Performed for:

Zeeland Board of Public Works 350 E. Washington Avenue Zeeland, MI 49464-1334 Contact: Robert Mulder Telephone: (616) 879-2412 Fax: (616) 772-3110 e-mail: bobm@zeelandbpw.com

Performed by:

Network Environmental, Inc. 2629 Remico Street, S.W. Suite B Grand Rapids, MI 49519 Contact: David D. Engelhardt Telephone: (616) 530-6330 Fax: (616) 530-0001 e-mail: netenviro@aol.com

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		<u>Page</u>					
I.	Introduction	1					
II.	Presentation of Results	2-4					
	II.1 Table 1 – Carbon Monoxide (CO) Destruction Efficiency Results						
III.	Discussion of Results						
IV.	Source Description	5					
٧.	Sampling and Analytical Protocol	5-7					
	Figure 1 – CO & O₂ Sampling Train Diagram	7					
	Appendices						
	Data Acquisition & Calibration Data	A					
	Analyzer & Calibration Gas Specifications	В					
	Calculations	С					
	Raw Data	D					
	Source Operating Data	Е					

I. INTRODUCTION

Network Environmental, Inc. was retained by the Zeeland Board of Public Works, to perform an emission study on their R.I.C.E. (Reciprocating Internal Combustion Engines) located at their West Washington Avenue (CAT Barn) and Riley Street facilities in Zeeland, MI. The Riley Street facility is permitted under Michigan Department of Environment, Great Lakes & Energy (EGLE) Permit To Install No. 187-05 (EUENGINE1, EUENGINE2, EUENGINE3, EUENGINE4 & EUENGINE5). The West Washington Avenue (CAT Barn) is permitted under EGLE Permit To Install No. 40-21 (EUENGINE1 & EUENGINE2). The purpose of the study was to document the CO reduction of each engine's catalytic oxidation emission control system. The following emission limits have been established for these engines:

Carbon Monoxide (CO) reduction (destruction efficiency) of 93% Or 47 PPM @ 15% O₂.

The CO reduction was determined by monitoring the CO concentrations at the inlet and outlet of each engine's catalytic oxidation emission control system.

The testing was designed to meet the requirements of 40 CFR Part 63 Subparts A & ZZZZ. The following reference test methods were employed to conduct the sampling:

- CO U.S. EPA Method 10
- O₂ & CO₂ U.S. EPA Method 3A

The sampling was performed over the period of August 7-10, 2023 by Richard D. Eerdmans and David D. Engelhardt of Network Environmental, Inc. Assisting with the study were Mr. Robert Mulder of the Zeeland Board of Public Works and the operating staff of the facility.

II. PRESENTATION OF RESULTS

II.1 TABLE 1 CO DESTRUCTION EFFICIENCY RESULTS RECIPROCATING INTERNAL COMBUSTION ENGINES (R.I.C.E.) WEST WASHINGTON AVENUE & RILEY STREET FACILITIES ZEELAND BOARD OF PUBLIC WORKS ZEELAND, MICHIGAN

Source	Sample	Date	Time	CO Concentration PPM (1)		CO CO CO
				Inlet	Outlet	Efficiency
	1	08/10/23	08:20-09:30	235.48	3.69	98.43
CAT Engine #1	2	08/10/23	09:45-10:45	235.87	3.60	98.47
W. Washington Ave.	3	08/10/23	11:00-12:00	233.26	3.51	98.50
	Average			234.87	3.60	98.47
		GARA IN	医外壳囊肿			
	1	08/10/23	14:30-15:30	223.07	6.80	96.95
CAT Engine #2	2	08/10/23	15:45-16:45	226.15	6.90	96.95
W. Washington Ave.	3	08/10/23	17:00-18:00	228.65	7.17	96.86
	Average			225.96	6.96	96.92
	UIV UP HER				对 不是	
	1	08/07/23	10:45-11:45	282.97	5.96	97.89
Engine #1 Riley Street	2	08/07/23	12:00-13:00	283.49	4.86	98.29
	3	08/07/23	13:15-14:15	282.97	4.63	98.36
	Average			283.14	5.15	98.18

⁽¹⁾ PPM = Parts Per Million (v/v) On A Dry Basis Corrected To 15% O2

II.1 TABLE 1 (CONTINUED) CO DESTRUCTION EFFICIENCY RESULTS RECIPROCATING INTERNAL COMBUSTION ENGINES (R.I.C.E.) WEST WASHINGTON AVENUE & RILEY STREET FACILITIES ZEELAND BOARD OF PUBLIC WORKS ZEELAND, MICHIGAN

Source	Sample Date	Date	Time	CO Concentration PPM (1)		CO % Destruction Efficiency
			Inlet	Outlet		
	1	08/09/23	08:45-09:45	323.45	4.39	98.64
Engine #2	2	08/09/23	10:00-11:00	307.61	4.16	98.65
Riley Street	3	08/09/23	11:15-12:15	307.67	4.04	98.69
		Average			4.20	98.66
					A.V.	
	1	08/07/23	15:15-16:15	295.24	11.1	98.51
Engine #3	2	08/07/23	16:30-17:30	290.58	10.9	98.48
Riley Street	3	08/07/23	17:45-18:45	292.88	10.9	98.51
	Average			292.90	11.0	98.50
源本等。	Detail					
	1 1	08/08/23	08:45-09:45	269.75	4.25	98.43
Engine #4	2	08/08/23	10:00-11:00	267.71	3.75	98.60
Riley Street	3	08/08/23	11:15-12:15	268.20	3.80	98.58
	Average			268.55	3.93	98.54

⁽¹⁾ PPM = Parts Per Million (v/v) On A Dry Basis Corrected To 15% O2

II.1 TABLE 1 (CONTINUED) CO DESTRUCTION EFFICIENCY RESULTS RECIPROCATING INTERNAL COMBUSTION ENGINES (R.I.C.E.) WEST WASHINGTON AVENUE & RILEY STREET FACILITIES ZEELAND BOARD OF PUBLIC WORKS ZEELAND, MICHIGAN

Source	Cample	Date	Time -	CO Concentration PPM (1)		CO % Destruction
Source	Sample Date	Date	Time	Inlet	Outlet	Efficiency
	1	08/08/23	13:45-14:45	324.81	4.78	98.53
Engine #5	2	08/08/23	15:00-16:00	326.43	4.66	98.57
Riley Street	3	08/08/23	16:15-17:15	326.67	4.60	98.59
		Average			4.68	98.56

⁽¹⁾ PPM = Parts Per Million (v/v) On A Dry Basis Corrected To 15% O₂

III. DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

The results of the emission sampling are summarized in Table 1 (Section II.1). The results are presented as follows:

III.1 Carbon Monoxide (CO) Destruction Efficiency Results (Table 1)

Table 1 summarizes the CO DE results for the engines as follows:

- Source
- Sample
- Date
- Time
- Inlet & Outlet CO Concentrations (PPM) Parts Per Million (v/v) On A Dry Basis Corrected To 15% O₂
- CO Percent Destruction Efficiency (DE)

IV. SOURCE DESCRIPTION

The sources tested were reciprocating internal combustion engines (R.I.C.E.) of various makes, models, capacities and ages. Catalysts were installed on the exhausts to reduce emissions from the engines. The engines were operated at a level greater than 90% of maximum load during the testing. Process operating data collected during the sampling can be found in Appendix E.

V. SAMPLING AND ANALYTICAL PROTOCOL

The sampling methods used for the reference method determinations were as follows:

V.1 Carbon Monoxide — The CO sampling was conducted in accordance with U.S. EPA Reference Method 10. A Thermo Environmental Model 48C gas analyzer was used to monitor the catalyst inlets. A Thermo Environmental Model 48 gas analyzer was used to monitor the catalyst outlets. Heated Teflon sample lines were used to transport the inlet and outlet gases to a gas conditioner to remove moisture and reduce the temperature. From the gas conditioner stack gases were passed to the analyzers. The analyzers produce instantaneous readouts of the CO concentrations (PPM).

The analyzers were calibrated by direct injection prior to the testing. Span gases of 998.0 PPM (inlets) and 15.0 PPM or 92.9 PPM (outlets) were used to establish the initial instrument calibrations. Calibration gases of 486.0 PPM & 251.0 PPM for the inlets and either 7.1 PPM or 51.1 PPM for the outlets were used to

determine the calibration error of the analyzers. The sampling systems (from the back of the stack probes to the analyzers) were injected using either the 7.1 PPM gas or the 51.1 PPM gas for the outlets and the 486.0 PPM gas for inlets to determine the system bias. After each sample, a system zero and system injection of either 486.0 PPM, 7.1 PPM or 51.1 PPM were performed to establish system drift and system bias during the test period. All calibration gases were EPA Protocol 1 Certified.

The analyzers were calibrated to the output of the data acquisition system (DAS) used to collect the data from the engines. A diagram of the CO sampling train is shown in Figure 1.

V.2 Oxygen (Outlets Only) – The O_2 sampling was conducted in accordance with U.S. EPA Reference Method 3A. A Servomex Model 1400M portable stack gas analyzer was used to monitor the outlets. A heated Teflon sample line was used to transport the exhaust gases to a gas conditioner to remove moisture and reduce the temperature. From the gas conditioner stack gases were passed to the analyzer. The analyzer produces instantaneous readouts of the O_2 concentrations (%).

The analyzer was calibrated by direct injection prior to the testing. A span gas of 21.0% was used to establish the initial instrument calibration. Calibration gases of 12.0% and 6.03% were used to determine the calibration error of the analyzer. The sampling system (from the back of the stack probe to the analyzer) was injected using the 6.03% gas to determine the system bias. After each sample, a system zero and system injection of 6.03% were performed to establish system drift and system bias during the test period. All calibration gases were EPA Protocol 1 Certified.

The analyzer was calibrated to the output of the data acquisition system (DAS) used to collect the data from the outlets. A diagram of the O₂ sampling train is shown in Figure 1.

V.3 Oxygen (Inlets Only) – Integrated bag samples were collected on the inlets of each engine during each of the three (3) test runs. The bags were run on the O₂ analyzer to confirm that the inlet concentrations equaled the outlet.

This report was prepared by:

David D. Engelhardt Vice President This report was reviewed by:

Stephan K. Byrd President

