Ford Dearborn Assembly Plant Dearborn, Michigan



Environmental Testing Program – January 2016 Clearcoat Booth and Oven Capture Efficiency

Prepared By:



JLB Industries, LLC Rochester Hills, MI 48306 (248) 904-7027 enviro@jlbindustries.com

Ford Dearborn Assembly Plant 3002 Miller Rd. Dearborn, MI 48121

Table of Contents Page	
1 Franking Commencer 1	
1. Executive Summary	
2. Introduction 2	
3. Sampling and Analytical Procedures 2	
4. Test Equipment and Calibration 3	
5. Discussion of Test Results 3	
6. Summary of Results 3	
7. Data Sheets	
a. Applicator Parameter Summary 10	
b. Paint Analytical 11	
8. Appendix	
a. Process Information 15	
b. Field Data 19	
c. Calibration Forms 22	
d. Example Calculations 23	
List of Tables	Page
Table 1 – Testing Results Summary	1
Table 2 – Clearcoat Section VOC Capture Efficiency, Exterior Robots	5
Table 3 – Clearcoat Section VOC Capture Efficiency, Manual to Exterior Robots	6
Table 4 – Clearcoat Oven VOC Capture Efficiency, Exterior Robots	7
Table 5 – Clearcoat Oven VOC Capture Efficiency, Manual	8 10
rable o – Applicator Parameter Summary	10
List of Diagrams	Page
Diagram 1 – Panel Testing Diagram	3

Diagram 1 – Panel Testing Diagram Diagram 2 – Clearcoat Booth Controlled and Uncontrolled Zones

3

,

1.0 Executive Summary

JLB Industries, LLC completed a compliance environmental testing program on January 24, 2016 at the Ford Dearborn Assembly Plant (DAP) facility in Dearborn, Michigan. The testing program included Booth Capture Efficiency (BCE) testing of the Topcoat Booth (Clearcoat zone). Determination of CE was conducted in accordance with all applicable procedures contained in USEPA document <u>Protocol for Determining the Daily Volatile</u> <u>Organic Compound Emission Rate of Automobile and Light-Duty Truck Topcoat</u> <u>Operations</u>. The test results will be used to demonstrate compliance with Auto MACT requirements and in monthly emissions compliance calculations.

Capture Efficiency values were derived using the Ford F150 truck model, which currently accounts for the majority of production volume at the facility. Personnel from the paint shop, Ford environmental staff and JLB Industries, LLC conducted the testing. These groups worked together at each stage of testing to ensure that the results were representative of production conditions. JLB Industries used a highly accurate weighing system to determine the panel weights before and after coating application.

Material samples were collected from the paint circulation tanks directly after vehicle spray out. Determination of percent solids by weight and density was performed by Advanced Technologies of Michigan laboratories, located in Livonia, Michigan.

Table 1 – Testing Results Summary

Clearcoat Booth Capture Efficiency	43.4%
Clearcoat Oven Capture Efficiency	37.3%

2.0 Introduction

JLB Industries, LLC (JLBI) was contracted by Ford Dearborn Assembly Plant (DAP) to perform a Capture Efficiency (CE) testing program on the Topcoat Booth (Clearcoat zone) at the Dearborn Assembly Plant located in Dearborn, Michigan. This testing was conducted on Ford F150 truck model on January 24, 2016.

3.0 Sampling and Analytical Procedures

Capture Efficiency Tests

A panel weigh station (PWS) was assembled at the Clearcoat Spraybooth. A precision balance with measurement capability to 0.001 gram was placed on an isolation platform inside an enclosure to minimize vibration and air movement.

The testing conformed to the methods described in ASTM 5087-02 for solvent borne coatings. Capture Efficiency values for the controlled booth zones were calculated using the procedures outlined in the 40 CFR, Part 63.

Test panels were placed on a Ford F150 cab and box, and processed with normal production spray programming.

Four electrocoated panels were used for the manual test vehicle and five panels were used for the robotic test vehicle. Each group of test panels was weighed in four locations (see panel test diagram) to determine the relative distribution of VOC that is released in the controlled booth zones. The panels were attached to test vehicles by magnet, which allowed for removal of the wet panels with minimal disturbance to the coating during handling. Panel mounting locations were chosen to achieve a representative coating film based on the observation of normal vehicle production.

Before the panels were coated, they were marked (1, 2, 3, 4, blank) and weighed to establish the initial unpainted panel weights (P0). The panels were then attached to a test vehicle and routed through the Spraybooth. After coating, the panels were carefully removed from the test vehicle and brought to the balance for weighing immediately upon exit from the controlled booth zone (P1). Panels were weighed again before entering the controlled bake oven (P2). The panels were then placed on the test vehicle for travel through the curing oven. Upon exiting the oven, the panels were allowed to cool and then weighed a final time (P3).

	Exterior Panel Weight Loc	auons			
with the	Manual Cab Cut-in Zone	Controlled Cab Exterior and Box Robots	Manual Backup Zone	Controlled Oven	
	P0	(P1 (P2)	
	Interior Panel Weight Loc	ations	······		
the instantion	Manual Cab Cut-in Zone	Controlled Cab Exterior and Box Robots	Manual Backup Zone	Controlled Oven	
	P0 (P1) (P2) (P3		P4)

Diagram 1 – Panel Testing Diagram

4.0 <u>Test Equipment and Calibration</u>

Panel Weigh Station

A panel weigh station (PWS) with measurement capability to 0.001 gram was used to measure panel weights. The balance was warmed up and then calibrated with a 300 gram test weight. The balance was tested with 200, 100, 50, 20, 10 and 5 gram weights before commencing weighing operations. A blank panel weight was measured at the beginning of the testing program and again at the time of each subsequent panel weight measurement. The balance was placed on an isolation platform and inside an enclosure to minimize vibration and airflow at the measurement point.

5.0 Discussion of Test Results

There were no significant disruptions to the testing program. Blank panels weighed to within 0.004 grams throughout the testing procedure.

6.0 <u>Summary of Results</u>

To accurately reflect the emissions being captured, separate panels were used to represent clearcoat manual cab cut-in and clearcoat cab exterior and box robots (See Diagram 2). To determine the amount of emissions captured from the clearcoat manual cab cut-in zone, panel weights were taken at the beginning & ending of the clearcoat cab exterior and box robots zone. To determine the amount of emissions captured from the clearcoat cab exterior and box robot zone, panel weights were taken at the exit of the clearcoat cab exterior and box robot zone.

Diagram 2 – Clearcoat Booth Controlled and Uncontrolled Zones

1	Uncontrolled Clearcoat	Controlled Clearcoat Robots	Uncontrolled Manual Backup Zone	Controlled Oven

The panel testing is the procedure to measure the Section Capture Efficiency (CE) in a controlled zone from a specific spray zone. To convert to the Booth CE, the Section CE is multiplied by the ratio of paint sprayed in the spray zone. The results for each zone are then added to obtain the total Booth CE.

Paint Usage Ratio

Percentage of Paint Usage	93.5%	6.5%
Paint Usage (cc)	3252	226
Zone	Auto Exterior	Manual
	Controlled	Uncontrolled

The results of multiplying the ratio of paint sprayed in the spray zone and the measured Section CE are shown the table below.

Overall Capture Efficiency Results

Booth Capture Efficiency

		Weighted Booth	43.44%	
Automatic	in Auto Zone	45.9%	93.5%	42.91%
Manual	to Auto Zone	8.2%	6.5%	0.53%
Spray Zone	Control Zone	Section CE (%)	Sprayed in Zone	Booth CE (%)
			Ratio of Paint	Contribution to

Oven Capture Efficiency

			Ratio of Paint	Contribution to
Spray Zone	Control Zone	Oven CE (%)	Sprayed in Zone	Oven CE (%)
Manual	Oven	32.5%	6.5%	2.11%
Automatic	Oven	37.6%	93.5%	35.15%
		Weighted Oven	37.26%	

Calculation Example:

8.2 % of the VOC applied in the manual cab cut-in zone was captured in the controlled cab exterior and box robot zone. The manual zone accounts for 6.5% of the coating application in the clearcoat booth. Thus the Manual Zone contribution to Booth CE is 0.53%.

8.2% * 6.5% = 0.53%

Table 2 -- Clearcoat Section VOC Capture EfficiencyCab Exterior and Box Robots in Controlled Clearcoat Robot Zone

Sample	Blank Panel Weights (g)	Wet Panel Weights - Control Zone Exit (g)	Panel Weights - after bake (g)	Weight of Coating Solids Deposited (g)	Weight of VOC remaining after zone (g)	Weight of VOC remaining per Weight Solids Deposited (g)	Mass Fraction Solids	Mass Fraction VOC in Coating	Percent of VOC remaining on Panel after Zone	Booth Section Capture Efficiency (%)
Variable	PO	P1	P3	Wsdep	Wrem	Pm	Ws	Wvoc	Pvoc	CE
						Wrem/Wsd			(Pm)(Ws)/	
Formula				P3-P0	P1-P3	ep			(Wvoc)	1-Pvoc
1	187.062	190.695	189.573	2.511	1.122	0.447				
2	186.189	188.838	188.081	1.892	0.757	0.400				
3	186.592	189.688	188.813	2.221	0.875	0.394				
4	186.862	189.402	188.686	1.824	0.716	0.393				
5	186.789	189.378	188.667	1.878	0.711	0.379				
Average	186.699	189.600	188.764	2.065	0.836	0.405	0.572	0.428	0.541	45.9%



Table 3 -- Clearcoat Section VOC Capture EfficiencyManual Cab Cut-in in the Controlled Clearcoat Robot Zone

Solvent Loading

Sample Variable Formula	Blank Panel Weights (g) P0	Wet Panel Weights - Enter Zone (g) P3	Wet Panel Weights - Exit Zone (g) P4	Panel Weights - After Bake (g) P5	Weight of Coating Solids Deposited (g) Wcos P5-P0	Weight of VOC available for abatement (g) Wa P3-P4	Weight of VOC available per volume of coating solids (lb/GACS) CL (Wa/Wcos)*Dcos
1	186.934	188.297	188.200	187.864	0.930	0.097	0.95
2	188.326	189.263	189.206	188.988	0.662	0.057	0.78
3	186.261	187.691	187.607	187.241	0.980	0.084	0.78
4	186.188	187.978	187.905	187.426	1.238	0.073	0.54
Average	186.927	188.307	188.230	187.880	0.953	0.078	0.74

Material Properties

				Average		
	Coating	Mass	Volume	Film Build		
	Density	Fraction	Fraction	Thickness	VOC mass	Solids Density
Sample	(lb/gal)	Solids	Solids	(mil)	fraction	(lb/gal)
Variable	Wc	W,	V,	mil	W _{voc}	D _{cos}
Formula						(Ws*Wc)/Vs
Prime	8.26	0.5720	0.5196	1.1	0.4280	9.09

Capture Efficiency

				and the second second second second	Volume		
and the second second		1. 人名法法			Solids		
Mass		Mass VOC			Deposited		
Fraction	Coating	per Volume	Transfer	Volume	per Volume	Panel Test Result	
VOC in	Density	Coating	Efficiency	Fraction	Coating	(Ib VOC/ gal	Booth Section VOC
Coating	(lb/gal)	(lb/gal)	(%)	Solids	Sprayed	Solids)	Capture Efficiency (%)
W _{voc}	Dc	VOC	TE	Vs	V _{sdep}	P	CE
		(Dc)(Wvoc)			(V _s)(TE)		(P)(V _{sdep})(100)/(VOC)
0.4280	8.26	3.535	75.0%	0.5196	0.390	0.74	8.2%

Table 4 -- Clearcoat Oven VOC Capture Efficiency Exterior Cab and Box Robots to Oven

Oven Solvent Loading

Sample Variable Formula	Blank Panel Weights (g) P0	Wet Panel Weights - Before Bake (g) P2	Panel Weights - after bake (g) P3	Weight of Coating Solids Deposited (g) Wcos P3-F0	Weight of VOC available for abatement (g) Wa P2-P3	Weight of VOC available per volume of coating solids (lb/gal) CL (W _x /W _{cos})*D _{cos}
1	187.062	190.604	189.573	2.511	1.031	3.73
2	186.189	188.783	188.081	1.892	0.702	3.37
3	186.592	189.624	188.813	2.221	0.811	3.32
4	186.862	189.350	188.686	1.824	0.664	3.31
5	186.789	189.332	188.667	1.878	0.665	3.22
Average	186.699	189.539	188.764	2.065	0.775	3.41

Material Properties

	Coating	Mass	Volume	Average Film Build		
	Density	Fraction	Fraction	Thickness	VOC mass	Solids Density
Sample	(lb/gal)	Solids	Solids	(mil)	fraction	(lb/gal)
Variable	Wc	W _s	V,	mil	Wvoc	D _{cos}
Formula						(Ws*W)/Vs
Clearcoat	8.26	0.5720	0.5196	2.3	0.4280	9.09

Capture Efficiency

Mass		Mass VOC par			Volume Solids		
Fraction VOC in Coating	Coating Density (Ib/gal)	Voc per Volume Coating (lb/gal)	Transfer Efficiency (%)	Volume Fraction Solids	per Volume Coating Sprayed	Panel Test Result (lb VOC/ gal Solids)	Oven VOC Capture Efficiency (%)
Wvoc	De	VOC	TE	V,	V _{sdep}	Р	CE
0.4280	8.26	3.535	75.0%	0.5196	0.390	3.41	(P)(V _{sdep})(100)/(VOC) 37.6%

Table 5 -- Clearcoat Oven VOC Capture Efficiency Manual Cab Cut-In to Oven

Oven Solvent Loading

Sample	Blank Panel Weights (g)	Wet Panel Weights - Before Bake (g)	Panel Weights - after bake (g)	Weight of Coating Solids Deposited (g)	Weight of VOC available for abatement (g)	Weight of VOC available per volume of coating solids (lb/gal)
Variable	PO	P2	P3	W _{cos}	Wa	CL
Formula				P3-P0	P2-P3	(W _B /W _{cos})*D _{cos}
1	186.934	188.151	187.864	0.930	0.287	2.81
2	188.326	189.182	188.988	0.662	0.194	2.66
3	186.261	187.563	187.241	0.980	0.322	2.99
4	186.188	187.857	187.426	1.238	0.431	3.17
Average	186.927	188.188	187.880	0.953	0.308	2.95

Material Properties

alle de la serie						
				Average		
	Coating	Mass	Volume	Film Build		
	Density	Fraction	Fraction	Thickness	VOC mass	Solids Density
Sample	(lb/gal)	Solids	Solids	(mil)	fraction	(Ib/gal)
Variable	W _c	W,	V,	mil	Wvoc	D _{cos}
Formula						(W _s *W _c)/V _s
Clearcoat	8.26	0.5720	0.5196	1.1	0.4280	9.09

Capture Efficiency

		26			Volume		
Mass		VOC per			Deposited		
Fraction	Coating	Volume	Transfer	Volume	per Volume	Panel Test	
VOC in	Density	Coating	Efficiency	Fraction	Coating	Result (Ib VOC/	Oven VOC Capture
Coating	(Ib/gal)	(Ib/gal)	(%)	Solids	Sprayed	gal Solids)	Efficiency (%)
W _{voc}	Dc	VOC	TE	V,	V _{sdep}	Р	CE
		$(D_c)(W_{voc})$			(V _s)(TE)		(P)(V _{sdep})(100)/(VOC)
0.4280	8.26	3.535	75.0%	0.5196	0.390	2.95	32.5%

7.0 Data Sheets

Table 6 - Applicator Parameter SummaryFord DTP, January 2016

Operation	Manufacturer	Applicator	Fluid Tip	Air Cap	Gun Voltage	RPM	Target Distance	Remarks
CC Exterior Bells	Fanuc	Servo Bell 3	2.0 mm	N/A	60-80 kV	60,000	10"	Solventborne

Line Speed: 35 JPH

Process Diagram

Clearcoat Manual Int.		Clearc	oat Exteri	or		

ΑΤοΜ

ADVANCED TECHNOLOGIES of MICHIGAN Jeffries Tech Center 37651 Schoolcraft Road

37651 Schoolcraft Road Livonia, MI 48150 Phone: (734) 953-5034 Fax: (734) 953-5415 Email: atominc@sbcglobal.net

VOC OF _PAINT PRODUCTS US-EPA's REFERENCE METHOD 24

Date:

01/25/2016

JLB

Product: CC DTP 1/24/2016

Company:

% Nonvolatiles: % Volatiles: Density @ 77° F: Wght/Gal @ 77° F: % Water: VOCs (Grams): VOCs (Pounds): 57.20 42.80 0.990 g/mL 8.26 lbs/gallon 0 423.7 g/L 3.54 lbs/gallon

% Volatiles= Used ASTM Test Method D 2369Density= Used ASTM Test Method D 1475% Water= Used ASTM Test Method D 4017Calculations= Used ASTM Test Method D 3960

ATOM 1/25/2016



Certificate of Analysis



Clearcoat Materials

Submitted to DEARBORN ASSEMBLY

Supplier	Mount Clemens	Date of MFG.	12/09/2015
Material Name	GEN 5W CLEARCOAT	Product Specification	WSSM 33J7 A2
Approved By:	BB	M Number	M7154
Color Standard	N/A	Supplier Batch Number	3178866
Alpha Code	N/A	Clearcoat Supplier Code	RKA01199
% Reduction (Target)	7% By Volume	Tox Number	173634
Reducing Solvent	H-883	Batch Size	5,000 GALS

Mix Room Reference Information	Test Method*	Range Min - Aim - M	Calculated Valuess ax
VISCOSITY (RFU, CALCULATED, 4# FORD)	TM-0024F	40.0 - 42.5 - 45.0	N/A
WT PER GALLON (RFU, CALCULATED)	TM-0013E	REPORT	8.29
% NV BY WT (RFU, CALCULATED)	TM-0221Z	REPORT	54.00
% NV BY VOL (RFU, CALCULATED)	TM-0220A	REPORT	51.960
VOC (RFU, CALCULATED)	TM-0225A	REPORT	3.82
RESISTIVITY (MEGA OHMS) MIX TANK	TM-0174A	REPORT	N/A



Test items	Test Method*	Range Min - Aim - Max	Actual Results	
VISCOSITY #4 FC	TM-0009G	58 - 66	58.8	
VEIGHT PER GALLON	TM-0013E	8.13 - 8.33 - 8.53	8.32	
% WEIGHT SOLIDS	TM-0221Z	57.50 - 59.50 - 61.50	57.60	
% NV BY VOL (PKG THEORETICAL)	TM-0220A	54.000 - 56.000 - 58.000	55.600	
VOC AS PACKAGED	TM-0225A	3.30 3.60 3.90 REPORT	3.53	
VOC (AS PKGD) THEORETICAL	TM-0225A	REPORT	3,09	
WEIGHT % REPORTABLE HAPS (AS PKGD, VOLATILE) THEORETICAL	TM-CALC	REPORT	0.308	
RESISTIVITY (MEGA OHMS)	TM-0174A	0.08 - 0.50 - 0.80	0.60	
UVA CONCENTRATION	TM-0665A	0.530 -	PASS	
(QMS) WAVESCAN - HORIZONTAL	TM-0353A	REPORT	56.0	
(QMS) WAVESCAN - VERTICAL	TM-0353A	REPORT	56.0	
FMVSS	TM-0670A	PASS	PASS	
ADHESION TEST	TM-0486A	2 MAX	0	
INTERCOAT ADHESION (STD/STD)	TM-0486A	2 MÁX	0	
POP RESISTANCE	TM-0440I	2.0 MIN	2.9	
SAG RESISTANCE	TM-0311J	2.0 MIN	2.2	
CRATERS / DENTS	TM-0345A	0.4	0.000	
DIRT RATING (GRIT)	TM-0044E	2 MAX	2	
DIRT RATING (FIBERS)	TM-0044E	2 MAX	2	

*Axalta-Ford Test Method Cross-reference:

TM-009M=ASTM D1200, TM-0013E=ASTM D1475,TM-221Z=ASTM D1353,TM-0225A=METHOD 24,TM-0174A=ASTM D5682,TM-243A=ISO 1148-69, TM-3508=SAEJI545,TM-352I=FLTM B1 158-01,TM-486A=FLTM B1 106-01,TM-0440I=FLTM B1 122-02

* TS16949 - Due to the test cycle time requirements, the PASS result is based upon product history and formula design. The actual result is recorded once testing is completed and is rovided upon request.

ord Clearcoat.htm

Form Revised: 12/07/2015

Chain of Custody Form

Facility:

Ford Dearborn Truck Plant

Material Name	Sampling Location	Date/Time	Label	Comment	Preservative
Gen V Clearcoat	Mix Room	1/24/2016	DTP CC	Solventborne	None
		<u></u>			
		···· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

Relinquished by:	Date	Time	Received by:	Date	Time
LaZOK	1/25/16	9:00 Am	SRamash	01252016	9 AM

..

8.0 <u>Appendix</u>

Oven Data Record Ford DTP, January 2016

Zone	Temperature (deg F)
Fresh Air	200
Zone 1	175
Zone 2	300
Zone 3	305
Zone 4A	305
Zone 4B	305
Zone 5	295
Zone 6	295

Panel Film Build Record

			E-coat B	uild (mil)		Coated Build (mil)				
Booth	Panel	Reading 1	Reading 2	Reading 3	Average	Reading 1	Reading 2	Reading 3	Average	Coating Thickness (mil)
Clearcoat	1	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.90	3.5	3.3	3.6	3.47	2.57
Exterior	2	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.80	2.8	3.0	3.0	2.93	2.13
	3	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.90	3.4	3.3	3.3	3.33	2.43
	4	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.80	2.7	2.8	3.1	2.87	2.07
	5	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.80	3.3	2.8	2.7	2.93	2.13

		E-coat Build (mil)				Coated Build (mil)				
Booth	Panel	Reading 1	Reading 2	Reading 3	Average	Reading 1	Reading 2	Reading 3	Average	Coating Thickness (mil)
Clearcoat	1	0.9	0.8	0.9	0.87	2.0	1.9	2.0	1.97	1.10
Interior	2	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.80	1.3	1.6	1.8	1.57	0.77
	3	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.90	2.1	2.1	2.2	2.13	1.23
	4	0.8	0.8	0.9	0.83	2.2	2.4	2.3	2.30	1.47

TRANSFER EFFICIENCY COMPLIANCE TEST REPORT

for



Environmental Quality Office

DEARBORN TRUCK

F-150

Topcoat System



By

PROCESS TECHNOLOGIES GROUP

39500 Fourteen Mile Road, Suite 316 Commerce Township, Michigan 48390 (248) 661-1400

Project 04-03 - October 2004

Transfer Efficiency Compliance Test - Topcoat System

Ford Motor Company

Dearborn Truck Plant

1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

A Transfer Efficiency Compliance Test performed on October 30, 2004, at the Dearborn Truck Plant, on the Topcoat System. The test was conducted in accordance with applicable procedures contained in Section 18, *"Transfer Efficiency Test Procedure – In Plant,"* contained in US EPA document EPA-450/3 <u>Protocol for Determining the Daily</u> <u>Volatile Organic Compound Emission Rate of Automobile and Light-Duty Truck</u> <u>Topcoat Operations</u>.

The composite transfer efficiency of the Topcoat System for F-150 Super Cab with 6 $\frac{1}{2}$ Box currently built at the Dearborn Truck Plant was determined to be as follows:

Coating	Trans	fer E	fficiency
Silver Basecoat & Clearcoat		75 %	6

TABLE I - Summary of Test Results

The test team was comprised of personnel from the Ford Environmental Quality Office, Dearborn Truck Paint Shop and Process Technologies Group. The team worked together throughout the test to ensure results accurately represented production conditions.

Dearborn Truck produces various models of the F-150 Pick-up truck. The F-150 Super Cab with 6 1/2' Box and Silver basecoat with Clearcoat was chosen for the test based on high production volume.

PROCESS TECHNOLOGIES GROUP

PROJECT: 04-03

Page 1 of 66

Panel Test Data Sheet

1/24 Date: Plant: FORD N. Spraybooth: ENAMEL (

Operator: <u>Jb/Jn/mF</u> Balance: <u>Ohans</u>

	Panel	Weight 0	Weight 1	Weight 2	Weight 3	Weight 4	Weight 5
EXTERIOL	- 1	187 067	190 695	190	189 573		
0.	2	186	188 . 838	188	188		
	3	1860	189	189 624	188 813		
1,	4	186	189 402	189 350	188		
	5	186 789	189 378	189 332	188		
RCAT	6	198.628	188.626	188 629	158		

* Reference testing diagram for weight locations.

Zone	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Temp								

Oven Entry Time: _____

Oven Exit Time:

Calibration Procedure:

Calibration Record							
Time	Weight Applied	Weight Displayed					
10:50	300	300,000					
	200	199.999					
	(00	100,000					
	50	50.000					
	20	20.000					
	10	10.000					
	5	5,000					

Panel Test Data Sheet

Date:	(124/16
Plant:	FOLD DTP
Spraybooth:	ENAMEL 1

Operator: JB/Jm/mE Balance: Onmes

reare	Panel	Weight 0	Weight 1	Weight 2	Weight 3	Weight 4	Weight 5
14	1	186 934	189 297	188 200	188 151	187 864	
	2	188 326	189 263	189 206	189	188 988	
	3	186	187 691	187 607	187 563	187 241	
~	4	186 188	181 978	187 905	187 857	187 426	
	5				•	F	
BL Bulk	6	188 678	198 626	188 630	18 629	188	

* Reference testing diagram for weight locations.

	Oven Da	ita						
Zone	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Temp								

Oven Entry Time:

Oven Exit Time:

Calibration Procedure:

Calibration Record Spe Previous Steet								
Time	Weight Applied	Weight Displayed						

Paint Metering Record

Test ID:	FORD	DTP	
Test Location:	CLEA	MAT	

Date: 1/24/16 Staff: JB/Jm/mF

			Uni	t ID		
Process	Applicator	TEST 1	TEST 2		Total cc	Total Gal.
CLEARCOAT	391	349	349	·		
CAB EXTERIOR	3PZ	335	335			
+ Box ROBOTS	3P3	276	276			
	394	276	276			
	ZPI	138	138			
	292	138	138			
	ZP3	149	149			-
	ZPY	149	149			
	2P6	184	184			
	PI	350	350			
	PZ	336	336			
	P3	286	286			
	PY	286	286			
TOTAL ROB	ots:	3,252	3,252			
MANUAL	DRIVER SI	115	101			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	PASSSIDE	111	124			
TOTAL MANU.	92:	226	225			

Notes: PAINT USAGE IN The MOM ROBOT Zone was compared To historical data \$ VERIFIED.

Ņ



Customer:	JLB	Cert#	15-0669	Temp/Humidity:	accredited fo	or calibratio	on 1448.01
Location of Calibr Calibration Date: Equipment Make: NTEP	ration: 9/9/2015 Ohaus	2181 Avon Indus <i>Cal Due:</i> <i>Model:</i> <i>Class</i>	trial Dr. Rochest Sep-16 PA313	er Hills mi Condition of Item: Serial/ID: COC	Fair 8331170206	Capacity:	300g x 0,001 g
Applied Test Wt	Before Adustment	Tolerance	In-Tolerance	After Adjustment	In-Tolerance	Unc	Sec #
g	g	g	Y/N	g	Y/N	mg	
0	0.000	0.001	Y	0.000	Y	0.63	
1	1.000	0.001	Y	1.000	Y	0.63	
150	150.009	0,002	N	149.999	Y	0.63	
300	300.011	0,003	N	299.999	Y	1.3	
Shift test Tests performed: Technician	✓ Repeatabilit Scale passed all tests	Platform #1 ✓ Pass □ Fail ↓y ✓ Linearity	Platform #2	Platform #3 □ Pass □ Fail /itt☑ Discriminatio	DN		
comments:							•
Traceable certificate	for weights used:		Kit # 28404				
Scale Certifi	ed				🗌 Scale F	lejected	
Sterling Scale Se The above item has Traceable to Internat Expanded uncertain Results relate only to The reported uncerta Any number of facto for this reason Sterli This report shall not Tolerances followed	ervice Rep: been calibrated using t tional Systems of Units nty(k=2) confidence le o items listed ainty is valid only for th rs may cause the item ing Scale does not war be reproduced, excep are maintenance/acce	Tyler C. the relevant EPO s (SI), through the vel of 95% as rep the environment in to drift out of cal ranty calibration t in full without a ptance per HB 4	or OEM procee e Michigan Dep ported. In which it is de libration before upproval of the l 4 or customer s	dures utilizing test we partment of Agriculture termined. recommended interv laboratory specific.	1 e. al has expired	of 1	

Booth Capture Efficiency Calculations Summary

1. Mass of Solids Deposited

$$\mathbf{W}_{\text{sdep}} = \mathbf{W}_2 - \mathbf{W}_0$$

where:

 $\begin{array}{ll} W_{sdep} &= mass \mbox{ of coating solids deposited on panel, g} \\ W_2 &= mass \mbox{ of panel after baking, g} \\ W_0 &= mass \mbox{ of blank panel prior to spraying, g} \end{array}$

2. Mass of VOC remaining on the panel after exiting the controlled zone

$$\mathbf{W}_{\mathrm{rem}} = \mathbf{W}_1 - \mathbf{W}_2$$

where:

W_{rem} = mass of VOC remaining on the panel when the panel leaves the controlled zone, g
 W₂ = mass of panel after baking, g
 W₁ = mass of VOC remaining on the wet panel when the panel leaves the controlled zone, g

3. Mass of VOC remaining on the panel after exiting the controlled zone per mass of coating solids deposited on the panel

$$\mathbf{P}_{\rm m} = (\mathbf{W}_{\rm rem}) / (\mathbf{W}_{\rm sdep})$$

where:

 P_m = mass of VOC remaining on the panel when the panel leaves the controlled zone per mass of coating solids deposited on the panel, g

 W_{rem} = mass of VOC remaining on the panel when the panel leaves the controlled zone, g

 W_{sdep} = mass of coating solids deposited on panel, g

4. The percent of VOC for the coating associated with the solids deposited on the wet panel after exiting the controlled

$$P_{voc} = (P_m)(W_s)(100) / (W_{voc})$$

where:

 P_{voc} = percent VOC for coating associated with the solids deposited on the wet panel when the panel leaves the controlled zone, percent

 P_m = mass of VOC remaining on the panel when the panel leaves the controlled zone per mass of coating solids deposited on the panel, g

 W_s = mass fraction of coating solids

 W_{voc} = mass fraction of VOC in coating

5. Zone capture efficiency

$$CE = 100 - P_{voc}$$

where:

CE = capture efficiency for the coating in the controlled booth zone, percent

 P_{voc} = percent VOC for coating associated with the solids deposited on the wet panel when the panel leaves the controlled zone, percent

Oven Capture Efficiency Calculations Summary

1. Weight of Solids applied

$$\mathbf{W}_{\cos} = \mathbf{W}_2 - \mathbf{W}_0$$

Where:

 W_{cos} = Weight of Solids Applied, g

 W_2 = Cured Panel Weight, g

 W_0 = Initial Panel Weight. g

2. Weight of VOC available for control

$$\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{A}} = \mathbf{W}_1 - \mathbf{W}_2$$

Where:

W_A = Weight of VOC Available for Control. g

W₁ = Wet Panel Weight Just Before Controlled Section, g

W₂ = Cured Panel Weight, g

3. Weighted coating solids density

$$\mathbf{D}_{\rm cos} = (\mathbf{W}_{\rm S} / \mathbf{W}_{\rm C}) / \mathbf{V}_{\rm S}$$

Where:

D_{cos} = Weighted Solids Density (lbs. Solids / gal. Solids)

WS = Mass Fraction Solids (Method 24)

WC = Coating Density, lb/gal (Method 24)

VS = Volume Fraction Solids (Certificate of Analysis)

4. Oven VOC loading

$$C_{L} = (W_{A} / W_{cos}) * D_{cos}$$

Where:

 $C_L = VOC Loading$ (lbs. VOC / gal. Solids Applied)

W_A= Weight of VOC's Available for Control, g

 W_{cos} = Weight of Solids Applied, g

D_{cos} = Weighted Solids Density (lbs. Solids / gal. Solids)

The weight of water, corrected for the blank field sample, will be subtracted from the weight of VOC available for control (W_A) for waterborne coatings.