Description

Sections 3.a through 3.e provide a detailed description of the process.

3.a Process Description

The test source is a hot mix asphalt (HMA) portable facility that includes aggregate conveyors, a counter flow drum mixer, and a fabric filter dust collector.

The raw materials for the process are asphalt materials and fuel oil #2 or recycled used oil as well as aggregate and asphalt. The maximum allowable production rate is 400 tons per hour.

3.b Process Flow Diagram

Due to the simplicity of the HMA facility, a process flow diagram is not necessary.

3.c Raw and Finished Materials

The raw materials for the process are asphalt materials and fuel oil #2 or recycled used oil as well as aggregate and asphalt. The maximum allowable production rate is 400 tons per hour.

3.d Process Capacity

The maximum allowable production rate is 400 tons per hour.

3.e Process Instrumentation

Process data monitored during the emissions test program included HMA production rate, baghouse pressure drop, virgin aggregate feed rate, RAP feed rate, fuel oil type burned, product temperature, and drum temperature. Process data is included in Appendix F.

4. Sampling and Analytical Procedures

Sections 4.a through 4.d provide a summary of the sampling and analytical procedures used.

4.a Sampling Train and Field Procedures

The emissions test program utilized the following test methods codified at Title 40, Part 60, Appendix A of the Code of Federal Regulations (40 CFR 60, Appendix A):

- Method 1 "Sample and Velocity Traverses for Stationary Sources"
- Method 2 "Determination of Stack Gas Velocity and Volumetric Flowrate"

3



- Method 3 "Determination of Molecular Weight of Dry Stack Gas" (Fyrite)
- Method 4 "Determination of Moisture Content in Stack Gases"
- Method 5 "Determination of Particulate Matter Emissions from stationary sources"
- Method 9 "Visual Determination of the Opacity From Stationary Sources"

40 CFR 60, Appendix A, Method 5, "*Determination of Particulate Emissions from Stationary Sources*" was used to measure PM concentrations and calculate appropriate emission rates (see Figure 2 for a schematic of the sampling train). Triplicate 60-minute test runs were conducted on the baghouse exhaust.

BTEC's Nutech[®] Model 2010 modular isokinetic stack sampling system consisted of (1) a stainless steel nozzle, (2) a glass probe, (3) a set of four Greenburg-Smith (GS) impingers with the first two with 100 ml of H₂O (ii) an empty impinger, (iii) and an impinger filled with approximately 300 grams of silica gel, (4) a length of sample line, and (5) a Nutech[®] control case equipped with a pump, dry gas meter, and calibrated orifice.

Upon completion of the final leak test for each test run, the filter was recovered, and the nozzle, probe, and the front half of the filter holder assembly were brushed and triple rinsed with acetone which was collected in a pre-cleaned sample container.

BTEC labeled each container with the test number, test location, and test date, then marked the level of liquid on the outside of the container. Blank samples of the filter and acetone were collected. BTEC personnel transported all samples to BTEC's laboratory in Royal Oak, Michigan, for analysis.

4.b Recovery and Analytical Procedures

Recovery and analytical procedures were described in Section 4.a.

4.c Sampling Ports

A diagram of the stack showing sampling ports in relation to upstream and downstream disturbances is included as Figure 2.

4.d Traverse Points

A diagram of the stack indicating traverse point locations and stack dimensions is included as Figure 2



Test Results and Discussion 5.

Sections 5.a through 5.k provide a summary of the test results.

Results Tabulation 5.a

The overall results of the emissions test program are summarized by Table 2. Detailed results for the emissions test program are summarized by Table 3.

Table 2 **Overall Emission Summary** Test Date: June 23rd, 2017

| Baghouse Exhaust | | | | | |
|------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Pollutant | Emission Limit | Average Emission Rate | | | |
| РМ | 0.03 lb/ton of HMA paving material | 0.003 lb/ton of HMA paving material | | | |
| | 0.04 grams per dscf | 0.0002 grams per dscf | | | |
| Opacity | 20% | 0% | | | |

Discussion of Results 5.b

All emissions from the baghouse were less than the corresponding emission limits.

Sampling Procedure Variations 5.c

There were no sampling variations used during the emission compliance test program.

Process or Control Device Upsets 5.d

No upset conditions occurred during testing.

Control Device Maintenance 5.e

RECEN There was no control equipment maintenance performed during the emissions test $AUG \ 1 \ 8 \ 2017$ AIR QUALITY DIV.

Re-Test 5.f

The emissions test program was not a re-test.

Audit Sample Analyses 5.g

No audit samples were collected as part of the test program.



5.h Calibration Sheets

Relevant equipment calibration documents are provided in Appendix B.

5.i Sample Calculations

Sample calculations are provided in Appendix C.

5.j Field Data Sheets

Field documents relevant to the emissions test program are presented in Appendix A. Opacity sheets and certification documents are presented in Appendix E.

5.k Laboratory Data

Laboratory analytical results are provided in Appendix D.

Table 3 Baghouse Particulate Matter Emission Rates

| Company Source Designation | Bacco Baghouse | | | |
|---|-------------------|--------------|--------------|------------------|
| Test Date | 6/23/2017 | 6/23/2017 | 6/23/2017 | |
| Meter/Nozzle Information | P-1 | P-2 | P-3 | Average |
| Mator Tomorotoro Ter (E) | 62.6 | (7.0 | 69.5 | 66.6 |
| Meter Temperature Tm (F) Meter Pressure - Pm (in. Hg) | 28,3 | 67.9 28.2 | 28.4 | 28.3 |
| Measured Sample Volume (Vm) | 28,3 59,9 | 28.3 62.2 | 28.4 63.8 | 28.3 62.0 |
| Sample Volume (Vm-Std ft3) | 57.2 | 02.2 58,9 | 60.2 | 58.8 |
| Sample Volume (Vm-Std H3) | 1.62 | | 1.70 | 1.66 |
| 1 () | | 1.67 | | |
| Condensate Volume (Vw-std) | 21,218 | 21.359 | 20.510 | 21.029 |
| Gas Density (Ps(std) lbs/ft3) (wet) Gas Density ($Ps(std)$ lbs/ft3) (ds.) | 0.0676 | 0.0678 | 0.0681 | 0.0678 0.0755 |
| Gas Density (Ps(std) lbs/ft3) (dry) | 0.0755 | 0.0755 | 0.0755 | |
| Total weight of sampled gas (m g lbs) (wet) | 5.31 | 5.44 | 5.50 | 5.41 |
| Total weight of sampled gas (m g lbs) (dry) | 4.32 | 4.45 | 4.54 | 4.44 |
| Nozzle Size - An (sq. ft.) | 0.000569 | 0.000569 | 0.000569 | 0.000569 |
| Isokinetic Variation - I | 99.4 | 99.9 | 97.8 | 99.1 |
| Stack Data | | | | |
| Average Stack Temperature - Ts (F) | 276.3 | 272.7 | 268.6 | 272.5 |
| Molecular Weight Stack Gas- dry (Md) | 29.2 | 29.2 | 29.2 | 29.2 |
| Molecular Weight Stack Gas-wet (Ms) | 26,2 | 26.2 | 26.4 | 26.2 |
| Stack Gas Specific Gravity (Gs) | 0.904 | 0.905 | 0.910 | 0,906 |
| Percent Moisture (Bws) | 27.05 | 26.61 | 25.41 | 26.36 |
| Water Vapor Volume (fraction) | 0,2705 | 0.2661 | 0.2541 | 0.2636 |
| Pressure - Ps ("Hg) | 28,1 | 28.1 | 28.1 | 28.1 |
| Average Stack Velocity -Vs (fl/sec) | 54.8 | 55.6 | 56.8 | 55.7 |
| Area of Stack (ft2) | 17.1 | 17,1 | 17.1 | 17.1 |
| Exhaust Gas Flowrate | | | ····· | |
| Flowrate ft ³ (Actual) | 56,319 | 57,084 | 58,291 | 57,231 |
| Flowrate ft ³ (Standard Wet) | 37,969 | 38,676 | 39,714 | 38,786 |
| Flowrate ft ³ (Standard Dry) | 27,698 | 28,383 | 29,622 | 28,568 |
| Flowrate m ³ (standard dry) | 784 | 804 | 839 | 809 |
| Total Particulate Weights (mg) | | | | |
| Nozzle/Probe/Filter | 10.0 | 16.4 | 14.8 | 13.7 |
| Paving Material Produced | | | | |
| Paving Material Produced (tons/hr) | 250.0 | 300.0 | 340.0 | 296.7 |
| Total Particulate Concentration | | | | |
| lb/1000 lb (wet) | 0.004 | 0.007 | 0.006 | 0.006 |
| lb/1000 lb (dry) | 0.005 | 0.008 | 0.007 | 0.007 |
| mg/dscm (dry) | 6.2 | 9.8 | 8.7 | 8.2 |
| g/dscf | 0.0002 | 0.0003 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 |
| gr/dscf | 0.0027 | 0.0043 | 0.0038 | 0.0036 |
| Total Particulate Emission Rate | | | | |
| lb/ hr | 0,64 | 1.05 | 0.97 | 0.89 |
| lb/ton of paving material produced | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.003 |



