AIR EMISSION TEST REPORT FOR THE VERIFICATION OF AIR POLLUTANT EMISSIONS FROM LANDFILL GAS FIRED ENGINE – GENERATOR SETS

Prepared for: ENERGY DEVELOPMENTS BYRON CENTER, LLC SRN N1324

ICT Project No.: 2300177 April 11, 2024



Report Certification

AIR EMISSION TEST REPORT FOR THE VERIFICATION OF AIR POLLUTANT EMISSIONS FROM LANDFILL GAS FIRED ENGINE – GENERATOR SETS

Energy Developments Byron Center, LLC Byron Center, Michigan

The material and data in this document were prepared under the supervision and direction of the undersigned.

Impact Compliance and Testing, Inc.

Max Fierro Project Manager



Table of Contents

1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
2.0	SUMMARY OF TEST RESULTS AND OPERATING CONDITIONS2.1 Purpose and Objective of the Tests2.2 Operating Conditions During the Compliance Tests2.3 Summary of Air Pollutant Sampling Results	3 3 3 3
3.0	SOURCE AND SAMPLING LOCATION DESCRIPTION 3.1 General Process Description 3.2 Rated Capacities and Air Emission Controls 3.3 Sampling Locations	5 5 5 5
4.0	 SAMPLING AND ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES. 4.1 Summary of Sampling Methods. 4.2 Exhaust Gas Velocity Determination (USEPA Method 2). 4.3 Exhaust Gas Molecular Weight Determination (USEPA Methods 3A) 4.4 SO₂ and HCOH Concentration Measurements (ASTM D6348). 4.5 NOx and CO Concentration Measurements (USEPA Methods 7E and 10) 4.6 Measurement of VOC (USEPA Method 25A / ALT-096) 	6 7 7 8 8
5.0	QA/QC ACTIVITIES.5.1 Flow Measurement Equipment5.2 NOx Converter Efficiency Test.5.3 Gas Divider Certification (USEPA Method 205).5.4 Instrumental Analyzer Interference Check.5.5 Instrument Calibration and System Bias Checks.5.6 Determination of Exhaust Gas Stratification5.7 System Response Time.5.8 FTIR QA/QC Activities	10 10 10 10 10 11 11 11
6.0	RESULTS 6.1 Test Results and Allowable Emission Limits 6.2 Variations from Normal Sampling Procedures or Operating Conditions	13 13 13



List of Tables

2.1	Average operating conditions during the test periods4
2.2	Average measured air pollutant concentrations for each engine (three-test average)4
6.1	Measured exhaust gas conditions and air pollutant emission rates for EUICEENGINE314

List of Appendices

APPENDIX 1	SAMPLING DIAGRAMS
APPENDIX 2	OPERATING RECORDS
APPENDIX 3	FLOWRATE CALCULATIONS AND DATA SHEETS
APPENDIX 4	CO2, O2, CO, NOX, SO ₂ , HCOH, AND VOC CALCULATIONS
APPENDIX 5	INSTRUMENTAL ANALYZER RAW DATA
APPENDIX 6	FTIR RAW DATA
APPENDIX 7	QA/QC RECORDS



1.0 Introduction

Energy Developments Byron Center, LLC (EDLBC) owns and operates landfill gas (LFG) fueled reciprocating internal combustion engines (RICE) and electricity generator sets (gensets) at the EDLBC facility in Byron Center, Kent County, Michigan. The RICE are fueled by LFG that is recovered from the South Kent Landfill and treated prior to use.

The State of Michigan Department of Environment, Great Lakes, and Energy – Air Quality Division (EGLE-AQD) has issued to EDLBC a Renewable Operating Permit (MI-ROP-N1324-2023a) and Permit to Install (PTI) No. 212-08C for operation of the renewable electricity generation facility, which consists of:

• One (1) Caterpillar (CAT®) Model No. G3520C RICE gensets identified as emission unit EUICEENGINE3 (Flexible Group ID: FGICEENGINES, FGRICENSPS)

Air emission compliance testing was performed pursuant to MI-ROP-N1324-2023a & PTI 212-08C and the federal Standards of Performance for Stationary Spark Ignition Internal Combustion Engines (the SI-RICE NSPS; 40 CFR Part 60 Subpart JJJJ) which state:

- 1. The permittee shall verify NOx, CO, and VOC emission rates from each engine in FGRICENSPS every 8760 hours of operation (as determined through the use of a non-resettable hour meter) or three years, whichever occurs first, to demonstrate compliance.
- 2. Within every 5 years from the date of completion of the most recent stack test, the permittee shall verify CO, NO_x, and SO₂ emission rates from each engine in FGICEENGINES, by testing at owner's expense, in accordance with Department requirements.
- 3. Within every 5 years from the date of completion of the most recent stack test, the permittee shall verify formaldehyde emission rates from each engine in FGICEENGINES, by testing at owner's expense, in accordance with Department requirements.

The compliance testing presented in this report was performed by Impact Compliance & Testing, Inc. (ICT), a Michigan-based environmental consulting and testing company. ICT representatives Max Fierro, Andrew Eisenberg, and Andy Rusnak performed the field sampling and measurements February 27, 2024.

The engine emission performance tests consisted of triplicate, one-hour sampling periods for nitrogen oxides (NOx), carbon monoxide (CO), sulfur dioxide (SO₂), formaldehyde (HCOH), and volatile organic compounds (VOC, as non-methane hydrocarbons (NMHC or NMOC)). Exhaust gas velocity, moisture, oxygen (O₂) content, and carbon dioxide (CO₂) content were determined for each test period to calculate volumetric exhaust gas flowrate and pollutant mass emission rates.

The exhaust gas sampling and analysis was performed using procedures specified in the Stack Test Protocol dated August 7, 2023, that was reviewed and approved by EGLE-AQD on October 16, 2023. Testing was delayed from the original November 7, 2023, date due to EUICEENGINE3 not being operational. The reschedule was communicated and approved by EGLE-AQD representatives on 1/12/2024.



Questions regarding this air emission test report should be directed to:

Max Fierro Project Manager Impact Compliance & Testing, Inc. 4180 Keller Rd STE B. Holt, MI 48842 (734) 357-8397 Max.Fierro@ImpactCandT.com Summer Hitchens Environmental Compliance Specialist (Air) Energy Developments 2501 Coolidge Rd. STE 100 East Lansing, MI 48823 (517) 604-1748 Summer.Hitchens@edlenergy.com



2.0 Summary of Test Results and Operating Conditions

2.1 Purpose and Objective of the Tests

Conditions of MI-ROP-N1324-2023a, PTI 212-08C and 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart JJJJ, Standards of Performance for New Stationary Sources for Stationary Spark Ignition Internal Combustion Engines require EDLBC to test each engine in FGICEENGINES for CO, NOx, SO₂, HCOH, and VOC emissions. Emission Unit EUICEENGINE3 was tested during this compliance test event. Emission units EUICEENGINE1 and EUICEENGINE2 were previously tested on November 7, 2023 and therefore were not part of this test event.

2.2 Operating Conditions During the Compliance Tests

The testing was performed while the EDLBC engine/generator sets were operated at maximum operating conditions (within 10% of 1,600-kilowatt (kW) electricity output). EDLBC representatives monitored and recorded generated power output (kW), fuel use (pounds per hour, lb/hr), fuel methane content (%), inlet pressure (psi), and air-to-fuel ratio at 15-minute increments for each test period.

Appendix 2 provides operating records provided by EDLBC representatives for the test periods.

Table 2.1 presents a summary of the average engine operating conditions during the test periods.

Average output, fuel consumption, fuel methane content, and inlet pressure for the RICE is presented in Table 2.1 and Table 6.1.

2.3 Summary of Air Pollutant Sampling Results

The gases exhausted from the sampled LFG fueled RICE (EUICEENGINE3) was sampled for three (3) one-hour test periods during the compliance testing performed February 27, 2024.

Table 2.2 presents the average measured CO, NO_X , SO_2 , HCOH, and VOC emission rates for the engine (average of the three test periods).

Test results for each one-hour sampling period and comparison to the permitted emission rates are presented in Section 6.0 of this report.



Table 2.1 Average engine operating conditions during the test periods

Engine Parameter	EUICEENGINE3 CAT® G3520C
Generator output (kW)	1,530
Engine output (bhp)	2,135
Engine LFG fuel use (lb/hr)	2,217
LFG methane content (%)	52.8
Exhaust temperature (°F)	906
Inlet pressure (psi)	3.5

Table 2.2 Average measured emission rates for each engine (three-test average)

	со		NOx		VOC	SO₂	нсон
Emission Unit	(lb/hr)	(g/bhp-hr)	(lb/hr)	(g/bhp-hr)	(g/bhp-hr)	(lb/hr)	(lb/hr)
EUICEENGINE3	11.08	2.4	1.55	0.33	0.11	4.19	1.68
Permit Limit	16.23	5.0	4.92	3.0	1.0	5.55	2.10



3.0 Source and Sampling Location Description

3.1 General Process Description

EDLBC is permitted to operate three (3) RICE gensets (CAT® Model No. G3520C) at its facility. The units are fired exclusively with LFG that is recovered from the South Kent Landfill solid waste disposal facility and treated prior to use.

3.2 Rated Capacities and Air Emission Controls

The CAT® G3520C engine generator sets each have a rated design capacity of:

- Engine Power: 2,233 brake horsepower (bhp)
- Electricity Generation: 1,600 kW

The RICE is equipped with an electronic air-to-fuel ratio (AFR) controller that blends the appropriate ratio of combustion air and treated LFG fuel.

The RICE is not equipped with add-on emission control devices. The AFR controller maintains efficient fuel combustion, which minimizes air pollutant emissions. Exhaust gas is exhausted directly to atmosphere through noise mufflers and vertical exhaust stacks.

3.3 Sampling Locations

The RICE exhaust gas is directed through a muffler and is released to the atmosphere through a dedicated vertical exhaust stack with a vertical release point.

The exhaust stack sampling ports are located before the muffler in the horizontal exhaust stacks, with an inner diameter of 13.5 inches. The stack is equipped with two (2) sample ports, opposed 90°, that provide a sampling location at least 0.5 duct diameters upstream and at least 2.0 duct diameters downstream from any flow disturbance.

All sample port locations satisfy the USEPA Method 1 criteria for a representative sample location. Individual traverse points were determined in accordance with USEPA Method 1.

Appendix 1 provides a diagram of the emission test sampling locations with actual stack dimension measurements.



4.0 Sampling and Analytical Procedures

A Stack Test Protocol for the air emission testing was reviewed and approved by the EGLE-AQD. This section provides a summary of the sampling and analytical procedures that were used during the testing periods.

4.1 Summary of Sampling Methods

USEPA Method 1	Exhaust gas velocity measurement locations were determined based on the physical stack arrangement and requirements in USEPA Method 1.
USEPA Method 2	Exhaust gas velocity pressure was determined using a Type-S Pitot tube connected to a red oil incline manometer; temperature was measured using a K-type thermocouple connected to the Pitot tube.
USEPA Method 3A	Exhaust gas O ₂ and CO ₂ content was determined using paramagnetic and infrared instrumental analyzers, respectively.
ASTM D6348	Exhaust gas formaldehyde and SO ₂ concentrations were determined using an FTIR instrumental analyzer.
USEPA Method 7E	Exhaust gas NOx concentration was determined using chemiluminescence instrumental analyzers.
USEPA Method 10	Exhaust gas CO concentration was measured using an infrared instrumental analyzer.
USEPA Method 25A / ALT-096	Exhaust gas VOC (as NMHC) concentration was determined using a flame ionization analyzer equipped with methane separation column.



4.2 Exhaust Gas Velocity Determination (USEPA Method 2)

The RICE exhaust stack gas velocities and volumetric flow rates were determined using USEPA Method 2 once during each test period. An S-type Pitot tube connected to a red-oil manometer was used to determine velocity pressure at each traverse point across the stack cross section. Gas temperature was measured using a K-type thermocouple mounted to the Pitot tube. The Pitot tube and connective tubing were leak-checked periodically throughout the test periods to verify the integrity of the measurement system.

The absence of significant cyclonic flow at the sampling location was verified using an Stype Pitot tube and oil manometer. The Pitot tube was positioned at each velocity traverse point with the planes of the face openings of the Pitot tube perpendicular to the stack crosssectional plane. The Pitot tube was then rotated to determine the null angle (rotational angle as measured from the perpendicular, or reference, position at which the differential pressure is equal to zero).

Appendix 3 provides exhaust gas flowrate calculations and field data sheets.

4.3 Exhaust Gas Molecular Weight Determination (USEPA Method 3A)

 CO_2 and O_2 content in the RICE exhaust gas stream was measured continuously throughout each test period in accordance with USEPA Method 3A. The CO_2 content of the exhaust was monitored using a Servomex 4900 infrared gas analyzer. The O_2 content of the exhaust was monitored using a Servomex 4900 gas analyzer that uses a paramagnetic sensor.

During each sampling period, a continuous sample of the RICE exhaust gas stream was extracted from the stack using a stainless-steel probe connected to a Teflon® heated sample line. The sampled gas was conditioned by removing moisture prior to being introduced to the analyzers; therefore, measurement of O₂ and CO₂ concentrations correspond to standard dry gas conditions. Instrument response data were recorded using an ESC Model 8864 data acquisition system that monitored the analog output of the instrumental analyzers continuously and logged data as one-minute averages.

Prior to, and at the conclusion of each test, the instruments were calibrated using upscale calibration and zero gas to determine analyzer calibration error and system bias (described in Section 5.0 of this document). Sampling times were recorded on field data sheets.

Appendix 4 provides O_2 and CO_2 calculation sheets. Raw instrument response data are provided in Appendix 5.

4.4 SO₂ and HCOH Concentration Measurements (ASTM D6348)

SO₂ and HCOH concentrations, and moisture content in the RICE exhaust gas stream were determined using an MKS Multi-Gas 2030 Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectrometer in accordance with test method ASTM D6348.

The USEPA New Source Performance Standard (NSPS) for landfill gas fired engines (Subpart JJJJ) specifies ASTM D6348 as an acceptable test method for moisture concentration determinations. Additionally, the USEPA National Emissions Standard for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) for landfill gas fired engines (Subpart ZZZ) specifies



ASTM D6348 as an acceptable test method for moisture and formaldehyde concentration determinations.

Samples of the exhaust gas were delivered directly to the instrumental analyzer using a Teflon® heated sample line to prevent condensation. The sample to the FTIR analyzer was not conditioned to remove moisture. Therefore, measurements correspond to standard conditions with no moisture correction (wet basis).

A calibration transfer standard (CTS), ethylene standard, and nitrogen zero gas were analyzed before and after each test run. Analyte spiking, of the engine, with acetaldehyde, SO₂, and sulfur hexafluoride was performed to verify the ability of the sampling system to quantitatively deliver a sample containing the compound of interest from the base of the probe to the FTIR. Data was collected at 0.5 cm-1 resolution. Instrument response was recorded using MG2000 data acquisition software.

Appendix 4 provides HCOH calculation sheets. Moisture content data is provided in the flowrate calculations presented in Appendix 3. Raw instrument response data for the FTIR analyzer is provided in Appendix 6.

4.5 NO_x and CO Concentration Measurements (USEPA Methods 7E and 10)

 NO_X and CO pollutant concentrations in the RICE exhaust gas streams were determined using a Thermo Environmental Instruments, Inc. (TEI) Model 42i High Level chemiluminescence NO_X analyzer and a Thermo Environmental Instruments, Inc. (TEI) infrared CO analyzer.

Throughout each test period, a continuous sample of the engine exhaust gas was extracted from the stack using the Teflon® heated sample line and gas conditioning system and delivered to the instrumental analyzers. Instrument response for each analyzer was recorded on an ESC Model 8864 data acquisition system that logged data as one-minute averages. Prior to, and at the conclusion of each test, the instruments were calibrated using upscale calibration and zero gas to determine analyzer calibration error and system bias.

Appendix 4 provides CO and NO_X calculation sheets. Raw instrument response data are provided in Appendix 5.

4.6 Measurement of Volatile Organic Compounds (USEPA Method 25A/ALT-096)

The VOC emission rate was determined by measuring the nonmethane hydrocarbon (NMHC or NMOC) concentration in the engine exhaust gas. NMHC pollutant concentration was determined using a TEI Model 55i Methane / Nonmethane hydrocarbon analyzer. The TEI 55i analyzer contains an internal gas chromatograph column that separates methane from non-methane components. The concentration of NMHC in the sampled gas stream, after separation from methane, is determined relative to a propane standard using a flame ionization detector in accordance with USEPA Method 25A.

The USEPA Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards (OAQPS) has issued an alternate test method approving the use of the TEI 55i-series analyzer as an effective instrument for measuring NMOC from gas-fueled RICE (ALT-096).

Samples of the exhaust gas were delivered directly to the instrumental analyzer using the Teflon® heated sample line to prevent condensation. The sample to the NHMC analyzer



was not conditioned to remove moisture. Therefore, VOC measurements correspond to standard conditions with no moisture correction (wet basis).

Prior to, and at the conclusion of each test, the instrument was calibrated using mid-range calibration (propane) and zero gas to determine analyzer calibration error and system bias (described in Section 5.0 of this document).

Appendix 4 provides VOC calculation sheets. Raw instrument response data for the NMHC analyzer is provided in Appendix 5.



5.1 Flow Measurement Equipment

Prior to arriving onsite, the instruments used during the source test to measure exhaust gas properties and velocity (Pitot tube and scale) were calibrated to specifications in the sampling methods.

The absence of cyclonic flow for each sampling location was verified using an S-type Pitot tube and oil manometer. The Pitot tube was positioned at each of the velocity traverse points with the planes of the face openings of the Pitot tube perpendicular to the stack cross-sectional plane. The Pitot tube was then rotated to determine the null angle (rotational angle as measured from the perpendicular, or reference, position at which the differential pressure is equal to zero).

5.2 NO_x Converter Efficiency Test

The NO₂ – NO conversion efficiency of the Model 42i analyzer was verified prior to the testing program. A USEPA Protocol 1 certified concentration of NO₂ was injected directly into the analyzer, following the initial three-point calibration, to verify the analyzer's conversion efficiency. The analyzer's NO₂ – NO converter uses a catalyst at high temperatures to convert the NO₂ to NO for measurement. The conversion efficiency of the analyzer is deemed acceptable if the measured NO_x concentration is within 10% of the expected value.

The $NO_2 - NO$ conversion efficiency test satisfied the USEPA Method 7E criteria (measured NO_x concentration was 92.2% of the expected value).

5.3 Gas Divider Certification (USEPA Method 205)

A STEC Model SGD-710C 10-step gas divider was used to obtain appropriate calibration span gases. The ten-step STEC gas divider was NIST certified (within the last 12 months) with a primary flow standard in accordance with Method 205. When cut with an appropriate zero gas, the ten-step STEC gas divider delivered calibration gas values ranging from 0% to 100% (in 10% step increments) of the USEPA Protocol 1 calibration gas that was introduced into the system. The field evaluation procedures presented in Section 3.2 of Method 205 were followed prior to use of gas divider. The field evaluation yielded no errors greater than 2% of the triplicate measured average and no errors greater than 2% from the expected values.

5.4 Instrumental Analyzer Interference Check

The instrumental analyzers used to measure NO_X , CO, O_2 , and CO_2 have had an interference response test preformed prior to their use in the field, pursuant to the interference response test procedures specified in USEPA Method 7E. The appropriate interference test gases (i.e., gases that would be encountered in the exhaust gas stream) were introduced into each analyzer, separately and as a mixture with the analyte that each analyzer is designed to measure. All of analyzers exhibited a composite deviation of less than 2.5% of the span for all measured interferent gases. No major analytical components of the analyzers have been replaced since performing the original interference tests.



5.5 Instrument Calibration and System Bias Checks

At the beginning of each day of the testing program, initial three-point instrument calibrations were performed for the NO_x , CO, CO_2 , and O_2 analyzers by injecting calibration gas directly into the inlet sample port for each instrument. System bias checks were performed prior to and at the conclusion of each sampling period by introducing the upscale calibration gas and zero gas into the sampling system (at the base of the stainless-steel sampling probe prior to the particulate filter and Teflon® heated sample line) and determining the instrument response against the initial instrument calibration readings.

At the beginning of each test day, appropriate high-range, mid-range, and low-range span gases followed by a zero gas were introduced to the NMHC analyzer, in series at a tee connection, which is installed between the sample probe and the particulate filter, through a poppet check valve. After each one-hour test period, mid-range and zero gases were re-introduced in series at the tee connection in the sampling system to check against the method's performance specifications for calibration drift and zero drift error.

The instruments were calibrated with USEPA Protocol 1 certified concentrations of CO_2 , O_2 , NO_x , and CO in nitrogen and zeroed using hydrocarbon free nitrogen. The NMHC (VOC) instrument was calibrated with USEPA Protocol 1 certified concentrations of propane in air and zeroed using hydrocarbon-free air. A STEC Model SGD-710C ten-step gas divider was used to obtain intermediate calibration gas concentrations as needed.

5.6 Determination of Exhaust Gas Stratification

A stratification test was performed for each RICE exhaust stack. The stainless-steel sample probe was positioned at sample points correlating to 16.7, 50.0 (centroid), and 83.3% of the stack diameter. Pollutant concentration data were recorded at each sample point for a minimum of twice the maximum system response time.

The recorded concentration data for the RICE exhaust stacks indicated that the measured O_2 , CO_2 , CO, and NOx concentrations did not vary by more than 5% of the mean across the stack diameter. Therefore, the RICE exhaust gas was considered to be unstratified and the compliance test sampling was performed at a single sampling location within each RICE exhaust stack.

5.7 System Response Time

The response time of the sampling system was determined prior to the compliance test program by introducing upscale gas and zero gas, in series, into the sampling system using a tee connection at the base of the sample probe. The elapsed time for the analyzer to display a reading of 95% of the expected concentration was determined using a stopwatch.

Sampling periods did not commence until the sampling probe had been in place for at least twice the greatest system response time.

5.8 FTIR QA/QC Activities

At the beginning of each day a calibration transfer standard (STC, ethylene gas), analyte of interest (acetaldehyde and sulfur hexafluoride) and nitrogen calibration gas was directly injected into the FTIR to evaluate the unit response.



Prior to and after each test run the CTS was analyzed. The ethylene was passed through the entire system (system purge) to verify the sampling system response and to ensure that the sampling system remained leak-free at the stack location. Nitrogen was also passed through the sampling system to ensure the system was free of contaminants.

Analyte spiking, of each emission unit, with acetaldehyde was preformed to verify the ability of the sampling system to quantitatively deliver a sample containing the compound of interest from the base of the probe to the FTIR and assure the ability of the FTIR to quantify that compound in the presence of effluent gas.

As part of the data validation procedure, reference spectra were manually fit to that of the sample spectra (two spectra from each test period) and a concentration was determined. Concentration data was manually validated using the MKS MG2000 method analyzer software. The software used multi-point calibration curves to quantify each spectrum. The software-calculated results were compared with the measured concentrations to ensure the quality of the data.

Appendix 7 presents test equipment quality assurance data ($NO_2 - NO$ conversion efficiency test data, instrument calibration and system bias check records, calibration gas and gas divider certifications, interference test results, FTIR QA/QC data, stratification checks, and field equipment calibration records).



6.1 Test Results and Allowable Emission Limits

Engine operating data and air pollutant emission measurement results for each one-hour test period are presented in Table 6.1.

EUICEENGINE3 has the following allowable emission limits specified in MI-ROP-N1324-2023a and PTI 212-08C:

- 16.23 lb/hr and 5.0 grams per brake horsepower hour (g/bhp-hr) for CO;
- 4.92 lb/hr and 3.0 g/bhp-hr for NOx;
- 1.0 g/bhp-hr for VOC;
- 5.55 lb/hr for SO₂; and
- 0.71 lb/hr for HCOH.

The measured air pollutant emission rates for EUICEENGINE3 are less than the allowable limits specified in MI-ROP-N1324-2023a and PTI 212-08C.

6.2 Variations from Normal Sampling Procedures or Operating Conditions

The testing for all pollutants was performed in accordance with USEPA methods and the approved Stack Test Protocol. The RICE gensets were operated within 10% of maximum output (1,600 kW generator output for CAT® G3520C RICE) and no variations from normal operating conditions occurred during the engine test periods.



Table 6.1 Measured exhaust gas conditions and air pollutant emission rates for EUICEENGINE3

Test No.	1	2	3	
Test date	02/27/2024	02/27/2024	02/27/2024	Three Test
Test period (24-hr clock)	0808-0908	0926-1026	1043-1143	Average
Fuel flowrate (lb/hr)	2,215	2,219	2,219	2,217
Generator output (kW)	1.531	1,531	1,530	1,530
Engine output (bhp)	2,136	2,136	2,134	2,135
LFG methane content (%)	52.9	52.9	52.8	52.8
Inlet pressure (psi)	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5
Exhaust Gas Composition				
CO ₂ content (% vol)	11.7	11.7	11.8	11.7
O ₂ content (% vol)	8.48	8.46	8.48	8.47
Moisture (% vol)	12.6	12.7	12.7	12.7
Exhaust gas temperature (°F)	906	909	903	906
Exhaust gas flowrate (dscfm)	3,875	4,035	3,996	3,969
Exhaust gas flowrate (scfm)	4,433	4,622	4,576	4,543
			,	
Nitrogen Oxides				
NO _x conc. (ppmvd)	58.3	54.4	51.3	54.6
NO _x emissions (lb/hr)	1.62	1.57	1.47	1.55
Permit Limit (lb/hr)	-	-	-	4.92
NO _x emissions (g/bhp-hr)	0.34	0.33	0.31	0.33
Permit Limit (g/bhp-hr)	-	-	-	3.0
Carbon Monoxide				
CO conc. (ppmvd)	640	638	640	639
CO emissions (lb/hr)	10.82	11.24	11.17	11.08
Permit Limit (lb/hr)	-	-	-	16.23
CO emissions (g/bhp-hr)	2.3	2.4	2.4	2.4
Permit Limit (g/bhp-hr)	-	-	-	5.0
10 1 1				
Volatile Organic Compounds				
NMHC conc. (ppmv)	15.8	16.5	16.0	16.1
VOC emissions (g/bhp-hr)	0.10	0.11	0.11	0.11
Permit Limit (g/bhp-hr)	-	-	-	1.0
Sulfur Dioxide				
SO ₂ conc. (ppmv)	92.7	92.4	92.1	92.4
SO ₂ emissions (lb/hr)	4.10	4.26	4.21	4.19
Permit Limit (lb/hr)	-	-	-	5.55
. ,				
Formaldehyde				
HCOH conc. (ppmv)	78.7	79.2	79.4	79.1
HCOH emissions (lb/hr)	1.63	1.71	1.70	1.68
Permit Limit (lb/hr)	-	-	-	2.10



APPENDIX 1

RICE Engine Sample Port Diagram



Impact Compliance & Testing, Inc.

